## State Health Authority Yardstick

## The SHAY Rating Scale

l.	Rater:
).	EBP (separate SHAY must be completed for each EBP):
:.	State:
l.	Number of outpatient programs implementing this EBP model statewide:
<b>.</b>	Total number of outpatient MH programs statewide:
•	Penetration: calculate EBP sites/total outpatient MH programs =
ξ.	Today's Date:
1.	Date the state committed to implementation of this EBP (e.g. state "kick off", initiation of state campaign):
	Date the first site initiated implementation of the EBP (e.g. began training in the EBP):

#### 1. EBP Plan

The SMHA has an EBP plan to address the following: (Use boxes to identify which components are included in the plan)			
	1)	A defined scope for initial and future implementation efforts,	
	2)	Strategy for outreach, education, and consensus building among providers and other stakeholders,	
	3)	Identification of partners and community champions,	
	4)	Sources of funding,	
	5)	Training resources,	
	6)	Identification of policy and regulatory levers to support EBP,	
	7)	Role of other state agencies in supporting and/or implementing the EBP,	
	8)	Defines how EBP interfaces with other SMHA priorities and supports SMHA mission	
	9)	Evaluation for implementation and outcomes of the EBP	
	10)	The plan is a written document, endorsed by the SMHA	

## Score

No planning activities
 1 - 3 components of planning
 4 - 6 components of planning
 7 - 9 components
 10 components

## 2. Financing: Adequacy

Is the funding model for the EBP adequate to cover costs, including direct service, supervision, and reasonable overhead? Are all EBP sites funded at the same level? Do sites have adequate funding so that practice pays for itself?

Score:	
1.	No components of services are reimbursable
2.	Some costs are covered
3.	Most costs are covered
4.	Services pays for itself (e.g. all costs covered adequately, or finding of covered components compensates for non-covered components)
5.	Service pays for itself and reimbursement rates attractive relative to competing non-EBP services.

#### 3. Financing: Start-up & Conversion Costs

Are costs of start up and or conversion covered, including: 1) Lost productivity for staff training, 2) hiring staff before clients enrolled (e.g. ACT), 3) any costs associated with agency planning and meetings, 4) changing medical records if necessary, 5) computer hardware and/or software if necessary, etc.

#### Score:

- 1. No costs of start-up are covered
- 2. Few costs are covered
- 3. Some costs are covered
- 4. Majority of costs are covered
- 5. Programs are fully compensated for costs of conversion

## 4. Training: Ongoing consultation and technical support

Is there ongoing training, supervision and consultation for the program leader and clinical staff to support implementation of the EBP and clinical skills:			
(Use boxes to indicate criteria met.)			
1) Initial didactic training in the EBP provided to clinicians (e.g. 1-5 days intensive training)			
2) Initial agency consultation re. implementation strategies, policies and procedures, etc. (e.g. 1 - 3 meetings with leadership prior to implementation or during initial training)			
3) Ongoing training for practitioners to reinforce application of EBP and address emergent practice difficulties until they are competent in the practice (minimum of 3 months, e.g. monthly x 12 months)			
4) On site supervision for practitioners, including observation of trainees clinical work and routines in their work setting, and feedback on practice. Videoconferencing that includes clients can substitute for onsite work (minimum of 3 supervision meetings or sessions for each trainee, e.g. monthly x 12 months).			
5) Ongoing administrative consultation for program administrators until the practice is incorporated into routine work flow, policies and procedures at the agency (minimum of 3 months, e.g. monthly X 12 months)			

### Score

- 1. 0-1 components
- 2. 2 components
- 3. 3 components
- 4. 4 components
- 5. 5 components

## 5. Training: Quality

Is a l	s a high quality training delivered to each site? High quality training should include the following:				
(Use	Use boxes to indicate which components are in place.				
	1)	credible and expert trainer,			
	2)	active learning strategies (e.g. role play, group work, feedback,			
	3)	good quality manual, e.g. SAMHSA Toolkit,			
	4)	comprehensively addresses all elements of the EBP,			
	5)	modeling of practice for trainees, or opportunities to shadow/observe high fidelity clinical work delivered,			
	6)	high quality teaching aides/materials including workbooks/work sheets, slides, videos, handouts, etc, e.g.			
		SAMHSA Toolkit/ West Institute.			

### Score:

1. 0 components
-----------------

- 2. 1 2 components
- 3. 3 4 components
- 4. 5 components
- 5. all 6 components of a high quality training

## **6. Training: Infrastructure / Sustainability**

as the state established a mechanism to allow for continuation and expansion of training activities related to this EBP, for					
example relationship with a university training and research center, establishing a center for excellence, establishing a					
learning network or learning collaborative. This mechanism should include the following components:					
(Use boxes to indicate which components are in place)	(Use boxes to indicate which components are in place)				
1) offers skills training in the EBP,					
2) offers ongoing supervision and consultation to	clinicians to support implementation in new sites,				
3) offer ongoing consultation and training for pro	gram EBP leaders to support their role as clinical supervisors and				
leaders of the EBP,					
4) build site capacity to train and supervise their of	own staff in the EBP,				
5) offers technical assistance and booster training	s in existing EBP sites as needed,				
6) expansion plan beyond currently identified EB	P sites,				
7) one or more identified model programs with do	ocumented high fidelity that offer shadowing opportunities for				
new programs,					
8) SMHA commitment to sustain mechanism (e.g	g. center of excellence, university contracts) for foreseeable				
future, and a method for funding has been identified.					

#### Score:

- 1. No mechanism
  - 2. 1 2 components3. 3 4 components
  - 4. 5 6 components
  - 5. 7 8 components

#### 7. Training: Penetration

What percent of sites have been provided high quality training (score of 3 or better on question #5, see note below), <u>and</u> ongoing training (score of 3 or better on question #4, see note below).

Note: If both criteria are not met, does not count for penetration. Refers to designated EBP sites only.

High quality training should include 3 or more of the following components:

- 1) credible and expert trainer,
- 2) active learning strategies (e.g. role play, group work, feedback,
- 3) good quality manual (e.g. SAMHSA toolkit),
- 4) comprehensively addresses all elements of the EBP,
- 5) modeling of practice for trainees, or opportunities to shadow/observe high fidelity clinical work delivered,
- 6) high quality teaching aids/ materials including workbooks/ work sheets, slides, videos, handouts, etc. e.g. SAMHSA toolkit/ West Institute.

Ongoing training should include 3 or more of the following components:

- 1) Initial didactic training in the EBP provided to clinicians (e.g. 1-5 days intensive training)
- 2) Initial agency consultation re. implementation strategies, policies and procedures, etc. (e.g. 1 3 meetings with leadership prior to implementation or during initial training)
- 3) Ongoing training for practitioners to reinforce application of EBP and address emergent practice difficulties until they are competent in the practice (minimum of 3 months, e.g. monthly x 12 months)
- 4) On site supervision for practitioners, including observation of trainees clinical work and routines in their work setting, and feedback on practice. Videoconferencing that includes clients can substitute for onsite work (minimum of 3 supervision meetings or sessions for each trainee, e.g. monthly x 12 months).
- 5) Ongoing administrative consultation for program administrators until the practice is incorporated into routine work flow, policies and procedures at the agency (minimum of 3 months, e.g. monthly X 12 months)

Score:		
	1.	0-20%
	2.	20-40%
	3.	40-60%
	4.	60-80%
	5.	80-100%
Evide	nce	Used to Justify Rating:

## 8. SMHA Leadership: Commissioner Level

## 9. SMHA Leadership: Central Office EBP Leader

There is an identified EBP leader (or coordinating team) that is characterized by the following:				
(Use boxes to indicate which components in place.)				
<ol> <li>EBP leader has adequate dedicated time for EBP implementation (min 10%), and time is protected from distractions, conflicting priorities, and crises,</li> <li>There is evidence that the EBP leader has necessary authority to run the implementation,</li> <li>There is evidence that EBP leader has good relationships with community programs,</li> </ol>				
4) Is viewed as an effective leader (influence, authority, persistence, knows how to get thing for the EBP, and can site examples of overcoming implementation barriers or establishin EBP supports.				
How long has the current EBP leader held the post? How long has the current EBP leader worked in the agency?				
Score:				
1. No EBP leader				
2. 1 component				
3. 2 components				
4. 3 components				
5. All 4 components				

#### 10. Policy and Regulations: Non SMHA State Agencies

The SMHA has developed effective interagency relations (other state agencies, counties, governors office, state legislature) to support and promote the EBP as necessary/appropriate, identifying and removing or mitigating any barriers to EBP implementation, and has introduced new key facilitating regulations as necessary to support the EBP.

#### Examples of supporting policies:

- Medicaid agency provides reimbursement for the EBP (If Medicaid not under the SMHA)
- The state's vocational rehabilitation agency pays for supported employment programs
- The state's substance abuse agency pays for integrated treatment for dual disorders
- Department of Professional Licensing requires EBP training for MH professionals

#### Examples of policies that create barriers:

- Medicaid agency excludes EBP, or critical component, e.g. disallows any services delivered in the community (If Medicaid agency not under the SMHA)
- State substance abuse agency prohibits integrated treatment, or will not reimburse for integrated treatment
- State substance abuse agency and state mental health authority are divided, and create obstacles for programs attempting to develop integrated service programs
- State vocational rehabilitation agency does not allow all clients looking for work access to services, or prohibits delivery of other aspects of the supported employment model
- Department of Corrections policies that create barriers to implementation of EBPs

# Virtually all policies and regulations impacting the EBP act as barriers On balance, policies that create barriers outweigh policies that support/promote the EBP Policies that are support/promote the EBP are approximately equally balanced by policies that create barriers On balance, policies that support/promote the EBP outweigh policies that create barriers

5. Virtually all policies and regulations impacting the EBP support/promote the EBP

#### Evidence Used to Justify Rating:

Score:

#### 11. Policies and Regulations: SMHA

The SMHA has reviewed it's own regulations, policies and procedures to identify and remove or mitigate any barriers to EBP implementation, and has introduced new key regulations as necessary to support and promote the EBP.

Examples of supporting policies:

- SMHA ties EBP delivery to contracts
- SMHA ties EBP to licensing/certification/regulation
- SMHA develops EBP standards consistent with the EBP model
- SMHA develops clinical guidelines or fiscal model designed to support model EBP implementation Examples of policies that create barriers:
  - SMHA develops a fiscal model or clinical guidelines that directly conflict with EBP model, e.g. ACT staffing model with 1:20 ratio
  - SMHA licensing/certification/regulations directly interfere with programs ability to implement EBP

Score:

- 1. Virtually all policies and regulations impacting the EBP act as barriers
- 2. On balance, policies that create barriers outweigh policies that support/promote the EBP
- 3. Policies that are support/promote the EBP are approximately equally balanced by policies that create barriers
- 4. On balance, policies that support/promote the EBP outweigh policies that create barriers
- 5. Virtually all policies and regulations impacting the EBP support/promote the EBP

## 12. Policies and Regulations: SMHA EBP Program Standards

The SM	A has developed and implemented EBP standards consistent with the EBP model with the following co	mponents:			
(Use bo	(Use boxes to identify which criteria have been met)				
	1) Explicit EBP program standards and expectations, consonant with all EBP principles and fidelity of	components, for			
	delivery of EBP services. (Note: fidelity scale may be considered EBP program standards, e.g. con	ntract requires			
	fidelity assessment with performance expectation)				
	2) SMHA has incorporated EBP standards into contracts, criteria for grant awards, licensing, certification	ation, accreditation			
	processes and/or other mechanisms				
	3) Monitors whether EBP standards have been met,				
	4) Defines explicit consequences if EBP standards not met (e.g. contracts require delivery of model s	upported			
	employment services, and contract penalties or non-renewal if standards not met; or licensing/accr	editation standards			
	if not met result in consequences for program license.)				
	core.				

- 1. No components (e.g. no standards and not using available mechanisms at this time)
- 2. 1 component
- 3. 2 components
- 4. 3 components
- 5. 4 components

## 13. Quality Improvement: Fidelity Assessment

There is a system in place for conducting ongoing fidelity reviews by trained reviewers characterized by the						
following components:						
(Use boxes to indicate criteria met.)						
1) EBP fidelity (or functional equivalent designed to assess adherence to all critical components of	f					
the EBP model) is measured at defined intervals						
2) GOI fidelity (or functional equivalent designed to assess adherence to all critical components						
required to implement and sustain delivery of EBP) is measured at defined intervals.						
3) Fidelity assessment is measured independent – i.e. not assessed by program itself, <i>but by SMHA</i>	or					
contracted agency						
4) Fidelity is measured a minimum of annually						
5) Fidelity performance data is given to programs and used for purposes of quality improvement						
6) Fidelity performance data is reviewed by the SMHA +/- local MHA						
7) The SMHA routinely uses fidelity performance data for purposes of quality improvement, to						
identify and response to high and low performers (e.g. recognition of high performers, or for low	Ţ					
performers develop corrective action plan, training & consultation, or financial consequences,						
etc.).						
8) The fidelity performance data is made public (e.g. website, published in newspaper, etc.)						

#### Score:

- 1. 0-1 components
- 2. 2 –3 components
- 3. 4-5 components
- 4. 6-7 components
- 5. All 8 components

## 14. Quality Improvement: Client Outcomes

or
es,
,,

#### Scores:

- 1. 0 components
- 2. 1-2 components
- 3. 3-5 components
- 4. 6-7 components
- 5. All 8 components

#### 15. Stakeholders

The degree to which consumers, families, and providers are opposed or supportive of EBP implementation.

#### Scores:

- 1. Active, ongoing opposition to the EBP
- 2. Opposition outweighs support, or opinion is evenly split, but no active campaigning against EBP
- 3. Stakeholder is generally indifferent
- 4. Generally supportive, but no partnerships, or active proponents.
- 5. Stakeholder advocacy organization leadership/opinion leaders currently offer active, ongoing support for the EBP. Evidence of partnering on initiative.

1	15.	Summary Stakeholder Score: (Average of 3 scores below)
	15.a	Consumers Stakeholders Score
	15.b	Family Stakeholders Score
	15.c	Providers Stakeholders Score

**Evidence to Justify Ratings:** 

## **Summary of Scores**

1. EBP Plan	
2. Financing: Adequacy	
3. Financing: Start-up and Conversion Costs	
4. Training: Ongoing Consultation & Technical Support	
5. Training: Quality	
6. Training: Infrastructure / Sustainability	
7. Training: Penetration	
8. SMHA Leadership: Commissioner Level	
9. SMHA Leadership: EBP Leader	
10. Policy and Regulations: Non-SMHA	
11. Policy and Regulations: SMHA	
12. Policy and Regulations: SMHA EBP Program Standards	
13. Quality Improvement: Fidelity Assessment	
14. Quality Improvement: Client Outcome	
15. Stakeholders: Aver. Score (Consumer, Family, Provider)	
Stakeholders: individual scores 15.a Consumers: 15.b Families: 15.c Providers:	
OVERALL SHAY SCORE = SLIM TOTAL	±15 =