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This guide was created for a very specific purpose: to help make trauma-informed peer support available to people who are trauma survivors living with HIV and who receive or have received mental health or substance use services. It is designed as a resource for peer supporters in these or other settings who want to learn how to integrate trauma-informed principles into their relationships with the people they support or into the peer support groups of which they are members. The goal is to provide peer supporters—regardless of gender—with the understanding, tools, and resources needed to engage in culturally responsive, trauma-informed peer support relationships with trauma survivors.

As a peer supporter, you may work or participate in a variety of roles and settings. For example, you may offer peer support services as paid staff or a volunteer in a primary care clinic, HIV care clinic, in behavioral health services, or in independent peer-run programs. You might also be a member of a voluntary mutual support group organized by people who have received services. Perhaps you work in the homeless services system, in the justice system, or in the veteran’s service system. In whatever way you are involved in peer support with those living with HIV, this guide was written for you.

The guide is organized in three sections: **Fundamentals, Cultural Considerations, and Moving into Action.** The first section provides basic information on topics related to trauma, trauma-informed services and supports, peer support, and the social and cultural factors that affect people living with HIV (PLHIV) who are trauma survivors. The second section discusses cultural considerations for working with those who are trauma survivors, including gender, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, spiritual and religious factors, and age and generational concerns. The third section focuses on concrete ways to bring an understanding of these issues into active peer support relationships with trauma survivors. All sections offer exercises you can do by yourself or with a group, as well as resources such as books, articles, and websites you can use to explore each topic more deeply.

**INTRODUCTION**

**FUNDAMENTALS**

In this section, you will be introduced to important information about trauma, the principles of trauma-informed practices, and the ways in which trauma and trauma-informed services and supports can affect the lives of people who are trauma survivors. You will learn about some basic values and principles of peer support that are grounded in the experience, research, reflection, and writing of people who have been involved with peer support. This section also provides information about gender politics and the criminalization of people living with HIV of various genders and sexual orientations in the context of trauma. This guide discusses cultural considerations that affect peer support work with trauma survivors. The goal of this section is to provide you with basic information and resources that will help you apply a trauma-informed philosophy to your day-to-day peer support work with people who are trauma survivors.

**Cultural Considerations**

This section examines race, ethnicity, language, and other cultural considerations that affect peer support work with people who are trauma survivors. There is a focus on cultural biases and historical trauma experienced by people of many ethnic groups, as well as spirituality and religion, especially as these intersect with trauma, gender, and sexual orientation. This section also discusses the impact of trauma across the lifespan.

**Moving into Action**

The chapters in this section focus on tangible ways that you can apply your understanding of trauma, trauma-informed practices, peer support, and the particular issues facing PLHIV who have histories of trauma and who have used HIV care or other health services. This section shows how peer support is rooted in mutual relationships and describes the values of self-awareness and self-care for all participants in peer support. You will learn about the importance of shared values, common language, and taking a culturally sensitive, non-clinical approach to peer support. This section explores specific skills that will enable you to engage trauma survivors LHIV in a meaningful way and presents crucial information for helping you work successfully as a peer supporter within organizations that are not yet trauma informed. This section presents information about the causes of self-inflicted violence and how to work respectfully with people who self-injure. It
explores the role of religion and spirituality in the lives of trauma survivors LHIV, as well as how trauma affects people across the lifespan. There is also a discussion of how trauma survivors can become involved in social action and reclaim their power by working for positive change as a part of their healing process. Each chapter includes a list of print and electronic resources that readers may use to explore the subject area of the chapter more extensively, and many chapters include exercises or illustrative stories.

A Note About Language

The original version of this guide was written as a gender-specific manual. An important message within this guide is that people have the right to define themselves and their experiences in ways that have meaning for them regardless of gender. To that end, we have tried to avoid diagnostic and illness labels (except when quoting material), as well as jargon specific to HIV care or behavioral health systems. Instead, we have tried to use descriptive, nonjudgmental terms, such as “people who have experienced violence” and “people who are trauma survivors,” while explicitly acknowledging gender differences and recognizing that some may not find these terms personally useful, either. In the end, it is important that peer supporters recognize and support people’s ways of naming their experiences and talking about themselves as part of the healing process.

In adapting this guide to be gender-inclusive, we will use various pronouns/nouns/verbs, terms, introducing “they, them, their.” We will endeavor to use gender-neutral terms whenever possible, except when discussing gender-specific topics. Trauma-informed peer support for PLHIV includes being aware of the impact of sexual and other forms of violence against those targeted or disbelieved specifically for their gender (women, some men, trans people). A trauma-informed approach to the intersection of gender and HIV status recognizes that trans women, although assigned male at birth, are women: Rates of sexual violence against them are similar to those of other women (often higher), especially for trans women of color.

Various terms are used for PLHIV whose gender or biological sex is or has been somewhat more complicated than being either male or female and the same since birth. This guide uses “gender minorities” as a broad term that includes transgender, nonbinary, gender nonconforming, Two Spirit, and intersex people.

- “Intersex” refers to people who are biologically not strictly male nor strictly female, most of whom identify with the gender assigned at birth and do not consider “intersex” their gender identity. Intersex conditions (sometimes referred to as differences of sex development) are just as common in the general population as having red hair. Half of people with intersex conditions are surgically altered without their consent in infancy and childhood, resulting in sterilization, lifelong medical complications, and trauma for many.¹
- The term “Two Spirit” is an umbrella term that Native American, First Nations, and indigenous people use for many different tribal-specific names and roles for people with “both a male and female spirit within them,” who “see life through the eyes of both genders.”²

Transgender, nonbinary, and gender nonconforming people tend to describe gender as falling somewhere on a spectrum from female to male, and a variety of terms may highlight their specific risks. Where it appears, each term is used for a reason to highlight the specific risks and experiences of a particular group.

- At least one in three people who are trans are “nonbinary,” including people who fall somewhere between either extreme of exclusively male or female.
- The terms “trans masculine” and “trans feminine” are more inclusive of trans people who may not use the term “man” or “woman” for themselves.
- “Transgender people” is a more general umbrella term, including all people who do not identify with the biological sex assigned to them at birth.

When discussing specific experiences of trauma and living with HIV among people who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer or questioning, intersex, and Two Spirit, the acronym “LGBTQI2S” is used. In some places, the shorter term “LGBT” is used to acknowledge that the specific research cited has not explicitly addressed the specific experiences, needs, and concerns of intersex and Two Spirit people. Some data include men who are sexually active with men (MSM) who do not identify as gay, bi, pan, or as loving same-gender partners. However, in general, the community of people living with HIV and AIDS generally prefers “same-gender loving men” to “men who have sex with men,” so this guide uses the community’s preferred term unless specifically citing data on MSM.

When discussing peer support of people whose gender is not known or who are nonbinary, “they” will sometimes be used as a gender-neutral singular pronoun. (Although this may seem unfamiliar at first, the singular “they” is accepted English usage not only by current dictionary and media standards but has been in use since the 1300s.) For peer support, our focus is not so much on the grammatical evidence, though, as on helping the people we’re supporting feel seen, heard, and valued.

INTRODUCTION TO TRAUMA AND TRAUMA-INFORMED PRACTICES

As a peer supporter, many of the people you work with will have experienced some form of violence or trauma in their lives. Perhaps you have experienced trauma in your own life. Whether you work in an HIV care organization, a mental health or substance use program, a homeless shelter, a correctional facility, a domestic violence shelter, an independent peer-run program, or any other setting, your relationships with the people you support may be profoundly affected by trauma. In this chapter, we will provide basic information on sources and impacts of trauma and will describe how behavioral health, human services, and other systems are becoming “trauma informed.” This chapter introduces concepts that will be explored in more depth later in the guide.

WHAT IS TRAUMA?

Trauma occurs when an external threat overwhelms a person’s coping resources. It can result in specific symptoms of psychological or emotional distress, or it can affect many aspects of the person’s life over a period of time. Sometimes people aren’t even aware that the challenges they face are related to trauma that occurred earlier in their life. Trauma is unique to each individual; the most violent events are not always the events that have the deepest impact. Trauma can happen to anyone, but some groups are particularly vulnerable due to their circumstances, including women and children, people with disabilities, and people who are homeless or living in institutions.

Sources of Trauma

Trauma can result from a wide variety of events:

- Emotional, physical, or sexual abuse in childhood
- Abandonment or neglect (especially for small children)
- Sexual assault
- Domestic violence
- Experiencing or witnessing violent crime
- Institutional abuse
- Cultural dislocation or sudden loss
- Terrorism, war
- Historical violence against a specific group (as in slavery or genocide)
- Natural disasters
- Grief
- Chronic stressors like racism and poverty
- Accidents
- Medical procedures
- Any situation where one person misuses power over another

Interpersonal violence is a major source of trauma in the United States, particularly for women. Although men are most likely to experience violence from strangers, women and girls are most likely to be hurt by people they know. For women in the military, the greatest risk of harm is from fellow soldiers; for adolescent girls, it is from the people they love.

INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE IN THE U.S.

- Three-ten million children witness domestic violence every year.
- Every 35 seconds, a child is abused or neglected.
- One in three girls and one in five boys are sexually abused by age 18.
- One child dies from violence every three hours.
- 1.5 million women and 835,000 men are raped or physically assaulted by an intimate partner every year.
- Forty-four percent of lesbian women and 61 percent of bisexual women will experience rape, intimate partner violence, stalking by a partner, or some combination of these in their lifetime. Forty-eight percent of bisexual women experienced their first completed rape between the ages of 11 and 17 years of age.
- Twenty-six percent of gay men and 37 percent of bisexual men will experience rape, intimate partner violence, stalking by a partner, or some combination of these in their lifetime.
- Forty-seven percent of transgender and gender nonconforming people experienced sexual assault throughout their lifetime. Ten percent of respondents were sexually assaulted in past year. Fifty-four percent experienced some form of intimate partner violence.


What to Look For
Some common signs of trauma include:

- Flashbacks or frequent nightmares
- Being very sensitive to noise or to being touched
- Always expecting something bad to happen
- Not remembering periods of your life
- Feeling numb
- Finding yourself in situations where others abuse or take advantage of you
- Lack of concentration, irritability, and sleep problems
- Excessive watchfulness, anxiety, anger, shame, or sadness

Some people do not openly display signs of emotional distress. People cope using whatever skills and resources are available to them. Some may keep to themselves, some focus intently on work, whereas others may use substances or take risks. Every person expresses their pain differently, so it’s important to always stay open to the possibility that the people you support have experienced trauma.

All forms of violence can be traumatizing, but the earlier in life the trauma occurs, the more severe the long-term consequences may be. Deliberate violence is particularly damaging, especially when it is inflicted by trusted caregivers. Examples of such “betrayal trauma” include incest, child sexual abuse by clergy, and abuse by professional caregivers. Secrecy also intensifies trauma. Perpetrators will often threaten victims to keep them from revealing what happened. In other cases, victims will remain silent due to self-blame and shame. When violence is compounded by betrayal, silence, blame, or shame, it can have lasting effects on the ability to trust others and to form intimate relationships, and it can directly affect your work as a peer supporter. Helping people regain their own voice is often the first step in establishing a trusting relationship.

It is important to remember that many of the people you work with may have experienced multiple forms of violence over their lifetime, even though they might not talk about it. For example, you might work with a woman who experienced poverty and racism as a child; grew up in foster homes; lost her family, friends, home, and job during Hurricane Katrina; or became involved with an abusive partner. Or perhaps you work with a woman who has been put in restraints many times during her multiple hospitalizations and, upon further exploration, she reveals that she is an incest survivor and that she was raped by a fellow soldier when she enlisted to get away from home. Remembering the long road that each person has already walked can help you focus on the strength and courage it has taken for them to survive.

WHAT IMPACT DOES TRAUMA HAVE?
Scientific findings confirm that trauma affects the mind and body and can have a lasting impact. One study looked at the adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) of about 17,000 people enrolled in a health maintenance organization (HMO), correlating their “ACE score” with a range of medical and social problems. The relationships are staggering. People with high ACE scores are much more likely to develop psychiatric symptoms, use substances, have chronic health conditions, and die early. Women are significantly more likely than men to have high ACE scores.

Adverse events can affect people in two ways. First, trauma affects the developing brain and body and alters the body’s natural stress response mechanisms. Second, trauma increases health risk behaviors such as smoking, drinking, overeating, and engaging in risky sex—things that trauma survivors sometimes do to cope. Recognizing these behaviors as coping responses rather than “bad choices” is essential to an effective peer support relationship.

Over time, trauma can alter everything about a person’s life and behavior. Because it shatters feelings of trust and safety and leaves people feeling powerless, trauma can lead to profound disconnection from others. Survivors may always be on guard or feel overwhelming despair. Coping mechanisms can become habits that are hard to quit. Trauma can lead to problems at home, at school, or at work. People may unknowingly re-enact their trauma in different ways. As a peer supporter, your

---

job is to help people connect to their own strengths, to talk about trauma and its impact in ways that acknowledge and respect the person’s coping strategies, and to support people in naming their own experience. It is also critical to understand trauma so that you can help ensure that the people you work with are not unintentionally “re-traumatized.” Re-traumatization happens when something in the environment recreates an aspect of a previous traumatic situation and triggers a trauma response. Groups, organizations, and even societies can also be traumatized, so it is also important to apply these concepts to the larger settings in which you work.

WHAT HELPS? FACTORS THAT FOSTER TRAUMA HEALING

Over the past 20 years, the field has learned a great deal about healing from violence and trauma. A national dialogue about women, violence, and trauma was stimulated by a series of national conferences and the Women Co-occurring Disorders and Violence Study (WCDVS), a 5-year Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)-funded research study co-sponsored by all three SAMHSA Centers (the Center for Mental Health Services, the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, and the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment). The study explored the interrelation between violence, trauma, and co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders among women; provided recommendations for “trauma-integrated services counseling” for these women; and sparked the development of guiding principles for positive change.

These efforts emphasized peer support, the re-traumatization that too often happens within service systems, and the importance of focusing on gender. The women survivors who participated in the conferences and the research study clearly demonstrated the power of finding and using one’s voice, especially when the experience of trauma has been wrapped in secrecy and silence. Their participation has helped the trauma field understand how important it is for people with lived experience of trauma to determine the course of their own lives. It is also vital that they participate in every aspect of service planning, delivery, and evaluation and that they have the opportunity to develop peer-run services.

GENDER-SPECIFIC IMPACTS OF ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCES (ACES)

A “male child with an ACE score of 6 has a 4,600 percent increase in likelihood of later becoming an intravenous drug user when compared to a male child with an ACE score of 0.” ACEs can affect perceptions of power in intimate relationships, the ability to negotiate sexual boundaries, choice, and number of sexual partners. In an understandable effort to experience connection and intimacy, trauma survivors may underestimate risk in sexual relationships. One out of five trans people reports having engaged in sex work at some point; of these, 77 percent have experienced intimate partner violence, 72 percent have been sexually assaulted, 41 percent were physically assaulted, and 36 percent were sexually assaulted within the past year.

Recovery, Resilience, and Posttraumatic Growth

The most important message you can convey as a peer supporter is that healing is possible. The people you support have faced great challenges and survived. It’s a tribute to their strength that they’ve made the courageous choices they have made to get to where they are today.

Research shows that people are extremely resilient. They can recover from even severe and repeated trauma and can grow stronger in unexpected ways. Just like

---

1 Dare to Vision (1995), Dare to Act (2004), and Dare to Transform (2008)
a broken bone, a person can become “stronger at the broken places.” Often, people move through predictable stages of safety, remembrance and mourning, and reconnection with others.\textsuperscript{13} Grieving is often a major component of healing. This guide includes personal stories and suggestions for healing techniques that the person you support may want to try, but it is critical to remember that each individual’s journey is different.

There are many resources available that describe trauma recovery and that outline strategies to promote healing and posttraumatic growth. A few are listed in the resource section. As a peer supporter, one of the most important things you can do is to remind people that healing from trauma, like healing from a physical injury, is a natural human process.\textsuperscript{14} After violence occurs, a self-healing process is activated. The will to survive is triggered, and often the individual tries to make meaning out of the experience. It is critical for helpers to support the self-healing process rather than undermine it. Skills for supporting self-healing from trauma will be described in later chapters.

**Trauma-specific Services and Trauma-Informed Practices**

One important distinction is between “trauma-specific” interventions and “trauma-informed” practices, services, and supports.\textsuperscript{15} Trauma-specific interventions are designed to treat the specific symptoms of trauma. Many have demonstrated positive outcomes.\textsuperscript{16} Trauma-specific services include integrated models for trauma and substance use treatment, manualized group counseling models, cognitive behavioral therapies, prolonged exposure therapy, body-based interventions, eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR), and many others.

In contrast, trauma-informed practices provide a new paradigm for organizing services and supports that recognizes the central role that trauma plays in people’s lives and shifts the focus from “what is wrong with you” to “what happened to you.” Trauma-informed practices can be implemented anywhere—in educational settings, in job programs, in housing, in justice systems, and, of course, in peer support. Trauma-informed services seek to understand what happened to an individual and the meaning she makes of those experiences. In a trauma-informed program, everyone is educated about trauma and its consequences, as well as the importance of survivors’ voices and choices in the services and supports they receive. People are alert for ways to make their environment more healing and less re-traumatizing for both clients and staff. They understand that when you have been traumatized, regaining control over the environment is the number one priority, so they emphasize SAMHSA’s six principles for a trauma-informed approach: safety; trustworthiness and transparency; peer support; collaboration and mutuality; empowerment, voice, and choice; and cultural, historical, and gender issues.\textsuperscript{17} Trauma-informed services support resilience, self-care, and self-healing. Violence and healing both occur in a cultural context, so trauma-informed programs also respect and include culturally specific healing modalities.

Because violence and trauma among PLHIV are so common, peer supporters should assume that everyone they see has experienced some form of trauma. How you engage people, how you empower them to tell their stories in their own words, and how you work with their existing strengths and coping strategies are critical skills of trauma-informed peer support and will be discussed in more detail later in this guide.

Trauma-informed services don’t ask, “What’s wrong with you?”

*They ask, “What happened to you?”*

—Sandra Bloom

\textsuperscript{15} Distinction first made by Roger Fallot and Maxine Harris.
\textsuperscript{17} Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). SAMHSA’s concept of trauma and guidance for a trauma-informed approach (DHHS Publication No. SMA 14-4884). Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- Trauma occurs when external events overwhelm a person’s coping responses.
- Trauma is widespread. You can assume that many of the people you support have trauma histories and that many have experienced multiple sources of trauma.
- The earlier in life trauma occurs, the more damaging the consequences are likely to be.
- Being betrayed by trusted caregivers, being silenced, or feeling blame or shame may intensify the impact of the trauma.
- Trauma can affect every aspect of a person’s life over time.
- Trauma-informed practices shift the focus from “what is wrong with you” to “what happened to you.”
- Trauma-informed practices emphasize safety; trustworthiness and transparency; peer support; collaboration and mutuality; empowerment, voice, and choice; and cultural, historical, and gender issues.
- Healing is possible.
- It is essential for peer supporters to understand trauma to support healing and to avoid re-traumatization.

RESOURCES


This manual is designed to help you provide trauma-informed peer support. But what if the individuals you work with do not identify or even recognize themselves as “trauma survivors?” In this chapter, you will have a chance to think about how people come to recognize the impact of trauma on themselves and others. By examining potential sources of trauma in your own life, you will become aware of the ways in which the people you work with might have been affected by trauma, whether or not they talk about it.

IDENTIFYING AS A TRAUMA SURVIVOR

Everyone experiences pain and suffering, so how do you know if you have been traumatized? Often, when a person is experiencing violence—especially as a child—they have no way of knowing that it is not normal. An abused child may grow up believing that the world is a hurtful place, that they are unworthy and deserve whatever they get. They may feel uncertain of themselves and look to others to define what is “normal.” It may take a long time for them to realize that they have a right to be safe and happy, and even longer to develop self-care skills.

Even adults can have a hard time recognizing abuse and trauma. Many people who experience date rape, for example, are unsure how to categorize their experience. They might think that because it was not a stranger and he did not hold a gun to their head, it somehow it did not “count” as rape. Or they might blame themselves for accepting the date. People who experience violence at the hands of an intimate partner may see such events as an expected part of their relationship. Others may see certain types of violence as an unavoidable part of life in their family or neighborhood, something to be endured and not discussed. Sometimes people only begin to see themselves as abuse survivors when they get a chance to share their stories with their peers. Twenty-six percent of gay men and 37 percent of bisexual men experience rape, intimate partner violence, stalking by a partner, or a combination of these in their lifetime. One in six men report inappropriate sexual contact by age 16. They, too, may have difficulty recognizing or expressing this as abuse due to gender-specific cultural myths that men “cannot be sexually abused,” especially not by a woman, and that men are not only willing participants in any sex act (especially if their body becomes physically aroused or even ejaculates) but are specifically responsible for all sexual activity with women. Male trauma survivors may blame themselves for being “weak,” being unmanly, or feeling shame and confusion about their sexual orientation if they believe they somehow “allowed” the abuse.

CHOOSEING STRENGTH

“Someone from the Women’s Building came around asking if we wanted to go to a meeting, just for women, to talk about violence in our neighborhood. The first night, seven women came. The group leader talked a lot about violence and how something that happened way back when I was little can still bother me. I guess I was surprised. Where I live, somebody gets beat up almost every day. I didn’t say much. They asked if we would come back again. I guess so. But like K. said: ‘If coming here makes me feel better and stronger when I leave, then I will come back. I can’t have pity. No feeling sorry for me. Don’t even look at me with sad eyes. I have to go back out there and be a strong woman. Take care of my kids and be strong.’”

—Participant in a Sister to Sister peer support group, quoted by Cathy Cave

Even when people recognize that the violence they experienced was wrong and was not their fault, they may find it very hard to talk about—especially if they have been silenced, blamed, or shamed in the past.

for speaking out. There may be cultural differences in how violence, gender, and sexuality are defined and talked about. It is important to pay attention to how the people you support describe themselves and to respect the language they use. There are different views and core values about self-identity, and some of these are culturally based. For example, one woman who has experienced violence may describe herself as an Asian woman, a parent, a daughter, and an advocate. When she shares her journey of healing from violence and emotional distress, she may not use terms like “trauma survivor,” not out of shame, but because these terms do not hold meaning for her. As peer supporters, we need to be clear about how we self-identify, so that we can be aware of when our views and experiences may be influencing how we understand the people we support. Specific strategies for holding a conversation about trauma will be discussed in later chapters, but it is important to remember that defining one’s own experience in one’s own terms is essential to healing.

Words do matter, and words that describe our identity matter a great deal. Many of the people you work with have received a psychiatric diagnosis at one time or another. For some, that diagnosis may be helpful, even comforting. For others, it is harmful and disturbing. For example, at one time, transgender people were diagnosed with a chronic psychiatric disorder just because of their gender identity. The American Psychological Association removed that diagnosis in 2013, and the World Health Organization followed in 2018. However, a trans person who has lived a lifetime with the stigma of a misdiagnosed chronic mental illness may find it difficult to trust or take comfort in a psychiatric approach. The same thing holds true for people who have experienced violence and trauma in their lives. How they choose to talk about it—or if they choose to talk at all—is a very personal matter. It is important that peer supporters make it safe for people to share their experiences and are responsive to differences of culture, gender, and sex.

“Coming out” as a trauma survivor may have a profound effect on a woman’s identity. For example, women refugees coming to the United States after the war in Kosovo often defined themselves as *freedom fighters* injured in the struggle for liberation rather than as rape survivors, although most had been brutally raped and beaten by their captors. This had cultural and personal significance for them as Muslim women, giving a sense of meaning and purpose to their experience. Often, simply using the term *survivor* rather than *victim* can make a difference in the way people think and feel about what happened to them and how they envision the future. On the other hand, sometimes people choose to use the term “victim,” for example, to emphasize that they were both powerless and blameless.

As a peer supporter, you play an important role in ensuring that people can choose the words they want to use to describe their experience and their identity and in helping other people in the system respect those choices. But it is also your responsibility to give people space to look at what has happened to them throughout their lives and to begin to think about how those events might have influenced them.

---

**BEFORE WE DARE TO VISION, WE MUST BE WILLING TO SEE**

*They roused me from my drug-induced coma. And when I woke up, I found I was gone. I was gone again. I drooled and choked and walked like a zombie and passed out and I could feel nothing and think nothing and say nothing . . . .*

*There seems to be a two-tiered caste system and service delivery system developing in the mental health arena. One set of services is for people we once called the “chronically mentally ill” and who we now refer to as the “the severely and persistently mentally ill.” The second tier in this emerging caste system is the proliferation of specialized service, often in private hospitals, for survivors of abuse.*

*I have experienced this emerging trend on a firsthand basis. Between the ages of 17 and 39, I was labeled and treated as “a schizophrenic.” When they said I was “a schizophrenic,” the first thing I always got offered was drugs . . . but then after 16 years of being labeled “a schizophrenic,” I got a new diagnosis during a hospitalization in 1988. Now I am labeled as having multiple personality disorder. And the change in how I am perceived by mental health professionals is extraordinary! Now everyone wants to know what my voices are saying! Now there are no particular drugs people think I should take. Now all the clinicians agree the treatment of choice for me is insight-oriented, long-term psychotherapy. . . . Of course, the irony is that I have been the same person all along, no matter what diagnosis I carried.*

—Patricia E. Deegan, Ph.D.
TRACING TRAUMA IN YOUR LIFE AND THE LIVES OF YOUR PEERS

Take a few minutes to review the possible sources of trauma in your own life. Notice if there are potential sources of trauma that you have never considered before.

**Historical trauma.** We usually think of historical trauma as resulting from mass acts of violence against an entire group: slavery, or the genocide of Native Americans, or the Holocaust, or the internment of Japanese Americans during World War II. But it can also occur in more individual ways. If your parents or grandparents were immigrants, belonged to a religious group that was persecuted, or came from households that used extremely harsh physical discipline, you may feel the impact of the violence and trauma they faced even though you never directly experienced it. Think about your own family tree. Do you think you might have patterns of historical trauma in your family? Have you ever discussed it with anyone?

**Social violence.** Social violence such as ongoing poverty, racism, dislocation, or living in severely polluted or degraded environments can also have a traumatic impact over time. Have you ever experienced the impact of social violence? If so, do you think that it might have affected the way you think, feel, or act?

**Childhood trauma.** Children may be traumatized through emotional, physical, or sexual abuse; witnessing domestic violence; incarceration of a family member; family separation; physical or emotional neglect; gang violence; bullying (including cyberbullying or “sexting”); or witnessing violence in the streets. Think about your own childhood. How many different types of childhood trauma did you experience? At the time, what did you think or feel about these events? Have you ever thought about the impact that these experiences might have on you as an adult?

**Interpersonal violence.** Adults, especially women, experience interpersonal violence in many forms, including domestic violence, rape and sexual assault, sexual harassment, workplace bullying, and experiencing or witnessing violent crime. Have you ever experienced interpersonal violence? Have you had an experience where you felt shamed or fearful or coerced into doing something you didn’t want to do but weren’t quite sure if it “counted” as abuse?

**Institutional trauma.** Institutional procedures such as forced medication, involuntary commitment, transportation by law enforcement, and seclusion and restraint are often traumatizing. Medical interventions and certain aspects of routine institutional care, such as inflexible rules, authoritarian staff, and even the use of certain words or labels may be traumatic in less obvious ways. Think about your experience with institutions. Did anything ever happen that felt abusive? At the time, did you consider yourself as surviving a traumatic experience? Did the staff? Would you consider them traumatic now?

**Other traumatic events.** Natural disasters like hurricanes Katrina and Rita, acts of terrorism like 9/11, and wars can affect us even if we are not immediately present. Groups and organizations can also be traumatized by events such as a death or staff injury or even an unexpected layoff or reorganization. Have you ever experienced trauma from a natural disaster or war, either directly or indirectly? Has a group or organization you were a part of ever experienced a severe shock that affected you deeply? Have you ever thought about how these events affect your life?

Do you consider yourself a *trauma survivor*? Why or why not? What about the people you work with? Do you think they consider themselves trauma survivors? Why or why not?
CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

• Children who are abused may grow up believing the world is a hurtful place. It may take time for them to realize they have a right to be safe and happy and to identify the impact of trauma on their lives.
• Adults may also blame themselves for the things that happened to them or minimize the impact of violence they have experienced.
• Even people who recognize the impact of trauma on their lives may find it difficult to talk about.
• Men may believe that they cannot be trauma survivors even when they are.
• Defining one’s own experience in one’s own terms is essential to healing. People from different cultures may use different words and frameworks for talking about violence.
• Peer supporters play an important role in ensuring that people can choose the words they want to use to describe their experience and in helping other people respect those choices.

RESOURCES
Deegan, P. (1994, July). Before we dare to vision, we must be willing to see. Keynote presentation at the Dare to Vision Conference, Arlington, VA.
CHAPTER 3. PEER SUPPORT FUNDAMENTALS

Peer support does not adhere to any one program model. Rather, it is a dynamic and flexible approach to connection and mutual understanding based on a set of core values and principles. This chapter presents information on the fundamentals of peer support that have been developed over the years by people who have worked in peer support roles, conducted research on the topic, and have reflected on and written about it. These ideas can be applied to any setting or activity. Understanding the fundamentals will help you use the strategies presented in later chapters to apply these principles to peer support relationships with people who are trauma survivors. The chapter also suggests books, articles, and websites that provide additional information.

WHAT IS PEER SUPPORT?

Here, we are defining peer support specifically as peer support offered by and for PLHIV. Peer support is a way for people from diverse backgrounds who have experiences in common to come together to build relationships in which they share their strengths and support each other’s healing and growth. It does not focus on diagnoses or deficits but is rooted in compassion for oneself and others. Through peer support, we can challenge ourselves and each other to grow beyond our current circumstances and build the lives we want and deserve. Peer support promotes healing through taking action and by building relationships among a community of equals. It is not about “helping” others in a hierarchical way but about learning from one another and building connections.

A NATURAL HUMAN RESPONSE TO SHARED ADVERSITY

Most people who have been through hard times empathize with and have an urge to reach out to others who struggle with problems that feel similar to their own. For example, an older woman with children shares her experiences with an overwhelmed new mother. A widow offers tea and words of comfort to a woman whose husband has recently died. The desire for peer support relationships can be seen as a natural human response to shared struggles.

A peer is an equal, someone who has faced similar circumstances, such as PLHIV, people who have survived cancer, people who have become widows, or people who parent adolescents. In peer support, the people involved have had some sort of similar experience, such as being given an HIV+ diagnosis and receiving HIV care services. That is one of the key differences between peer support and professional services and treatment. “Support” is another way of expressing the kind of understanding and encouragement toward growth that people who struggle with similar issues can offer one another.

Peer support can take many forms. In the 1930s, the 12-step model emerged to provide mutual (peer) emotional, social, and informational support for people struggling with alcohol dependency. Today, 12-step programs are the most widely available mutual support groups for people in addiction and substance use recovery, although other models for peer support have emerged, including Women for Sobriety (WFS), SMART Recovery (Self-Management and Recovery

---


Training), and Secular Organizations for Sobriety/Save Our Selves (SOS). In the field of mental health, peer support in its modern form began in the early 1970s among former patients who were angry about the involuntary treatment they had received in state hospitals and other institutions. Some of these people found each other and came together in groups to share their outrage, support each other’s healing, and demand changes in the system. In those days, peer support (more commonly called “self-help” at the time) was a communal activity. No one was paid, and people supported each other as they became activists and advocates for positive change.

### ROOTS OF PEER SUPPORT

The peer support model comes from the civil rights movement that was pioneered by people living with HIV (the 1983 Denver Principles Statement from the advisory committee of the People with AIDS). At the same time, peer support was developed by people living with mental health conditions, substance use, and co-occurring disorders (which later became known as the recovery movement). Both communities fought back against being stigmatized as “victims,” as well as not having a voice in policy-making, decisions, and media coverage about them. Both champion the principle of “nothing about us without us,” and both movements have struggled to maintain that focus on civil rights and inclusion (including prioritizing “lived experience”) in the face of increasing professionalization.

#### The Denver Principles (1983)

Statement from the People with AIDS advisory committee: “We condemn attempts to label us as ‘victims,’ a term which implies defeat, and we are only occasionally ‘patients,’ a term which implies passivity, helplessness, and dependence upon the care of others. We are ‘People With AIDS.’”

#### Recommendations for All People

1. Support us in our struggle against those who would fire us from our jobs, evict us from our homes, refuse to touch us, or separate us from our loved ones, our community, or our peers, since available evidence does not support the view that AIDS can be spread by casual, social contact.
2. Do not scapegoat people with AIDS, blame us for the epidemic, or generalize about our lifestyles.

#### Recommendations for People with AIDS

1. Form caucuses to choose your own representatives, to deal with the media, to choose your own agenda, and to plan your own strategies.
2. Be involved at every level of decision-making, and specifically serve on the boards of directors of provider organizations.
3. Be included in all AIDS forums with equal credibility as other the participants, to share your own experiences and knowledge.
4. Substitute low-risk sexual behaviors for those that could endanger you or your partners; we feel people with AIDS have an ethical responsibility to inform their potential sexual partners of their health status.

#### Rights of People with AIDS

1. To have full and satisfying sexual and emotional lives just as anyone else.
2. To access quality medical treatment and quality social service provision without discrimination of any kind, including sexual orientation, gender, diagnosis, economic status, or race.
3. To be provided full explanations of all medical procedures and risks, to choose or refuse their treatment modalities, to refuse to participate in research without jeopardizing their treatment, and to make informed decisions about their lives.
4. To be treated with privacy, to have confidentiality of medical records, to be treated with respect, and to choose who their significant others are.
5. To die—and to LIVE—in dignity.

—Denver 1983

---

25 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2008). Substance abuse in brief fact sheet: An introduction to mutual support groups for alcohol and drug abuse. Available at [https://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content/SMA08-4336/SMA08-4336.pdf](https://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content/SMA08-4336/SMA08-4336.pdf)

In the decades since, peer support has developed in a number of different ways, many of which bear little resemblance to the peer support groups of the 1970s. Today, as a peer supporter, you may work in a paid or volunteer job in places where HIV care takes place—from mainstream behavioral health programs such as outpatient clinics, inpatient units, or emergency rooms, to HIV-specific care settings like Ryan White-funded health centers, HIV care clinics, LGBTQI health centers, and other integrated healthcare settings. You might work in other service systems, such as a homeless service program, the justice system, or a domestic violence shelter. Maybe you are involved as a staff member or volunteer in a peer-run program. Or perhaps you are a member of a freestanding, independent support group that maintains many of the qualities of peer support from the early days of the ex-patients’ movement. You may have had formal training by a peer-run organization or a state-certified program, or maybe you learned about peer support through reading articles and websites or through participating in a peer support group.

Some organizations—mainly programs that hire Medicaid-reimbursable peer specialists—define peer support as a “helping relationship,” similar to the hierarchical roles of other behavioral health professions. But in this guide, we define peer support as an activity based on mutual relationships that incorporate the principles described below.

**Principles of Peer Support**

Although peer support can be practiced in different settings and through a variety of activities, there are some important underlying values that make peer support unique and valuable. As we discussed earlier, these principles have been developed by consensus over the years by people who have been directly involved in peer support as participants, researchers, and writers.

**Peer support is voluntary.** The most basic value of peer support is that people freely choose to participate. It is for people who want to be involved, not people who have been told they need it or who are pressured to attend. The voluntary nature of peer support makes it easier for us to build trust and connections with one another.

---

**ROOTS OF PEER SUPPORT: THE FEMINIST PRACTICE OF CONSCIOUSNESS-RAISING**

Consciousness-raising is a group process rooted in feminism in which people with a common problem share and explore their experiences to draw connections between the personal and the political.

In the 1970s, former mental patients used consciousness-raising as a tool to understand their experiences in a social and political context. This helped people realize that many of their issues were not individual problems related to their diagnoses but the result of patterns of discrimination and oppression. Ex-patients learned that their feelings of isolation, inadequacy, and powerlessness were the result of real practices within the mental health system and real discrimination in the community, not byproducts of their “illnesses.”

Consciousness-raising also helped people recognize their own internalized stigma—their unconscious agreement with society’s negative stereotypes of “mental patients”—and develop new, more empowering beliefs about their ability to regain control of their lives.

**Peer support is nonjudgmental.** In peer support, we meet people who have experiences, beliefs, or ways of living their lives that may be different from our own, despite the things we have in common. Being nonjudgmental means approaching each person with openness, curiosity, and genuine interest.

**Peer support is empathetic.** Sometimes people call this “putting yourself in the other person’s shoes.” It means that we each make a genuine effort to imagine how the other person feels, what might have led to those feelings, and how we would want someone to respond to us in that situation.

**Peer support is respectful.** Everyone is seen as having something important and unique to contribute. We value everyone who wants to be a part of the group and treat each other with kindness, warmth, and dignity. We accept each other and are open to sharing with people from many ethnicities and cultures, educational levels, and religions. We honor and make room for everyone’s opinions and see each other as equally capable of contributing to the group.

---

27 Many of the ideas in this section are adapted from an unpublished manuscript by Shery Mead, Darby Penney, and Laura Prescott and are heavily influenced by Shery Mead’s work on intentional peer support. (See the Resources section at the end of this chapter.)
Peer support requires honest and direct communication. Each of us says what is on our mind in a respectful way. Learning how to speak honestly but with compassion about difficult issues can be the most challenging part of developing relationships with our peers. Combining directness with caring requires that we move beyond our fear of hurting other people or making them angry and have honest conversations with the people we need to address.

RESEARCH SHOWS PEER SUPPORT’S EFFECTIVENESS

Research on peer support has consistently shown that people benefit by participating. Ed Knight, a researcher with mental health and substance use histories, reviewed the findings of six peer support studies. He reported that people with serious psychiatric diagnoses greatly benefit from being part of peer support activities. Emotional distress and substance use problems decrease. Participants do not have as many crises and are hospitalized less often. Peer support participants feel better about themselves and have more social skills and broader networks of friends.

Other studies have shown similar results. These include improvements in:

- Self-esteem
- Hopefulness
- Inner strength

Participants also report a greater awareness of their rights and social justice issues and greater feelings of empowerment.

Jean Campbell summarized the “Emerging Research Base of Peer-run Support Programs” at [http://www.power2u.org/emerging_research_base.html](http://www.power2u.org/emerging_research_base.html)

Peer support involves mutual responsibility. We each take responsibility for voicing our own needs and feelings. Each of us needs to understand that we are not there to take care of the other, but that each participant is responsible for making sure everyone is heard.

Peer support is about sharing power. No one is in charge and everyone is equally responsible. Sharing power may be a new idea. If we have been in service systems for a long time, we may have gotten used to being told what to do. Sometimes when people suddenly have the freedom to make decisions, they may act like the people who used to make decisions for them. Some people may be more assertive than others, and it is important that they allow people who are quieter and less assertive to be involved in decisions. When power is shared successfully, people give and take the lead in discussions, everyone is offered a chance to speak, and decisions are made collectively by the whole group.

Peer support is reciprocal. Every person both gives and receives in a fluid, constantly changing dynamic. This is very different from what we are used to in treatment programs, where we are usually seen as people who need help and the staff are seen as people who give help. In peer support, we are aware that each of us has things to teach and things to learn. This is true whether you are a paid peer supporter or part of an informal group.

In the mid-2000s, when Yolo Akili Robinson was just starting out as a new HIV/AIDS outreach worker, he was given just three tools: HIV and AIDS 101 training, training on “Many Men, Many Voices,” and individually wrapped condoms and lube in plastic baggies. As a young Black gay man in America living with HIV himself, he was sent out to Atlanta’s black gay bars and clubs “to disrupt the norms around tops and bottoms that contribute to high-risk behaviors.... “With these three tools, I was expected to be able to support people in their fear and oppression and anxiety around their HIV diagnoses.... I was expected to be someone the community calls on for support when facing all of the challenges one faces being a Black gay man in America.... Those tools were not enough.”28 It’s not just a 9-to-5 job when you are navigating the same challenges yourself and helping your family members and friends do the same with the same tools. You don’t go home and get a break from the pandemic.

Black, queer, gay and same-gender loving men, including peer supporters, who work in public health within the same community in which they live require more nuanced and complex tools for dealing with mental health struggles at the convergence of living with HIV and trauma. Robinson founded and directs the Black Emotional and Mental Health Collective (BEAM), which helps address this need.

---

TYPES OF PEER SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

Formal support groups are structured groups in which people who share a common experience meet at a regularly scheduled time to give each other support by sharing ideas through discussion and conversation. Usually the conversation focuses on an agreed-on topic or question and the discussion is moderated by a facilitator to ensure that the conversation stays on track and everyone has a chance to be heard. Support groups can take many shapes depending on what works best for the people involved. Groups may follow an existing format, such as those used by 12-step programs, Recovery International, Double Trouble, or other organized models. Peer support groups may be focused on a particular issue or group of people, such as women who are trauma survivors. Members may decide that the group will be ongoing and open-ended or that it may end after a certain number of meetings.

Activity-focused peer support. Another way to organize peer support is around a specific activity. Some people do not like sitting around and talking—they would rather be doing something. This could be a one-time event, like going with a group to a film that has a positive message about recovery. Or it could be an ongoing activity, like a softball team of women trauma survivors that plays in a neighborhood league. Other possibilities include arts and creative expression or volunteering together to work on community service projects. Doing things with others helps develop a common purpose, a group identity, and a sense of belonging.

Educational activities. Learning new things with one’s peers can be exciting and less intimidating than trying to learn on one’s own. When people start thinking about what they want their lives to become instead of just talking about what went wrong in the past, they can learn and create things together that they might not be able to accomplish alone. They can create what’s called a “learning community” of people who teach and learn together about topics that interest them, without formal teacher–student relationships. Most educational activities grow out of people’s own interests or their need to learn something new to help them deal with a current issue they face. Some examples might be people who form a study group to prepare for the GED exam, people with diabetes and other health issues learning together how to prepare healthy meals, or women trauma survivors starting a book club to read and discuss trauma recovery materials.

Informal and one-on-one peer support. Some people are not joiners and do not feel at home in groups. Peer support can happen in many different settings and does not have to be highly structured. People can support each other in pairs or in ad hoc small groups. Peer support can happen casually on the phone or in person, through email, on the street, or in a park or coffee shop. One-on-one peer support can also happen in a planned way in peer-run programs or with peer support staff in mainstream programs.

Transgender and gender nonconforming peer support telehealth networks like Trans Lifeline, Family Lifeline, and DeQH DESI LGBTQ Helpline for South Asians are warm lines for toll-free calls with social media and website outreach that apply the same peer support principles drawn from the Denver Principles and AIDS United, feminist consciousness raising, and 12-step peer support programs. https://www.translifeline.org/ and http://www.deqh.org/

ROOTS OF PEER SUPPORT: 12-STEP PROGRAMS

The 12-step movement was launched when one alcoholic turned to another for help in 1935. Two men, Bill W. and Dr. Bob, began informally working with others to quit drinking and stay sober through self-help techniques based on spirituality. In 1939, Bill W. wrote a book, Alcoholics Anonymous, based on the 12 principles that he and Br. Bob developed for their 12-step recovery program.

Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) is “a fellowship of men and women who share their experience, strength and hope with each other so that they may solve their common problem and help others to recover from alcoholism.” Narcotics Anonymous (NA), founded later, is based on the same principles. AA/NA believes that drinkers/drug users must stop drinking/using completely, admit they are powerless over addiction, and rely on a higher power for help. Members also believe that alcoholism and addiction are diseases. Anonymity, group unity, and shared responsibility for leadership are important features of 12-step recovery groups.

Bill W. and other AA pioneers spread a radical new philosophy. It taught that people do not have to rely on “experts” to change their lives but can do so with the support of people who share their experience.
Advocacy is a positive way to put peer support into action. It’s about a group deciding what they want, deciding which changes are required to attain their goal, and communicating effectively with the right people to make this happen. Working together to solve a common problem helps build connections among people and improves their confidence in their ability to make their lives better. By taking action together, people move away from feeling helpless as they recognize the possibilities for making positive change together. Even when advocacy does not result in all the changes people want, they develop a sense of strength and purpose that can make them feel empowered and hopeful about the future. Many people have had experiences in their lives or in service systems where their wishes have been ignored, where they have not felt listened to, or where they have had things done to them, rather than with them. As advocates, they can support each other as they learn how to make their voices heard, make sure their rights are protected, and get supports and services that work for them on their terms.

WHERE DOES PEER SUPPORT TAKE PLACE?

Peer support can be practiced in a variety of settings, each presenting particular challenges and opportunities. Some of the common locations and situations where peer support happens include the following examples.

Independent, unincorporated peer support groups.
These are voluntary groups developed by people to meet their own self-defined peer support needs. Usually, such groups are not funded by the government, although they may raise funds to cover the costs of their activities. This kind of group is not explicitly part of a service system, even though its members may have met each other through programs. Groups may meet in members’ homes or in free community spaces such as churches or libraries.

Peer-run programs.
These are incorporated not-for-profit organizations that are run by people who have used behavioral health services, and they are governed by a majority peer board. They may receive government funding, private funding, or both. Common types of peer-run programs include peer support centers, drop-in centers, warm lines, housing programs, employment programs, and crisis alternatives.

Peer support staff working in mainstream and integrated care programs.
In many states, people are hired into positions called peer specialists, peer educators, patient navigators, HIV navigators, community health workers, outreach specialists (or similar titles), which may or may not require a state certification. Typically, people in these positions provide peer support services in inpatient units, emergency rooms, and a variety of community-based programs. People working as paid staff in traditional programs may face particular challenges in adhering to the values of peer support because agencies that work from a medical model may not recognize the impact of trauma and may not understand the unique role of peer support. Ideally, the role should be to facilitate the development of peer support relationships and communities rather than to act in a hierarchy-based “expert” role.

Internet and phone-based peer support.
Meeting people in person can be hard. Some people live in rural areas where travel is expensive or public transportation is lacking. Others may feel socially awkward after years of isolation in systems or because of the side effects of medication. People may be trying to re-learn how to socialize without using alcohol or drugs to numb their sense of insecurity in social situations. The internet provides opportunities for peer support through social networking sites like Facebook, through blogs and websites, and through online discussion groups. Using these tools, it is possible to safely meet new people who want to share information on vital issues and to build virtual online communities of support.

PEER RECOVERY CENTERS

Across the United States, more than 30 peer recovery centers have been established with funding from SAMHSA’s Recovery Community Support Program to promote sustained recovery from alcohol and drug use disorders. Many who use these peer-to-peer services are trauma survivors.

The RECOVER Project in Western Massachusetts is a large, welcoming space in Greenfield offering peer-led activities including art classes, free yoga and reiki, sober social events, leadership training, and mentoring. The RECOVER Project uses a participatory process to ensure that decisions are made by the recovery community as a whole. Creating a trauma-informed center was a central goal, supporting their efforts to “provide support, services, and solace to families and individuals who are living in fear” and to “create conditions where every member can achieve a full and satisfying life free of violence and its consequences.”

The RECOVER Project has developed a manual, How to Build Your Own Peer-to-Peer Recovery Center from the Ground Up! Available for download at [http://www.recoverproject.org/](http://www.recoverproject.org/).
CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

• Peer support is a flexible approach that people who share common experiences can use to build relationships that support each other’s growth and healing and open up new ways of understanding oneself and others.
• The core values of peer support focus on mutuality, reciprocity, being nonjudgmental, and sharing power in non-hierarchical ways.
• Peer support can take different forms and can take place in a wide variety of settings.
• In peer support, we support and challenge each other as we develop new ways to interpret and make meaning of our life experiences, our relationships, and our futures.

RESOURCES


CHAPTER 4. GENDER POLITICS AND THE CRIMINALIZATION OF HIV

Because both men and women experience trauma, why create a manual that focuses on how gender can affect PLHIV? Although men experience high rates of trauma, we saw in Chapter 1 that women are more likely to experience violence at the hands of people they know and trust, whereas men are more likely to experience violence from strangers. And people who have lived experience in both genders and other gender minorities tend to experience more violence from both people they know and from strangers.29 These differences have a profound impact on how each person understands their trauma experiences and on peer support relationships. When services are so gender-neutral that they fail to recognize the unique ways gender differences affect issues related to betrayal, trust, safety, and shame—including ways they impact engagement, connection, and relationships—people may find it impossible to heal. Although you may not be in a position to provide gender-specific peer support, it is important to aim for gender-responsive peer support and to consider gender-specific needs.

For example, throughout history, women’s experience has been invisible, ignored, or discounted. Women are socialized to take on certain roles, and if they do not follow the rules, they may be treated as “sick” or “criminal.” This is also true for gender and sex minorities. Understanding this will help you better support the people you work with. For example, discrimination against transgender people adds to the challenges PLHIV already face: 78 percent of trans women LHIV and 59.7 percent of trans men LHIV live below the U.S. federal poverty level.30 In contrast, the poverty rate for the U.S. population as a whole is 12.7 to 14 percent.31

This chapter will provide an overview of how gender differences can contribute to violence and trauma, how social norms and institutions affect trauma survivors, and how gender may affect peer support relationships. It will also set the stage for gender-responsive tools and techniques described in later chapters.

THE INVISIBILITY OF GENDER AND SEXUAL ORIENTATION IDENTITIES

Historically, women were considered to be the property of men and were believed to be physically, mentally, emotionally, and spiritually weaker than men. The notion of women’s bodies as men’s property was established in the Code of Hammurabi in 1,800 BC, codified in English Law in 1769, and adopted by the United States in 1776. It was not until 1962 that a U.S. court first ruled that men do not have a right to beat their wives, and it was not until the 1980s that U.S. courts ruled that men do not have a right to rape their wives.

---


In 2007, women represented 65 percent of the sheltered homeless population.

Women with children who have sole economic responsibility for their families is one of the fastest growing sectors of homelessness.

More than 90 percent of mothers experiencing homelessness have been seriously physically or sexually assaulted.

—From Laura Prescott, 2008

Women make up 17 percent of the total population of offenders in the justice system. They are more likely than male offenders and women in the general population to experience physical or sexual assault.

Many of the 3,000 jails across the country are too small to have separate facilities for men and women.

Girls are the fastest growing population in the juvenile justice system. Traditional justice practices may backfire with the very high percentage of girls who are abuse survivors.

—From Women and Trauma: Report of the Federal Partners Committee on Women and Trauma, 2011

Until relatively recently, women have been socially, as well as legally, “invisible.” Girls still grow up in a society where political and economic power rests primarily with men, the media and popular culture objectify women, and violence against women is common. Until the early 1990s, women were routinely excluded from clinical medical research trials and were overlooked in many systems, for example, in employment, jails, and homeless shelters. As a result, many systems are basically designed for men, with women and children added as an afterthought. Gender-related issues are often overlooked. For example, many mental health programs do not routinely ask the women they serve about possible domestic violence or about whether they have children.

Until recently, gay and trans people were also rendered invisible: Sometimes by denying their existence at all, sometimes by being defined as criminal or mentally ill. Sex and gender minorities have existed around the world throughout human history and also occur naturally in many species. However, only in 1972 did the American Psychological Association remove homosexuality from its list of mental disorders; only in 2013 was gender identity difference removed. Intersex people are still invisible: Unlike the World Health Organization, in the United States there is no legal and medical right to be recognized as neither male nor female but as biologically intersex—not “disordered” nor in need of “correction” by the involuntary surgeries performed on half of intersex babies and children.32

As a peer supporter, you may encounter people who are struggling to get their basic needs met. You can support them with understanding, information, and advocacy.

Gender Role Socialization and Violence

Despite the advances made by women during the 20th century, our society is still fundamentally male-dominated, and many Americans embrace socialization practices based on physical dominance. The majority of Americans endorse spanking or other forms of physical discipline33; corporal punishment in schools is still allowed in 19 states and is used routinely in eight states.34 Although corporal punishment remains controversial, there is little doubt that if it is experienced as shameful, unwarranted, or abusive, it can have lasting psychological consequences.

---


34 World Corporal Punishment Research, www.corpun.com
For girls, learning to be compliant through physical force is coupled with mixed messages that increase their vulnerability to abuse as adults: be equal, but learn to submit; be peaceful, but expect to be the object of violence; be powerful, but expect the most important leadership positions to go to men. For boys, being physically dominated while being socialized to be powerful may create a vicious cycle of powerlessness, denial, shame, and vulnerability that may be dealt with through domination of others. In this way, both women and men may have been conditioned to live out patterns of abuse. For gender and sex minorities, disproportionate actual and threatened sexual and physical violence, emotional abuse, and economic exclusion send a clear message to hide, deny, isolate, and self-blame. Gender and sex minorities are disproportionately targeted for sexual and physical violence as an expected, even deserved, consequence of being different.

WOULD YOU KNOW WHAT TO LOOK FOR?

Lara is 37 years old and has been hospitalized many times with diagnoses of an eating disorder and major depression. She lives with her boyfriend, whom she met in the state hospital several years ago. Lara had one child, but she lost custody of her child 13 years ago.

Lara came to the peer center after being discharged from the state hospital. She was hospitalized 5 days earlier when her boyfriend called 911 after an apparent overdose of her medication. Lara has overdosed many times before, always saying that it was the only way to get out of the apartment. It has been repeatedly noted in her records that she is “manipulative” and stages minor overdoses to get attention. The peer supporter knows about the impact of trauma and gave Lara an opportunity to talk about her childhood. Lara described early sexual abuse and a gang rape at age 14. They decided to work together on the impact of Lara’s trauma history on her feelings and behaviors. Several months later, Lara was back in the hospital with another overdose.

Although the peer supporter acted with sensitivity about Lara’s trauma history, she hadn’t thought much about her boyfriend. In fact, Lara was being abused by her boyfriend. He sometimes became highly suspicious and jealous, and to protect his “rights” to Lara, he would lock her in the apartment with no phone and no way to get out. Lara knew that if he found her after an apparent overdose he would call 911 to “save” her. Because of her trauma history, Lara didn’t understand that relationships could be safe. She didn’t mention the problem with her boyfriend because she feared losing both her relationship and her place to live. After contacting the local domestic violence shelter, Lara began working on a safety plan, housing options, and began rethinking her options for relationships.

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS:

1. Would you have realized that Lara was being abused by her boyfriend? Why or why not?
2. Why do you think that domestic violence is sometimes overlooked by mental health providers?
3. Domestic violence is a reality for many women with or without psychiatric diagnoses.

These dynamics create a backdrop for interactions among one’s peers. In whatever setting you work, people may act from a sense of “victimhood” related to their past experiences. Gender-related violence—sexual assault, domestic violence, sexual harassment—may be a part of their lives and may even occur within peer relationships. In addition, the behavioral health system may unintentionally contribute to a failure to recognize these behaviors. Because the system is so focused on diagnosis and illness, behavior is often assessed in terms of “mental illness.” So, in a psychiatric setting, an incident that might quickly be identified as domestic violence anywhere else may be written off as a symptom of “mental illness.” For instance, when a woman, gay man, or trans person reports being stalked or harassed, it may be misconstrued as paranoia based on stereotypes about women, gay men, and trans people rather than on what actually happened to that particular individual. As a peer supporter, it is crucial that you become familiar with the dynamics of gender-related violence, what signs to watch for, and ways to intervene to ensure safety.

SOCIAL CONSTRUCTIONS OF LIVED EXPERIENCE OF GENDER AND HIV

Women are socialized to defer to power, and if they do not comply with these expectations, their behavior may be punished or labeled as deviant. This is a common process—the man who demands his own way is often described as “a strong leader,” but the woman who does the same thing is called “aggressive.” The process of constructing women’s experience as sick, crazy, or criminal has historically been a part of both medical and legal institutions. The same is true for sex and gender minorities, who are still often treated as criminal or “abnormal” simply for being who they are.

The Medicalization of Trauma, Race, and Gender Diversity

The history of psychiatry is full of examples of women being portrayed as over-emotional and prone to imagined conditions, including the diagnosis of “hysteria” used for women in the 19th century. Currently, women are far more frequently prescribed drugs for anxiety, stress, or normal life changes (such as childbirth or menopause) than men. In the 1960s and 70s, there was a national scandal about the over-prescription of addictive drugs to women, many who were living in intolerable or abusive situations. Although the most damaging drugs were removed from the market or more carefully controlled, the tendency to “medicalize” women’s problems rather than to deal with the underlying causes has not changed.

Likewise, gay and trans people have had their very identities pathologized by medical and psychiatric professionals, and these diagnostic labels were only just recently removed in 1972 and 2013, respectively. Doctors treated gay men with female hormones to render them impotent (as in the case of World War II hero Alan Turing, who committed suicide as a consequence). Doctors still sterilize and castrate intersex infants and children to “normalize” them, philosophically driven by the desire to prevent potential homosexuality.36

People with intersex conditions and trans men can also be traumatized by what might otherwise be routine gynecological care: office staff, insurance companies, and even medical professionals sometimes refuse care and explicitly harass such patients. For example, Robert Eads, a transgender man diagnosed with ovarian cancer, was turned down for treatment by two dozen doctors out of fear that treating such a patient would hurt their reputation. By the time Eads received treatment, the cancer was too advanced to save his life, as documented in the Sundance Film Festival Grand Jury Prize-winning film, Southern Comfort (2001).

Trans people also report high rates of trauma and re-traumatization when seeking mental health support—from being asked at psychiatric hospitals to show their genitals without a medically necessary reason (11.59 percent) to being verbally harassed (16.26 percent) and denied treatment or service by therapists (11.85 percent).37

For people with severe abuse histories, the intersection of stereotypes about their race, gender, trauma, and the process of medicalization (or denial of needed medical care) can be life-destroying. Survivors of violence and discrimination usually try to make sense of their experience, “reconstructing” their self-identity to incorporate their experience. If people around them refuse to acknowledge or condemn the abuse, the survivor may come to the same conclusion. For instance, they may come to believe that “it didn’t happen” or “it happened, but it wasn’t important and has no consequences” or “I provoked (and deserved) it—it wasn’t abusive.”38 The survivor may repress and deny the trauma to survive. And if they do that, what other explanation for her distress is there, other than that she must be “crazy?”

b) H. R. McIlwaine (Ed.). (1924). Minutes of the Council and General Court of Colonial Virginia. Richmond, VA: Colonial Press. (pp. 194–195);
Gender-specific Pathways to Criminalization of Trauma Survivors LHIV

The number of women in the criminal justice system has increased dramatically since 1980. Many enter the justice system because of domestic violence or the criminal activity of their male partners. According to a Howard University Law School professor, “A woman may be married to a man who deals drugs and the woman has only a very basic low-level involvement. She may answer the phone and take messages... and yet, with drug laws the way they are now, she gets charged with conspiracy for the total amount of the drugs.”

Incarceration reflects social patterns of racism; women of color are disproportionately incarcerated, whereas white women are disproportionately given probation or mandated treatment.

Women and girls may also end up being “criminalized” as they attempt to escape from domestic violence or sex trafficking. As shown in the sidebar, there are multiple pathways through which women and girls who are being abused end up in the justice system, where they are most often treated as criminals rather than victims.

And of course, incarceration itself can be fundamentally traumatizing, especially since most corrections facilities were originally designed for men: they are not gender-responsive to the re-entry needs of anyone but cisgender, heterosexual men in their architecture, design, and programming.

In many states, PLHIV can be convicted of a felony for engaging in conduct that would otherwise be legal if not for the person’s HIV status—even with no risk of HIV transmission, usually just for failing to disclose this medical condition to a potential partner. For this reason, those who have had a prior conviction for sex work are most targeted for arrest and prosecution, especially trans women of color, who are assumed to be soliciting potential customers any time they may be out in public, just “walking while trans.” Criminalizing HIV between two consenting adults when there is absolutely no risk of transmission (as many states still do) discourages testing and getting needed treatment, which increases the public health risk. HIV criminalization laws are disproportionately enforced against women and people of color (especially trans women of color).

The legal system offers little help to women with psychiatric diagnoses. Once diagnosed with a mental illness, women’s trauma histories are persistently discounted or ignored within the legal system. In fact, for women with a diagnosis of borderline personality disorder, the impact of the law is “pervasive and almost wholly negative.”

About 76 percent of all people who receive this diagnosis are women, and a very high percentage of them are sexual abuse survivors. Women who are diagnosed as “borderlines” often behave exactly as you would expect, trying to gain control back over themselves and others, and angrily confronting people in authority. Rather than recognizing their history and providing supports, the legal system may deem them “not disabled enough” to receive benefits but far too troubled to be able to care for their own children or even themselves.

---

PATHWAYS FROM VICTIM TO CRIMINAL

- Girls run away from home to escape violence and end up in juvenile justice.
- Women end up homeless due to violence, are picked up by police, and are charged with petty crime.
- Women use drugs to cope with pain and end up in the justice system.
- Violence impoverishes women, causes loss of job and benefits, and women turn to crime to survive.
- Women are arrested for defending themselves or their children from violence.
- Once in the legal system, women are not taken seriously as victims and have a harder time getting released.

—From Mary Gilfus, 2002

Young people who are LGBTQI are at a particularly high risk of depression, substance use challenges, and homelessness due to their disproportionate rejection by their families and peers, without any intervention by school officials on their behalf (trans youth even more than LGB youth.) Sixty-eight percent of LGBTQI teens who are homeless experience family rejection specifically because of their sexuality, and more than half have been abused. At these intersections of both family rejection and homelessness, these teens have higher rates of substance use and co-occurring disorders but far more difficulty accessing and completing treatment. LGBTQI are four times overrepresented among youth experiencing homelessness:

- Of approximately 2,000,000 youth experiencing homelessness in America, nearly half identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual, and a disproportionate number of them are transgender or questioning their sexuality.
- Forty-three percent of clients who are served at non-residential drop-in centers and 30 percent at street outreach programs identify as LGBT.
- LGBTQI youth who are homeless experience an average of 7.4 more acts of sexual violence toward them than their heterosexual peers and are more likely to attempt suicide (62 percent) than their heterosexual homeless peers (29 percent).
- Once homeless, LGBTQI youth are at a higher risk of victimization and suffer higher incidents of mental health problems and unsafe sexual behavior than straight youth experiencing homelessness. LGBT youth are disproportionately driven to sex work due to a lack of other resources and can be arrested for petty (survival) theft, drug use, or sex work, and they are not taken seriously as victims or in recovery because of their youth, gender, or sex differences. Emergency youth shelters and drop-in centers often have no way to accommodate LGB and especially trans youth.

48 Ibid.
IMPLICATIONS FOR PEER SUPPORT

Many of these issues will surface again in later chapters, which are designed to help you develop the specific skills you need to work with people in peer support relationships. It is critical that you develop the ability to look through a “gender lens,” since so many aspects of experience depend on gender identity. Using a gender lens—and tools like the Gender Minority Stress and Resilience Model—will help you to create a safer environment, recognize potentially abusive interactions, and develop services and supports that are more responsive to the needs and histories of all people.

TONIER’S STORY

At age 9, I believed I would amount to nothing. My mother was an alcoholic. I had 8 brothers and sisters. My mother “entertained” all the time, and when she stopped singing and laughing, I knew I would hear the footsteps coming to my door. There were a lot of sexual assaults, a lot of abuse. Sometimes I couldn’t go to school, but no one asked me why. I also started drinking at age 9. When I started to drink, my mother would slap me down, but when the men came, it didn’t feel as painful, so I drank.

Eventually, someone noticed, and they sent out a social worker. She removed us from the family immediately and put us in foster care. I was given back to my mother, but she needed a place to live, and she had an alcoholic friend of hers who she thought was pretty cute. So I married him, and she moved in. I was pregnant, and every day he beat me. Then I started using crack cocaine, and I didn’t have to feel. I thought I never had to feel anything ever again. But unfortunately, the cocaine introduced me to the criminal justice system. I stand before you with 86 arrests and 66 convictions. They told me I was going to spend the rest of my life in and out of prison or on the streets. They kept calling me crazy and I didn’t know why.

I was a “repeat offender” and every time I was arrested it got worse. I was raped so many times I can’t count them, but they assigned me a male counselor. I was alone with this man, sharing the things that had happened to me, and how, despite it all, I had graduated the program, and he raped me. And he told me, no sense telling anyone about it, you’re just a convict, a prostitute. He has since been held accountable for his actions, but given my history, maybe a male counselor wasn’t the best thing for me.

One of the worst things was being put into seclusion or restraint. I don’t care if the room is padded or not, it triggers my issues with my mother. My survival mode kicks in. One time I pushed a tray out my face, and someone got hit with the tray, so they call a code, and then I’m down on the ground being restrained. Restraining a rape victim? Doing more harm. Causing more trauma.

I lived under a bridge for 19 years. But then I ended up in the Maryland Correctional Institution for Women, and I got into this program. The first thing they told me was that what had happened to me wasn’t my fault. And you know what? After years of everyone telling me that I deserved everything I got, I believed them, and my thought process changed. One of the best things I did was to take a course on how to be a mother. I had lost four kids to the system, I knew how to do that, but I didn’t know how to take care of a kid. I had been told that when they cry, they’re attention seeking. When you come from abuse, sometimes it takes real work not to be abusive. And I didn’t want that for my daughter.

Today I’m a homeowner. My daughter goes to a private school. Treating my trauma, you kept me out of your system, and I’m grateful. You also helped me to break that intergenerational curse I had in my family. My daughter will never know what I felt. She doesn’t know what it’s like to live in the projects, to be hungry. All she knows is that my mom loves me, feeds me. What if, at age 9, someone had recognized my trauma? Isn’t it possible that I could have become the woman I am today without the substance use, the homelessness, the psychiatric diagnoses? And I have one last question. When I was in prison—83 times in and out—when you looked across your desk and saw me, would you have seen the woman I am today? Would you have been able to see a woman who would be speaking to all of you at a federal roundtable meeting? Do you truly believe in the people you serve? Treat the trauma. You’re going to get different results. I promise you. I am the evidence.

CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

Throughout history, women have been considered the property of men. Women's rights to control their own lives have only been established within the last 50 years.

• When women do not conform to societal expectations, they may be labeled as “crazy” or “criminal.”

• Throughout U.S. history, people who do not fit societal gender expectations (including loving someone of the same gender) have been labeled “crazy,” or “criminal” and have not been allowed to make choices about their own bodies, mental health care, or families.

• Socialization practices based on physical dominance set the stage for people to live out patterns of abuse in ways that may be gender-specific but affect everyone in some way.

• Gender-related issues, such as women’s health, parenting, and domestic violence, especially in same-sex relationships, are sometimes overlooked by social service systems.

• Women and LGBTQ people (especially youth) often enter the criminal justice system as a result of actions they take to escape from violence or as a result of the behaviors of their husbands or boyfriends.

• The legal system offers little protection to women who have been diagnosed with mental illnesses, many of whom are trauma survivors. This is even more true for trans women, especially trans women of color, who are not only disproportionately targeted for sexual violence and physical assault outside the justice system, hospitals, and other institutions but are also more likely to be refused service or harassed by staff when seeking help.50
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CHAPTER 5. CULTURE AND TRAUMA

Trauma always happens within a context, and so does healing. To understand the impact of trauma means being acutely sensitive to the environment—to the conditions under which people grew up, to how they live today, and to the journeys they have taken along the way. This chapter provides basic information about how cultural considerations affect the meaning we make of our experiences and can affect both the experience of trauma and the development of peer support relationships.\(^{51}\) We hope to help you become aware of ways in which your own cultural experience may affect your attitudes and behaviors toward others. We will also explore how assumptions about others can affect peer support in some gender-specific ways and how services sometimes fail people of color, refugees and immigrants, people who live in rural areas, and those viewed as “different” because of sexual orientation, religion, or other cultural factors.

RACISM AND CULTURAL BIASES

Culture affects every aspect of a person’s life and identity. Culture determines views about seeking help: where to go, who to see, what is helpful. The assumptions made about culture can become barriers to working effectively in peer support relationships. For example, many cultures recognize more than just male or female options for sex or gender, and even what defines a woman or man (gender roles, expectations, and expression) differs from culture to culture.\(^{52}\) Along with gender, race can be the most recognizable aspect of a person’s cultural heritage and can set the stage for how people work together, but many times the assumptions we make about race are wrong.

IN THIS GUIDE, WE USE THE FOLLOWING DEFINITION OF “CULTURE”:

“The shared values, traditions, arts, history, folklore, and institutions of a group of people that are unified by race, ethnicity, nationality, language, religious beliefs, spirituality, socioeconomic status, social class, sexual orientation, politics, gender, age, disability, or any other cohesive group variable.”

—N.N. Singh, 1995

As a peer supporter, it is important to look at your own attitudes and behaviors. We all have stereotypes and misinformation about groups different from our own. It is common for these stereotypes to influence the work we do and the judgments we make about people. If asked, most people would not identify themselves as racist or as participants in discrimination. However, they may tolerate existing disparities or be passive when witnessing injustice. Recent research suggests that about 85 percent of European Americans hold unconscious “aversive biases” toward people of color, even though they do not hold overtly prejudiced beliefs.\(^{51}\) These unconscious beliefs and feelings may affect your relationships and interactions with your peers, who may pick up on these feelings even if you do not.

51 Many of the concepts in this chapter are drawn from work by Cathy Cave of Advocates for Human Potential, Inc.


LAVERNE’S STORY

I am sure that the constant bullying I experienced in elementary and junior high school had something to do with the onset of my depression. I was part of the first group of African American students to be “bussed out” to predominantly white schools. I was placed in classes for the intellectually gifted and was almost always the only brown face in the class. I felt so isolated. The white kids did not want to sit next to me and often made remarks about the color of my skin, while the African American students called me an “Oreo.” I was frequently harassed and beat up on the bus. Things got so bad that at the age of 8, my parents and I decided it would be safer for me to take public transportation to and from school. This only added to my isolation and increased the bullying. I became increasingly isolated, and I don’t think that my parents or the schools knew how to support me. I gave up trying to get protection from the bullying and retreated into my own little world. I think that’s when I first started to think that the world would be better off without me and started thinking the dark thoughts that would become full-blown depression in college. Healing from bullying has been an ongoing process. I still sometimes feel out of place, regardless of where I am or who I am with. Reading about others who have overcome adversity and writing poetry were very healing. I learned that I was not alone and that there were other ways to connect with my thoughts and feelings. Finding a peer group has also been important. The unconditional love and support I get from my peers has made me feel more accepted. They reach out to me even before those dark periods come—several peers just check in knowing that I am very unlikely to check in with them when I get down.

—Laverne Miller, Esq.

Similarly, in the United States, European Americans and men experience “white privilege” and “male privilege”: unearned benefits and advantages that result strictly from their social status. In her article, “White Privilege: Unpacking the Invisible Knapsack,” Peggy McIntosh identifies more than 20 ways in which she has benefited from white privilege. Regardless of your cultural background, you may have benefited from an education, from having a steady job, or from other forms of advantage. People who have always been in a favored position—whether it comes from gender, race, education, money, high-status jobs, or position within a group—may assume that they can do what they want without interference and that the systems, structures, and rules of society are there to support them. Most people have some mix of privilege and disadvantage.

We don’t see things as they are, we see things as we are.
—Anaïs Nin

Often, because people have grown up with certain advantages, they forget that they have them. Our position—in groups and in society—can create “filters” that determine how we see the world.

Acknowledging your own advantages and recognizing racial or other cultural biases may be difficult or feel shameful, but having honest conversations about these issues can help you build effective peer support relationships. This is particularly important when working with trauma survivors, who are often skillful at detecting dishonesty and who have good reason to be attuned to issues of power and authority. Also, it is essential that you recognize any areas that create a sense of powerlessness in you, whether they are related to trauma or to discrimination. Topics that touch on your own history with oppression can be particularly challenging.

As a peer supporter, failure to recognize when you are acting from a position of power, or feeling powerless even though you have authority, can make you ineffective and may cause you to do harm to others in your peer support relationships. Your anger, frustration, or hurt may affect your ability to think clearly, stay respectful toward others, and act within the guiding principles of peer support. It is important to be able to say, “I cannot do this right now,” and just as important to return when you can to discuss the challenge.

HISTORICAL TRAUMA IN AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE COMMUNITIES

This agency forbade the speaking of Indian languages, prohibited the conduct of traditional religious activities, outlawed traditional government, and made Indian people ashamed of who they were. Worst of all, the Bureau of Indian Affairs committed these acts against the children entrusted to its boarding schools, brutalizing them emotionally, psychologically, physically, and spiritually . . . . The trauma of shame, fear, and anger has passed from one generation to the next and manifests itself in the rampant alcoholism, drug abuse, and domestic violence that plague Indian country . . . . Poverty, ignorance, and disease have been the product of this agency’s work. And so today, I stand before you as the leader of an institution that in the past has committed acts so terrible that they infect, diminish, and destroy the lives of Indian people decades later, generations later. These things occurred despite the efforts of many good people with good hearts who sought to prevent them. These wrongs must be acknowledged if the healing is to begin.

—Kevin Gover, Asst. Secretary for Indian Affairs, Dept. of Interior, on the 175th anniversary of the founding of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Sept. 8, 2000

COMMUNITY PERSPECTIVES

We know from the research discussed in Chapter 1 that the impact of trauma and toxic stress accumulates over time, affecting every aspect of an individual’s life. Violence and trauma have similar effects on communities. To understand the experience of the women you support, it is critical to understand the communities they grew up in, as well as the communities they currently call home.

Historical Trauma

Maria Yellow Horse Brave Heart, a pioneer in the field, defines historical trauma as “Cumulative emotional and psychological wounding over the lifespan and across generations, emanating from massive group trauma.” As a peer supporter, it is important to recognize that the people you work with may carry deep wounds from things that happened to their people, rather than or in addition to what happened to them as individuals.

Many groups have a legacy of historical trauma. In addition to Native Americans, families of Holocaust survivors, and descendants of enslaved Africans, many cultural groups carry historical trauma—either from violence in their home countries or from what happened to them when they arrived in the United States. For example, there are 24 Asian and 19 Pacific Islander ethnic groups in the United States, each with a unique history. Southeast Asians often arrived as refugees; Japanese-Americans may have been incarcerated in internment camps during World War II; Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders were colonized by the United States. Similarly, Latinos/Latinas in the United States trace their origins to 20 Latin American and Caribbean countries, Spain, and Portugal; their presence predated the Pilgrims, and their history is replete with oppression and trauma. Members of many religious groups have also suffered persecution. Historical trauma, coupled with present-day racism, creates the context for many groups.

Historical trauma has a particularly devastating impact on women and children. Historical trauma, racism, and poverty combine to create health disparities and may contribute to high rates of interpersonal violence. For example, American Indian/Alaska Native women experience intimate partner violence at twice the rate of any other group. They are raped or sexually assaulted 2.5 times as often as others. The suicide rate among American Indian/Alaska Native children and youth is almost 300 percent higher than that of whites.55

Some people assume that the impact of historical trauma is largely in the past. But reactions to trauma and violence often become embedded in social behavior, although their original context may be forgotten. A parent who uses harsh child-rearing practices may be unaware that she is acting from a historical legacy, either from her culture or from her family. Similarly, “helping systems” that may seem benign to the dominant group may be deeply re-traumatizing to groups that have been persecuted in the past.

EMOTIONAL EMANCIPATION CIRCLES (EECS): HEALING FROM THE INTERGENERATIONAL EFFECTS OF ANTI-BLACK RACISM

According to the Community Healing Network, “EECs are self-help support groups designed to help heal the trauma caused by anti-Black racism. In EECs, we share stories; deepen our understanding of the impact of historical forces on our sense of self-worth, our relationships, and our communities; and learn essential emotional wellness skills to help us be at our best as individuals and as a people. In early evaluations, EEC participants have reported significant improvements in their mental health and transformations in their mindsets and lives. Here’s some of what participants have said: ‘The EEC group gave me an opportunity to first talk openly with comfort and ease and authenticity about race. It allowed me to know that there’s nothing wrong with me.’" To help the people you support find a local EEC, or to start one in your community, visit [https://www.communityhealingnet.org/emotional-emancipation-circle/](https://www.communityhealingnet.org/emotional-emancipation-circle/).

Gender, Culture, and Sexual Orientation

Women and gender minorities often face “multiple oppressions,” such as gender discrimination compounded by discrimination due to their racial or ethnic group, religion, sexual orientation, poverty, as well as living with ongoing violence. Although many of us may think of extreme violence as an aberration, for some women, especially trans women of color, violence is the norm. Hate crimes, racial profiling, and gender-based violence are still far too common. If the people you work with seem to be in a constant state of hyper-vigilance, remember that they may live in a state of chronic stress, which is itself a profound source of trauma.

Refugees, immigrants, and others who have been displaced face the additional stress of cultural dislocation, which can be severe. Women and girls from countries involved in war are likely to have significant violence in their backgrounds. Women and children now make up more than 80 percent of all war casualties. Women are at a high risk of torture, rape, and gender-based violence during war, migration, or in refugee settings, including immigrant detention centers. They may have experienced violence and trauma in their home countries, and the violence often does not end at resettlement. For some refugee women, the stress of being in a new country—and not knowing if they might at any moment be sent back—is more traumatic than the violence they originally fled.

Unfounded fear and misinformation about sexual orientation is another source of potentially traumatizing discrimination, overt hostility, and violence. The Urban Justice Center reports that 30 percent of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer or questioning, intersex, and Two Spirit (LGBTQI2S) youth experience abuse or neglect from their families of origin; almost that many are forced to leave their families; and 100 percent of LGBTQI2S youth in New York City group homes report being verbally harassed. LGBTQI2S youth may also engage in high-risk behaviors known to be associated with trauma: they are twice as likely as straight youth to report binging on alcohol, smoking cigarettes, or using marijuana. They are also three times more likely to try other drugs (cocaine, inhalants, hallucinogens, depressants, stimulants), to have eating disorders, or to attempt suicide. Unlike many other cultural groups, LGBTQI2S people also risk losing contact with their family and support systems when they “come out.” For a woman of color who is not LGBTQI2S, there will likely be support from her community to address race-based violence. But if she is a trans woman or lesbian, she may quickly lose that support, leaving her isolated. For peer supporters, it is important to consider these aspects of oppression and talk with each person about their experiences.

---


b) Ibid


As a person, I have membership in many cultural groups, and while none is more important than another, because they all contribute to the whole, one may have greater momentary significance in my healing and recovery. One identifier does not overpower or negate the existence of other cultural connections, and no one else can determine the value of my connections. I am always African American, always a woman, always a survivor, always a parent, always a partner, always spiritual.

—Cathy Cave

However, it is important not to assume that women and LGBTQI2S people are always victims. Many overcome the most severe forms of violence and trauma with courage and strength, going on to become leaders in their communities. Sometimes people—especially if they are already treated as “weaker” because of stereotypes—are reluctant to disclose the violence in their background because they fear that their identity will be overwhelmed by a pervading sense of “victimhood.” As a peer supporter, you can help overcome this fear by consistently responding to and acknowledging the personal strengths and the cultural identity of each person you support.

Mental health and trauma are not just about the individual but also the legacy of historic racism, including “the legacies of institutions, policies, and structural inequalities that create the conditions that for generations have led to the conditions that we see today. And so, when we think about our strategies to engage emotional health and mental health, we can’t just keep that on an individual level: We have to extend that into policy, and into advocacy, and into our social justice strategies” (Yolo Akili Robinson, BEAM).

Diverse community leaders and peer supporters share their experiences at this convergence:

• “It’s paralyzing to think of the immense amount of work truly needed to even scratch the surface of violence perpetuated by structural inequalities” (Martez Smith, Co-founder and Community Organizer, Keeping Ballrooms Community Alive Network).

• “It’s an unfair paradox that queer Black folks are expected to curb a virus that is decimating their community while at the same time trying to maintain the very necessary work of not just self-care but self-compassion and self-love, too.” (Steven Emmanuel-Martinez, Member YBGLI [Young Black Gay Men’s Leadership Initiative])

• “No one prepared me for working at a community-based organization dominated by white people, where I was in the lower rung and only valued for my race and my sexuality. No one told me what it would be like navigating community-based organizations run by African Americans that were often more abusive to me than those run by white people. No one prepared me for the tokenization I would experience. I was not ready for the sexual harassment I would experience.... I wasn’t prepared for the casual sexual ways my sexual history would be used to minimize and discredit my work.” (Yolo Akili Robinson, BEAM Executive Director).

These culturally specific and sexual orientation-specific experiences of systemic injustice can contribute to trauma and mental health issues. Peer support resources specifically by and for people at this convergence can help.

---

Us Helping Us, People into Living, Inc. (UHU) was founded in 1985 by Rainey Cheeks with the support of his friends. All of them were African American gay or bisexual men. Rainey’s motivation for starting the organization was the experience of losing numerous friends to AIDS, the death of his lover to the illness, and the discovery that he, too, was HIV-positive. UHU began as a group of volunteers that provided holistic health information for people living with AIDS. The strategy was to teach natural holistic techniques involving the body, mind, and spirit for health maintenance. In 1994, UHU received its first Ryan White Title I grant to provide peer-led support groups for HIV-positive Black gay and bisexual men. In 2000, UHU conducted the second-largest needs assessment in the nation focusing on Black and Latino transgender persons and started a HIV prevention program for transgender people. Also, in 2000, UHU received a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) grant to provide HIV prevention activities in barbershops targeting Black men regardless of sexual orientation.

Culturally Relevant Healing and Support
Cultural competence involves using information from and about individuals and groups to transform our skills and behaviors to match the health beliefs and practices of the people we support. One of the most basic ways of providing culturally responsive healing and support is to ensure that the individual can interact in their language of choice. People have a legal right to an interpreter provided at no charge—a right that sometimes gets forgotten in peer support environments. It is important to be sure people know they have that right and to make it possible for them to participate in their own language. The United States Department of Health and Human Services’ (HHS) Office for Minority Health’s Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) Standards and the website for the National Center for Cultural Competence can be helpful.

There are an infinite number of cultural considerations that can affect an individual’s identity and outlook. It may be where they are from that is most important: rural or urban, north or south, east coast or west coast. It may be their race, spirituality, values about education, or their ability to parent children. It could be that what is important today may be more or less important next time you meet. One vital thing you can do as a peer supporter is to assume nothing and to create space in your conversations for each person to explore and define their own cultural identity.

It is important for peer supporters to stay open and curious about someone else’s culture and experiences and to develop a habit to “check in” about cultural considerations regularly as part of developing peer support relationships. Staying curious allows exploration of what has happened, what it means to the individual’s grieving, and what healing approaches make the most sense. Any “facts” you think you know about a cultural group should be checked with the person you are working with to see if they apply to their life. Most people are happy to tell you about their cultural experiences, beliefs, and values when you become a trusted support.


NEW YORK ASIAN WOMEN’S CENTER (NYAWC)

NYAWC was founded in 1982 by a group of volunteers from the Asian community who recognized that Asian immigrant women had nowhere to turn when faced with domestic violence. They work to overcome violence and trauma by empowering women to govern their own lives. Currently NYAWC includes a multilingual hotline (with 11 different languages); a children’s program; and shelter, advocacy, and public awareness services.

One special focus is on helping survivors of human trafficking regain their freedom and recover from trauma. Project Free coordinates with law enforcement and legal services and provides emergency shelter, trauma counseling, and case management.

Human trafficking—modern-day slavery—is the fastest-growing criminal industry in the world. An estimated 17,500 foreign nationals are trafficked annually in the United States, many of them forced into the sex trade. The majority of victims of human trafficking are women and young girls from Central American and Asian countries.

For more information, see www.nyawc.org

For example, in some cultures, the good of the family or the community is considered a higher value than the good of the individual. For people whose culture values individual empowerment, it may be challenging to remain nonjudgmental and supportive when women or other people already discriminated against on the basis of sex or gender embrace values that appear not to be in their individual best interest. Finding a way to honor and respect the people you support, despite apparent differences, is fundamental to your role.

As a peer supporter, it is also part of your role to help people connect to culturally relevant supports and healing resources in the community. In your community, you may find resources that attract specific groups. For example, perhaps there is a women’s center, a community center that provides services for woman-led or LGBTQI families, or maybe a housing program for people who are homeless that offers a monthly Native American Healing Circle. Several of the peer recovery centers mentioned in Chapter 3 serve a majority of people of color. These programs often incorporate healing rituals and social norms that are important to the community, like hospitality and authenticity. One program described its emphasis on learning how to be honest with each other, noting that “truth telling” might not have been the norm for some people during the time when they were actively misusing substances.

In remote rural areas, community resources may be scarce and hard to access. Women and LGBTQI2S people in rural areas may live in isolated settings without access to transportation or the internet, confidentiality and anonymity may be difficult to maintain, and the impact of labeling may be magnified. Often, the general healthcare practitioner plays a key role as confessor, counselor, and problem-solver. Faith communities may play a significant role in organizing social, as well as spiritual, activities. In these situations, LGBTQI2S people may not be able to access medical, spiritual, or therapeutic care at all or may have to hide their identity, marriage, or family life and may be under high stress (even trauma) even when they are able to access care. It is particularly important to offer opportunities for people to come together through safe, less stigmatizing activities such as art, writing, wellness, or child care.

In some communities, specific resources exist for refugees and immigrants. There are close to one hundred ethnic community-based organizations called mutual assistance associations (MAAs), in 25 states. MAAs are self-help groups that assist refugees in a variety of ways, providing cultural preservation and social activities, religious services, resettlement and social services, business and economic development, and advocacy and political action. All build on a mutual support model, connecting people with their peers, sharing resources and information, and encouraging integration and self-sufficiency. Many resource centers and online networks for LGBTQI2S people and PLHIV also operate on this mutual support model, like AIDS Project Los Angeles

and Mama’s Kitchen in San Diego. These LGBTQI2S community members took meals into the homes and hospital rooms of people with AIDS in the early to mid-1980s, when even hospital staff were refusing to do so.\textsuperscript{64}

In many communities, cultural healers are highly valued: shamans, natural healers, herbalists, medicine men, curanderos (folk healers), or others to whom people turn in the context of their own culture for help and healing. Music, dance, storytelling, and art may be deeply healing, helping people reconnect with their own cultural traditions. You can also add a cultural dimension by encouraging the people you work with to learn more about their own history. Maria Yellow Horse Brave Heart and the Takini Network\textsuperscript{65} have developed an intervention to heal historical trauma by working through four stages: 1) confronting the trauma and embracing history, 2) understanding the trauma, 3) releasing the pain, and 4) transcending the trauma.

In this model, understanding one’s history is a key to healing because it helps people become aware of unconscious sources of grief and anger. This model also encourages the use of traditional mourning and grieving ceremonies. The western concept of “trauma” may not make sense in all cultures, but every culture has ways of handling loss and suffering. In LGBTQI2S communities, some survivors of the first and second waves of the AIDS epidemic celebrate annual World AIDS Day on December 1, including a ritual invoking the names of those we remember and mourn, followed by the group proclaiming “Presente!” for the continuing presence of that person and relationship. Trans people—the group most targeted for murder by hate crime in the United States—also have an annual ritual gathering on November 20, the International Transgender Day of Remembrance, to light candles while reading aloud the names of trans people murdered in hate crimes. Reclaiming these rituals and ceremonies can be deeply healing.


\textsuperscript{65}Brave Heart, M. Y. H. (2005, April). \textit{From intergenerational trauma to intergenerational healing}. Keynote address at the Fifth Annual White Bison Wellbriety Conference, Denver, CO.
Common Cultural Mistakes in the Trauma Field

Below is a chart showing some of the most common cultural mistakes and alternative responses to the same situation. Read through the chart and consider how you can make your own peer support relationships more culturally sensitive. Notice that using these “alternative” responses can help you be more trauma-informed in your interactions with all the people you work with, not just those from other cultures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Cultural Mistakes About Trauma</th>
<th>More Culturally Sensitive Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assuming everyone who has experienced violence needs professional help</td>
<td>Assuming people are resilient and giving them many opportunities to tell you if they need help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focusing on the most extreme instances of violence as the most damaging</td>
<td>Allowing the individual to define what aspects of their experience have been most traumatic and recognizing that this may change over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assuming that violence is unusual, an aberration, and generally perpetrated by individuals</td>
<td>Recognizing that violence is perpetrated by groups and institutions, not only individuals, and may be so common that people become desensitized to it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying norms and standards of behavior without considering the political and social contexts</td>
<td>Recognizing that political and social oppression may affect priorities and values; allowing the individual to define the meaning of what she has experienced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relying on Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) diagnoses or lists of trauma “symptoms”</td>
<td>Recognizing that trauma responses are varied and that different cultures express grief and loss and understand trauma differently; learning how this person and their culture expresses distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assuming that one woman’s, one trans person’s, one gay man’s, one intersex person’s story represents the “typical” story for the group</td>
<td>Recognizing that “one person’s story is just one person’s story”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadvertently highlighting the stories of women, trans people, gay men, lesbians, or people living with HIV that fit cultural stereotypes</td>
<td>Providing opportunities for many individuals from a particular group, community, or perspective to share their stories and noticing what is unique; making sure many points of view are represented (The individual may not even consider themselves to be a “community member” of the group you want them to represent.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assuming that if people speak English, you don’t have to worry about an interpreter or translated documents</td>
<td>Recognizing that some topics are very difficult to talk about in anything other than your first language; knowing and acting within the law about the provision of language assistance services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assuming that people always (or never) want to tell their stories and that if people want help they will ask for it</td>
<td>Being aware that self-disclosure and help-seeking vary widely across cultures and may depend on whether a peer feels safe with you; learning from each person what their cultural norms and expectations are</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRANDMA ETTA’S STORY

As a child, I frequently dodged the bricks thrown by my elderly great-grandmother, a small, dark, wiry woman, as she guarded her front porch. By professional standards, Grandma Etta was probably mentally ill, suffering from extreme paranoia and an intense hatred of White people. On the days that I could get close to her, I could hear her muttering about blue-eyed devils, and her favorite target of attack was a blond-haired, blue-eyed teacher who frequented my family’s restaurant, which unfortunately was attached to her house. In her mid-seventies, my great-grandmother was moved to a nursing home after it was determined that she was completely blind (and probably had been for some time). She died less than 2 weeks after being admitted to the nursing home. Her death did not surprise me because I could not imagine her being contained in any space that she did not control.

I was young then, wrapped up in my own life and struggling with the embarrassment I felt when people made fun of Grandma Etta by calling her “crazy.” It was years later that I began to look through boxes of family pictures and see the world of rural Missouri where she grew up. I really thought about the fairness of my grandmother’s skin and wondered if there was some connection with my great-grandmother’s hatred of “blue-eyed devils.” I visited her hometown many years later—afraid to get out of my car in this rural, white world—and wondered how her “paranoia” may have kept her safe in this hostile territory.

I start with my grandmother’s story because it reminds me of the importance of understanding a person’s history before judging behavior. Context is everything, and that is a poorly understood principle in the history of psychiatric treatment. Grandma Etta escaped the oppression of a psychiatric label and the treatments that are frequently imposed after the labeling process. Other members of my family, myself included, were not so lucky. I offer libations to Grandma Etta for escaping the bonds of psychiatric labeling and to my sister, Michelle Yvette Jackson, who was not so lucky and who committed suicide in June 1984 after a 4-year struggle with depression and life.

—Vanessa Jackson, Introduction to In Our Own Voice: African American Stories of Oppression, Survival, and Recovery in Mental Health Systems

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. Discuss the various forms of trauma that occur in this story. How many of them were labeled as trauma?

2. Do you think Grandma Etta was paranoid? Mentally ill? Why do you think she might have hated the “blue-eyed devils” so much?

3. How did Grandma Etta’s experience affect her children, grandchildren, and great-grandchildren?
CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- Culture affects every aspect of a person’s life and identity, shapes how they make meaning of their experiences, and often determines their views about seeking help: where to go, who to see, what is helpful.
- Acknowledging your own racial or cultural biases and having honest conversations about these issues is particularly important when working with trauma survivors.
- Historical trauma, coupled with ongoing racism and poverty, has a devastating impact on women and children.
- Women and gender minorities often face “multiple oppressions:” gender bias compounded by discrimination for their racial or ethnic group, religion, sexual orientation, poverty, or conditions of ongoing violence.
- One important thing you can do as a peer supporter is to assume nothing and create space in your conversations for each person to explore and define their own cultural identity.
- As a peer supporter, it is also part of your job to connect the people you support with culturally relevant supports and healing resources in the community.

RESOURCES


National Center for Cultural Competence. http://ncccrhematics.edu/


Project SOAR’s Guide to Ethnic Community-Based Organizations.


Southerners on New Ground.
CHAPTER 6. RELIGION, SPIRITUALITY, AND TRAUMA

Spirituality is likely to be important to many of the people you work with. Some talk about the importance of spirituality in their recovery, whereas for others, religion is a source of turmoil. Some are confused about the distinction between spiritual experiences and what some clinicians call “symptoms of religiosity.” Some don’t embrace a formal religion or consider themselves to be particularly “spiritual” but wonder what it has to offer. Others might describe themselves as spiritual but not religious and find spiritual connection through art or nature. Still others find that spirituality or religion plays no role in their lives of beliefs.

In this chapter, “religion” refers to an organized faith tradition with accepted theology, practices, and structure, while “spirituality” refers to an individual’s sense of connection with the wholeness of the universe. Although there is some overlap between the two, there are also differences. Many people are not sure how to talk about religious issues or are concerned that it might be a violation of the separation of church and state to bring the subject up in a public setting. Discussing religion requires considerable sensitivity, especially for people who have experienced religious abuse or for whom religion is a defining aspect in how they understand their experiences. This chapter will provide information, tools, and resources to help you address issues of religion and spirituality in peer support contexts.

SPIRITUALITY AND TRAUMA HEALING

As a very young child, I was quite ill and suffered from what I now know was medical trauma. I also grew up in a home with a parent who had a severe mental illness. These experiences were at the core of many traumatic events that continued to occur over time. When I reflect back on my life there were many things that contributed and detracted from my healing process. In my early adolescence, I was asking hard questions of my religious community. I wanted to know why things were so hard for me, why God could let me live in so much pain. I prayed for faith, for peace, for healing. I had conversion experiences and still the pain didn’t go away. I would have profound moments of connection with God and no way to sustain them, no matter how hard I prayed or how “good” I was. I searched in all types of spiritual communities. I began to experience peace only when I finally understood that it wasn’t religion that would save me but the spiritual quest of knowing and loving myself. I wanted the church to have answers, but I found that the answers were inside. Spiritual teachings were a way for me to get to know myself and to become comfortable in the universe. Through connections with other people and nature I found the connection to myself. As I began daily prayer and meditation, I began to make meaning of the things that had happened to me. Having a connection to my spiritual self has helped me bring all the parts of my life together; my willingness to learn to love myself has been the glue that holds it all together. Spirituality has helped me reframe my life from living through the lens of grief and loss to one of wonder and awe at the power of the human spirit, including mine.

– Cheryl Sharp
WHY IS THIS SUBJECT IMPORTANT?

Chances are good that many of the people you work with have an active religious or spiritual life. According to the Pew Foundation, 87 percent of people in the United States define themselves as “religious” and 57 percent regularly attend a worship service. Although most are Christian, roughly 44 percent have changed affiliation at least once. People who describe themselves as “spiritual, but unaffiliated” are the fastest growing group, especially among youth. For many people, religion or their own inner spiritual beliefs guide every aspect of their life.

There is a long tradition of using religious and spiritual practices in recovery. In a recent survey of alternative recovery practices, the four most frequently reported practices were meditation (73 percent), massage (48 percent), yoga (33 percent), and prayer (28 percent). Many of these practices were originally associated with religious traditions. For example, many meditation techniques were developed by Buddhist monks, and yoga is an ancient Hindu practice. In recent years, these practices have been separated from their religious origins and have been renamed “alternative coping strategies” or “relaxation exercises.” Although they do affect the physical body, their original intention was to help people connect with the divine. People who are interested in these techniques should have the choice of pursuing them as independent coping strategies or studying them in the context of their associated traditions.

Religious communities can be a major source of community support. There are more than 300,000 religious congregations in the United States, making them the most widespread of all social institutions. In fact, Americans are far more likely to turn to religious leaders than to behavioral health professionals for help in times of trouble. Because they are embedded in mainstream society, faith communities can play a significant role in helping people transition out of institutions. For example, they are often very effective in helping individuals reintegrate into the community after being incarcerated in jail or prison.

On the other hand, many people, especially LGBTQI2S people, have been traumatized by religion, and religious communities have sometimes been damaging to people in recovery. There are often mixed messages about acceptance. For example, some cultures and spiritualities recognize more than two genders: Two Spirit, lhamana, and mampu people in Crow, Zuni, and native Hawaiian cultures have been spiritual and cultural leaders. However, some religious communities proclaim that everyone is welcome but shun those who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender unless they change their identity and their behavior. Some churches have judged those perceived to have “mental illness” as being possessed by evil. In the United States, religious organizations and communities include about the same amount of gay people as the general population does. However, in spite of having an average number of gay members, U.S. religious communities disproportionately oppose civil rights of LGBTQI2S people.

Misunderstanding and discrimination against people who are different persists in many religious traditions. A disproportionate number of LGBT people leave their religious communities and traditions because of this

---


Both the discrimination itself and leaving one’s religion contribute to religious trauma syndrome. In the case of fundamentalist beliefs, people expect that choosing to leave a childhood faith is like giving up Santa Claus—a little sad but basically a matter of growing up. But religious indoctrination can be hugely damaging, and making the break from an authoritarian kind of religion can definitely be traumatic. It involves a complete upheaval of a person’s construction of reality, including the self, other people, life, the future, everything. People unfamiliar with it, including therapists, have trouble appreciating the sheer terror it can create and the recovery needed."

—Marlene Winell, Ph.D., describing religious trauma syndrome

Religion and spirituality can also be important in creating a culturally sensitive environment that respects all religious traditions of the members, as well as creating space for people who do not want to associate with any religion. Although strong religious beliefs are not limited to particular racial or ethnic groups, there are major differences between groups in the form and meaning of religious expression. For example, in some African American communities, the church plays an extremely significant social role, dating back to slavery and the civil rights movement. It is very common for religious practices to interact with local cultural traditions, creating unique forms of religious observance tied to cultural identity.

As a peer supporter, it is critical to be aware of the differences that exist within religious traditions. For example, a growing number of Hispanics practice a unique form of charismatic Catholicism, which differs in many ways from Roman Catholicism. In Islam, as in Christianity, there are many different schools or traditions (including Sunni, Shi’a, Sufism, and others), and the form of Islam practiced in many parts of Africa incorporates beliefs and practices of indigenous African traditions. Newcomers to the United States may be accustomed to societies where church and state are not at all separate, and they may want to bring religion into peer support relationships in ways that are unfamiliar to most of us. As peer supporters, you need to be curious about the people you encounter and partner with them to understand the role spirituality or religion has in their life. Learning about the religious and spiritual beliefs and concerns of the people you work with is an essential part of becoming culturally sensitive.

People with psychiatric histories have written extensively about the beneficial impact of religious practices, and some view healing through a spiritual framework rather than a psychological or medical process. As we will discuss in Chapter 9, “making meaning” of what happened is a key aspect of recovery for many people. This process is often experienced as a spiritual journey or as a struggle to resolve deep philosophical questions. Several inspirational essays and articles written by people with psychiatric histories are listed in the resources section at the end of the chapter and can serve as an introduction to the topic.

---

RAISING THE ISSUE

Many people find it healing to talk about their religious or spiritual beliefs and experiences and, by creating a safe environment for people to discuss these issues, you can serve as a resource to help people explore and heal from past trauma. Some people are concerned about violating the principle of separation of church and state, but as long as you do not promote one religion over another or allow your personal beliefs to cloud your ability to offer peer support, you will not be in violation of the “separation clause” of the Constitution. In fact, the Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations mandates that the spiritual component of a person’s life be considered in health care. People who have experienced trauma deserve to have every potential resource for healing made available to them. It’s like any other issue—all you have to do is ask.

Even so, many people are uncomfortable with this topic. Very few people other than religious leaders receive training on how to talk about religion. Many people using behavioral health services have learned to avoid the subject altogether, since professionals are sometimes uncomfortable with discussions of these topics. Some fear that mentioning the topic could “destabilize” an individual. In a peer support context, however, it is important to see people’s experience not as sickness or them being symptomatic but as part of being human. From this perspective, it does not matter if a particular belief is “rational” or “true”—if it has meaning to the individual, it is worth exploring.

People have a wide range of emotional responses to religious and spiritual concerns. For some people, their higher power (whether they call it God or something else) is a benevolent, forgiving, and healing force. Others may focus on the harsh, critical, or punishing aspects of God. People who have experienced violence and trauma may come to question their faith, or their faith may be strengthened. As a peer supporter, your role is to accept the person’s belief system as valid for them, to be open to the different ways in which people explain their own suffering, and to help them find within themselves the beliefs and practices that are most healing.

To help others, you need to be clear about your own implicit beliefs. Before you engage in religious or spiritual discussion or group activities with your peers, you should explore your own feelings about this topic. These same questions can help you begin the conversation with the people you support. Of course, no one should be forced or even encouraged to explore these issues if they show no interest. And if you do not feel comfortable discussing this topic, you should arrange for someone else to be available for people who want to address spiritual and religious concerns.

AVOIDING RE-TRAUMATIZATION

As a peer supporter, you may encounter individuals who have been traumatized by religious practices, and it is important for you to know how to engage in healing dialogue about this subject. As we discussed in earlier chapters, one of the most fundamental principles of trauma-informed practice is to be constantly vigilant about possible sources of re-traumatization. One of the first things you need to do is to put aside your own religious or spiritual beliefs, even beliefs that have become so much a part of your worldview that you do not think of them as spiritually based. For example, the statement that “everything happens for a reason” may seem obviously true to one person but be deeply offensive to another. We will discuss some ways to do this in the next section.

It is important to remember that some of the people you work with may have been directly traumatized by religious or spiritual practitioners. Since 1983, repeated clergy child sexual abuse scandals have rocked institutional religion in the United States and across the globe. Catholic Church experts estimate that 6–12 percent of all priests have engaged in illegal sex with children under the age of 16, affecting hundreds of thousands of children. Sexual abuse of minors has also been reported in a wide range of other organized religious and spiritual communities. In some American Indian and other indigenous communities, entire generations were traumatized by being removed from their homes and sent to religious schools where abuse was the norm. And, more recently, several prominent

---

75 The Establishment Clause of the First Amendment states that “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion.” This is usually interpreted to mean that the government (construed broadly) is prohibited from establishing a national religion or aiding one religion over another.


spiritual leaders associated with the New Age movement and yoga/meditation communities have been found to have engaged in inappropriate sexual conduct with their students.

Sexual abuse and misconduct committed by religious or spiritual leaders is a lot like incest—it involves the betrayal of a beloved and trusted authority figure. But it also involves a misuse of spiritual power, and it involves fear, awe, and respect for clergy based on religious faith and training. The results can be devastating. Religious communities often deny the abuse, attempt to cover it up, or blame the victim. This can result in an acute sensitivity to authority figures, to cover-ups, and to the abuse of power, wherever it occurs.

Although much media attention has focused on clergy sexual abuse of boys, girls and women are frequently victimized. Religion is sometimes used to justify child abuse or domestic violence. Abusers may act without consequences because they are part of a hierarchical structure that endorses their position of power and relegates people to prescribed roles. Some of the people you work with may have been coerced into religious practices by families or religious schools. Others may have experienced distorted versions of theology. For example, the concept of surrender to God or a higher power can easily become submission to authority, forgiveness can be used to excuse abuse, and suffering itself can be justified as spiritually desirable. Although some people may have found support within religion to overcome trauma or to leave abusive situations, it is critical for a peer supporter to be alert to ways in which people may have been harmed.

As we discussed in an earlier chapter, a trauma-informed peer support environment will always be cautious about any trappings of power or authority. Introducing religious or spiritual material into peer support environments must be handled with caution. The use of sacred texts, practices, or symbols; working directly with clergy or spiritual leaders; or being in environments with incense, candles, stained glass windows, prayer rugs, or religious music are all potentially re-traumatizing. No religious or spiritual elements should be introduced in a peer support setting without asking permission from everyone who might be affected.

**CREATING A SAFE AND INCLUSIVE ENVIRONMENT**

One of the most essential things you do as a peer supporter is create a safe and inclusive environment. As mentioned earlier in this chapter, PLHIV, especially if they are LGBTQI2S, are often more likely to experience religious trauma, even if their religion and spirituality are sources of resilience and recovery. In peer support, intense feelings can come up about religious beliefs and various recovery practices like yoga (movement with breath awareness) and meditation. In peer support, the use of breathing, movement, and affirmations may or may not be connected to religious belief. When it comes to religion and spirituality, it is important to make sure that people of all faith traditions and spiritual paths, as well as those who are not religious or spiritual, feel welcome and respected. What helps one person stay resilient may be the source of another person’s trauma and vice versa. It is important to establish some ground rules when opening this conversation, including the following:

- No proselytizing (trying to convince people to endorse a specific religion).
- A nonjudgmental attitude is essential. Regardless of what you might have been taught in your religious upbringing, while in the group, the assumption is that no religion, faith tradition, or belief system is better than another.
- Atheists and others who do not believe in God or a higher power, or people who are agnostic, are welcome to reflect their own philosophy of life.
- Differences in belief and practice, like other forms of diversity, are something to learn about and celebrate, not to avoid or ignore.
- Every person’s experience is unique and important. People need to feel free to express both positive and negative experiences with religion and spirituality without implying that others should feel the same way.

One way to help establish a safe and inclusive environment is to make sure that those you support are the ones making decisions about what spiritual activities they engage in. Of course, you also need to ensure that nothing occurs in a group setting that is offensive to anyone. The arts are one way to help people explore their inner dimensions. People can write about their personal spiritual journey or draw their lifetime spiritual “timeline” or “map” using whatever art supplies are available. In drawing their maps, people portray their spiritual journey visually, illustrating key turning points.
(like getting baptized or initiated, engaging in religious study, joining a group, or having a particular inner experience). They can also illustrate the relationship between their spiritual journey and other aspects of their lives, including trauma healing. All of these techniques allow the individual to explore their spiritual experience and, if they choose, to share it with the group in a nonjudgmental way.

CONNECTING WITH RELIGIOUS AND SPIRITUAL SUPPORTS

Many possibilities exist for incorporating religious and spiritual practices into the trauma healing process. All of the world’s religions and “wisdom traditions” offer important insights about suffering and healing, and many include concrete strategies for managing thoughts and emotions. The first step is to become familiar with the resources in your community and to discuss with the people you support whether they want to explore spirituality and, if so, how. Helpful materials can be found in many communities and online. For example, the Capacitar website has instructional materials for a variety of healing techniques based in indigenous religious tradition, and they are available in 13 different languages (see Resources).

Although some of the people you work with may choose to discuss their religious and spiritual issues with you or with their peers, others may prefer to develop relationships with religious teachers or faith groups in the community. They may want the support of a like-minded community outside the human service system, be comforted by the traditional rituals and environment of an organized religious setting, or seek wisdom from acknowledged spiritual teachers. Helping the people you support establish a faith connection in the community—perhaps with a local church, synagogue, ashram, mosque, or traditional healer—is an important step in community integration. Organizations like Trans Faith and GLAAD (formerly the Gay & Lesbian Alliance Against Defamation) point to diverse faith communities by, for, or welcoming of trans, lesbian, and gay people. Although all faith traditions deal with suffering, some religious leaders are uncomfortable with extreme states and may need some coaching on how to work effectively with trauma survivors, LGBTQI2S people, and PLHIV. If possible, see if you can develop a partnership where you can learn about the wisdom within the religious tradition and, at the same time, teach the faith community about trauma-informed care. Several resources listed below can assist with helping religious leaders become more trauma-informed.

CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- Chances are good that many of the people you work with have an active spiritual life, considering themselves either “religious” or “spiritual.”
- Many common trauma healing practices were or are associated with religion or spirituality, including meditation, massage, yoga, and prayer.
- As long as you do not endorse one religion over another or allow your personal beliefs to interfere with your ability to offer peer support, you will not be violating the principle of separation of church and state if you are involved in discussions about spirituality or religion in the context of peer support.
- It is important to be vigilant about possible re-traumatization in discussions of religion and spirituality, since some of the people you work with may have been traumatized by religion.
- Some of the people you support may choose to discuss their religious and spiritual issues with you or with their peers, and others may prefer to develop relationships with religious teachers or faith groups in the community.
- It is important to recognize that, for many people, religion or spirituality plays no role in their lives and that must be honored and respected.

78 “Wisdom tradition” is a term used to describe the inner core or mystic aspects of a religious or spiritual tradition without the trappings, doctrine, and power structures that are associated with institutionalized religion.

RESOURCES


As a peer supporter, you are likely to encounter people from across the lifespan—from adolescents to elders. Although the basic principles of trauma-informed peer support remain the same, the experiences of youth and older people may differ significantly. People of different ages are vulnerable to different forms of trauma, and their trauma histories may affect them in different ways. Their experiences and needs may also be affected by the defining events and prevailing norms of their generation. Regardless of your own age, it is helpful to be alert to ways in which your relationships can be affected by age. This chapter provides an overview of developmental, generational, and intergenerational issues, as well as suggestions for specific peer support strategies for working with people across the lifespan.

**DEVELOPMENTAL ISSUES**

The impact of violence is determined in part by the developmental stage at which it occurs. Unfortunately, violence against children in our society is extremely common. A recent survey showed that 60 percent of all children 17 years or younger experience some form of direct or indirect (witnessed) violence in a given year.\(^80\) Children who experience trauma at a very young age, when the primary developmental task is to develop trust, may have their sense of safety shattered or develop problems with attachment. Adolescents who are raped may come to fear or avoid intimate relationships. For people who are trauma survivors, the violence they experienced may become the pivotal point in their lives around which the rest of their life is organized. Or, it may be forgotten or repressed, only to reappear later in life when new challenges emerge. Older people who experience trauma may find that it compounds a sense of isolation and powerlessness.

**Younger People**

For adolescents and young adults, the development of their sexual identity and the formation of intimate relationships are two critically important developmental milestones. Childhood abuse, especially sexual abuse, may be a barrier to developing intimate relationships. This period of development is also fraught with the possibility of violence and trauma. People who have experienced childhood abuse are particularly vulnerable. Adolescents need to be informed about dating violence, date rape, and abusive power tactics in relationships. They also need to understand the role of alcohol and other substances in interpersonal violence, particularly because trauma survivors often turn to substances as a tool for coping with the consequences of their abuse. For example, a high percentage of rape victims are intoxicated at the time of the assault; many perpetrators use alcohol or drugs to incapacitate their victims.\(^81\) Many helpful resources are available online, including documents like a “Dating Bill of Rights” and guidelines for dating safety (see, for example, the National Center for Victims of Crime).

Children and young adults who are lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or who are questioning their sexuality may face additional social discrimination and exclusion or may be the target of violence. Well-intentioned efforts to address trauma and prevent sexual violence—like separating residential units, showers, or bathrooms by gender—may overlook the possibility of same-sex violence. Young women who have been diagnosed with psychiatric or substance use disorders, or who have been in the foster care or juvenile justice systems, may face overwhelming isolation and multiple sources of discrimination. For young people with these experiences, peer support is particularly crucial.

---


Young women, boys perceived to be “gay” or “effeminate,” and children who are gender-nonconforming are also vulnerable to other forms of interpersonal violence, such as bullying. Although the stereotype of a bully is a larger boy, children with trauma histories may end up either being bullied or bullying others. Gay slurs are central to boys’ and young men’s joking in the U.S. and UK, a way to reinforce certain ways of being a man through bullying of those deemed “insufficiently masculine” in “appearance, clothing, and speech.”

Peers who are supporting young adults should be familiar with the many resources available on adolescents and bullying.

Young people also face the formidable challenge of becoming more independent by leaving home to attend college or to begin a job and a career, entering new environments where power dynamics need to be negotiated. If the people you work with are moving into the world of work—whatever their age—they might want to consider the “triggers” that they may encounter. For example, a boss, a room, or a smell can unintentionally bring back memories of trauma and abuse that occurred at the hands of an older person in a position of power.

Additional challenges for young adults may arise in partnering. Making a life commitment to a partner may be difficult for a person whose ability to trust and form intimate relationships has been affected by trauma. If a parent was abusive, the young adult may be triggered by being around in-laws or other new parental figures. If they resemble the abuser in any way, the possibility for re-traumatization is high.

For some sexual abuse survivors, getting pregnant, giving birth, and raising children may be both the biggest challenge and the biggest blessing of their lives. Every aspect of gynecological care and parenting may be re-traumatizing, from pelvic exams, to delivery, to breastfeeding. People who grew up in families or communities where abuse occurred may be highly motivated to break the intergenerational cycle of violence, but they may need help in doing it.

Some parents living with HIV may experience judgement and discrimination from family and friends, and medical providers regarding their choice to become pregnant and have children, making access to peer support and other community supports especially important. But HIV-related discrimination may make it more difficult to access these supports when parents need them most.

Trauma survivors may not have had good role models for effective parenting and may need to learn the basics of how to support and nurture their children. They may have difficulty bonding, even with their own child. They may have trouble with certain aspects of child rearing, such as discipline; being firm and setting limits can easily remind a trauma survivor of “discipline” that was abusive. And they may be so fearful of losing their children that they avoid reaching out for help, especially if their own childhood included separation or abandonment. Finally, it is not unusual for a child’s behavior to bring back memories of long-forgotten or repressed abuse, especially as the child approaches the age at which the abuse happened.

Despite these challenges, raising healthy and happy children can be a deeply healing and rewarding experience. Unfortunately, too many trauma survivors lose custody of their children due to lawyers and courts that are not trauma-informed. If the people you support have children—or want children—there are many resources available that can help them be the best parents possible. For example, the nationally recognized TAMAR (Trauma, Addictions, Mental Health, and Recovery) Program provides information on trauma, the development of coping skills, pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections, sexuality, and role loss and parenting issues. A useful resource to share with trauma survivors.

---


83 See, for example, the National Crime Prevention Council’s website.

survivors who are parents is *Parenting with PTSD,* an anthology of insights from numerous parents who have survived child abuse.

Some people may be unable to have children or have not had the opportunity to become parents due to the trauma they experienced, which may cause deep grief and mourning. As a peer supporter, you can be there as a witness to the grief and to help them find ways to move forward despite their loss. For example, you may be able to help find other ways to be an important adult in the lives of children, for instance as an aunt or uncle, a godparent, or caregiver for a friend’s children.

**Mid-life**

Mid-life, generally considered the period between 40 and 60, is a time when many people come into their own, feeling grounded, independent, and satisfied with what they have. This can also be true for gay men and for intersex and transgender adults. However, although some people experience a new sense of adventure, for others, especially those with few resources, mid-life may be a tumultuous period. It is a time of personal reassessment, shifting relationships, and physical changes. For women hoping to become mothers, grief and a sense of loss may peak at mid-life, as fertility declines and the possibility of having a biological child diminishes. Parents may die or become dependent, children may leave home, and intimate relationships may come to an end. All of these events can have particular impact on people with trauma histories.

Health problems that people were able to ignore in youth may now demand attention. Many sexual abuse survivors avoid routine preventive services, such as gynecological and dental care, and adults in mid-life may find themselves facing invasive exams (for example, mammograms, colonoscopies, and rectal exams). Respiratory problems and chronic pain—both related to adverse childhood events—may also become harder to ignore as aging occurs. As a peer supporter, you may want to help the people you support find healthcare providers who are trauma-informed or roleplay ways of minimizing the re-traumatization of a physical exam.

People who enter peer support in mid-life may also be in the process of reviewing their lives for the first time in decades. They may voice a sense of disappointment, loss, or grief over years spent using substances, in institutions, or in destructive relationships. For women, both situational and hormonal changes may trigger the remembrance of old traumas can be healing if it is done in a spirit of reflection, taking stock of one’s life, and developing new directions, relationships, and activities. A peer supporter can help by encouraging people to focus on their strengths and survival skills.

---

**COMMON THREADS, A PEER-LED INTERVENTION FOR WOMEN LHIV**

“To assist with sharing and disclosure, Common Threads incorporates the cultural tradition of storytelling as a main method in the intervention. As one of the most ancient African traditions, storytelling is a way of passing on beliefs, cultural practices, and traditions, as well as maintaining social order and codes of behavior. Participants gain experience with storytelling demonstrations, interactive discussions, and journaling in the training. Creative storytelling tools such as a developing a participant’s family tree, personal timeline, and HIV diagnosis experience are introduced to help women explore their vulnerabilities and share their experiences. During the course of the training, women have opportunities to practice presenting their stories and gain feedback from each other. Through the formal exercises and informal social connection, Common Threads participants experience a reduction in the isolation people often report when living with HIV/AIDS. The opportunity to share information among peers and better understand the common factors that influenced their vulnerability for HIV helps reduce the stigma associated with the common tendency to focus on individual behavior, guilt, and self-blame.”

---

Elders
With age often come experience and wisdom, and many people find themselves enjoying new freedom as family and work responsibilities diminish. Others may face new struggles, such as living on a fixed income, being alone, or raising grandchildren whose parents are not in a position to parent. As we age, coping strategies that worked in the past may not work as well anymore. Developmental milestones or the circumstances we find ourselves in as we get older may remind us of traumas that we thought had long been put to rest. Elders are also at risk of abuse at the hands of family members or caretakers. Peer supporters need to be alert to the signs of elder abuse and be prepared to intervene, if necessary. These life changes can provide challenges to peer support relationships, but they can also provide new opportunities for healing.

Slowing down is a natural part of the aging process. For trauma survivors who have used active physical coping strategies, like exercise or staying busy at all costs, new physical limitations may unleash old trauma responses. Some trauma survivors literally work themselves to exhaustion to sleep through the night and slowing down may cause sleep disturbances or intrusive nightmares. Even retirement can be a problem because newfound leisure time can allow old thoughts and memories to surface.

The aging process may recreate conditions that surrounded the original trauma, such as dependency, isolation, or weakness. Adults who are institutionalized in nursing homes may be re-traumatized by rigid rules and hierarchical structures, especially if their original trauma occurred in an institutional setting. The onset of dementia can also contribute to this process. Sometimes, traumas that were long forgotten or repressed come to consciousness for the first time as people begin living more and more in the past. It is important to honor these revelations and not dismiss them as a product of a failing memory.

Aging may also bring significant changes in family relationships and responsibilities. When someone becomes a grandparent or a great-grandparent, or as they begin to prepare for the last stages of life, there is a natural tendency to look back and consider their legacy. They may become acutely aware of how their own actions and experiences have affected their children and grandchildren. In whatever ways the people you work with approach the process of aging, it is likely to be both a challenge and an opportunity for healing.

GENERATIONAL ISSUES
Has anyone ever asked you where you were when John F. Kennedy or Martin Luther King was assassinated? Or when the Berlin wall came down? Or on 9/11? Are there particular personal or social milestones that you use to measure your life? It is common for people to divide their lives into periods marked by major events. To understand the adults you work with, it is important to understand the historical circumstances in which their lives unfolded.

An obvious generational difference between those who grew up in the 1930s through the 1960s and those who grew up after that is the status of women in society and the accepted norms of behavior for women, children, and LGBTQI2S people. Earlier generations often believed that you should tolerate whatever your parents did to you, that protecting the family’s reputation was of primary concern, that women belonged in the home, that children should “be seen and not heard,” and that LGBTQI2S people should not be seen or heard at all—that they either should not exist or needed to be “fixed.” For example, the generation that grew up during the Great Depression may harbor deep fears about having enough to eat. They lived through the Holocaust, and internment camps, and the McCarthy years, and the Cuban missile crisis. And although women in this era may have experienced domestic violence when their husbands returned from World War II or the Korean War, war was seen as heroic—and it was fought, of course, by men. People from this time period may be uncomfortable with today’s technology and with globalization and feel powerless as the world changes around them.

---


In contrast, people born in the United States since the 1970s grew up in an interconnected global economy where events that happen on the other side of the globe have consequences here. They grew up in a world where women’s rights were already established, at least on paper. People born since the 1990s have grown up in a world where civil rights for LGBTQI2S people were at least briefly protected: They may be more likely than older generations to take for granted that marriage equality of same-sex couples and gender diversity simply exist as a given. And although people born since the 1970s grew up in a society of plenty, the chasm between the rich and the poor, between the privileged and those who are marginalized, widened steadily during their lifetimes. This created new distinctions between the haves and the have-nots. For example, those who cannot afford computers or smartphones, or who live in rural areas where internet access is limited, do not have access to the benefits these new technologies can offer. Young adults who can afford these devices may be comfortable with technology, although it opens them up to new forms of violence, such as cyber-bullying and sexting. They are used to being connected with friends at all times, even over huge distances. The Vietnam War was probably over before they were born, and, for the most recent generations, wars were fought by women and men, some of whom are openly LGBTQ. Their formative years may have been shaped by school shootings, the events of 9/11, the “war on terror,” and the devastation of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, as well as other major disasters at home and across the globe.

Obviously, the issues that arise in peer support relationships will be profoundly affected by these differences. For example, younger women may have had traumatic experiences that are completely outside the understanding of older women, and vice versa. The experience of women soldiers is a good example. Some of the younger women you work with might be veterans, and some might have served on combat missions and alongside LGBT troops, a situation essentially unheard of in earlier generations. They may be openly lesbian or trans themselves. The number of women in the military has steadily increased over the past two decades and women now make up 15 percent of the armed services. Trans women are 1.6 times more likely to serve in the military than cisgender men. Trans men are three times more likely than cisgender women to serve in the U.S. military. A 2014 study estimated that 21 percent of the United States’ transgender population (about 150,000 people)—or one trans person in every five—have served in the armed forces. That’s more than twice the number in the general public: the service rate among the general population is 10 percent.

People of color are also making up an increasing proportion of the military. According to the most recently available data from the U.S. Department of Defense, “Racial minorities make up 21.2 percent of the civilian benchmark population but 30.5 percent of enlisted forces. Women serving in the military tend to be more racially and ethnically diverse than their male counterparts. For example, racially diverse women represented 45.6 percent of female Army accessions in FY15, while racially diverse men represented 28.5 percent of male Army accessions. These service findings are in contrast to the civilian benchmark, which shows only small gender differences (26.8 percent female and 25.4 percent male).”

Since 2001, more than half of female service members have been deployed, 85 percent of them to a combat zone. During the same period, more than 21 percent of all female U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) hospital patients screened positive for military sexual trauma (MST), defined as “psychological trauma resulting from a physical assault of a sexual nature, battery of a sexual nature, or sexual harassment that occurred while a Veteran was serving on active duty.” A woman who experiences sexual assault in the military faces particular challenges since the perpetrator is often in her own unit. She may hesitate to report the assault.

---


or seek help if the perpetrator is of a higher rank or is otherwise in a position to affect her career. War trauma often compounds other forms of trauma. Women veterans are nine times more likely to be diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) if they have a history of military sexual trauma, seven times more likely if they have a history of childhood sexual assault, and five times more likely with a history of civilian sexual assault.  

Military culture is very different from civilian life, and veterans may experience a difficult readjustment period after discharge. Soldiers often benefit from a high level of interpersonal support and camaraderie with unit members and may feel acute social isolation upon returning to civilian life. The structure of military life may also make civilian life seem chaotic and unpredictable. Women who have left young children at home during deployment may find they have missed major phases of their child’s development or that their child no longer relates to them as a parental figure. Women who return from deployment are also at risk of losing their children for a variety of reasons, including homelessness, unemployment, substance use, or marital strife. Trauma can compound these reintegration issues.

Trans vets in the VA system have significantly higher odds of suffering from a range of mental (and physical) health conditions than their non-trans vet counterparts. Like military veterans, trans people face higher than average rates of homelessness, substance use (including alcohol dependency), and diagnosis of mental illness. Those at the intersection of both sets of risks—both military and trans—have even higher rates of trauma and PTSD.  

As a peer supporter, you need to be aware of the possibility that military life may be part of the experience of the adults you support, including younger women, people of color, and openly LGBTQI2S people.

**STRATEGIES FOR PEER SUPPORT**

Being aware of lifespan issues will broaden the way you think about peer support. Many young people with trauma histories are gathering in their own groups and forming their own organizations, determined to create their own identities. Although they may never label themselves as trauma survivors, they can use performance art, poetry, music, and political analysis as healing tools (see, for example, *We Got Issues*!). Other young women are using open mike nights at local hangouts to do spoken-word performances. By working with young women in settings of their own choice, you can support them in creating the lives they want.

Older adults may prefer not to talk directly about their trauma histories because their generation was raised not to discuss personal matters in public. Instead, they may find support in simply gathering to do something together, for example, book clubs or community service activities. Some older people may take in younger family members whose own parents are not able to raise them and pass on their years of wisdom by teaching their younger family members how to protect themselves and survive in their neighborhoods. Elders may also use political organizing as a tool for healing themselves and the world (e.g., the Raging Grannies). LGBTQI2S elders may not have social or family support for their grandparenting. South Florida’s LGBT Grandparents Group is pioneering a model support and social group for lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender grandparents, and PFLAG (Parents, Families and Friends of Lesbians And Gays)—a national support, education, and advocacy organization for LGBT people and their families, friends, and allies—offers guidance to older adults for coming out to their grandchildren. Helping to connect the people you support with groups can be a wonderful step toward meaningful community life and personal healing.

---


CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- People of different ages are vulnerable to different forms and manifestations of trauma.
  - Children who experience abuse, neglect, and severe, pervasive bullying at a very young age may have their sense of safety shattered or have attachment problems.
  - Teenagers who are raped may come to fear or avoid intimate relationships or may end up in future abusive relationships or situations.
  - In mid-life, health problems may emerge for trauma survivors who have avoided routine preventive care.
  - Elders may face the re-emergence of trauma issues that they have not thought about for years.
- Some of the younger people you work with might be veterans, and some might have served on combat missions—even if they are women, even if they are LGBTQI2S, a situation essentially unheard of in earlier generations.
- Being aware of lifespan issues can help broaden the way you think about peer support.

RESOURCES


National Center for Victims of Crime. [http://www.ncvc.org](http://www.ncvc.org)

CHAPTER 8. TRAUMA AND PEER SUPPORT RELATIONSHIPS

As a result of trauma, the people you work with may not believe that they have the ability to do more for themselves than what they are currently doing. This chapter will help you recognize ways in which peer support relationships may inadvertently reinforce a survivor’s experience of trauma and how the principles of peer support can address these challenges to healing. By emphasizing authentic, mutual relationships and by using simple, non-clinical language, you will be better prepared to connect with the people you support, even if your experiences are very different.

RECONNECTING WITH THE SELF AND OTHERS IN PEER SUPPORT RELATIONSHIPS

Violence and abuse can lead to disconnection from oneself and others. Peer support emphasizes reconnection. You may wonder how to be of assistance in the presence of helplessness, hopelessness, grief, rage, despair, distrust, or a sense of disability. It is important to recognize that it is not up to you to empower people to claim their own lives. As a peer supporter, your role is to develop relationships that allow people to use their own voices and to name their own experiences to reclaim power and control over their own lives. It is crucial that peer supporters examine their own ways of interacting to make sure their actions do not create barriers to survivors’ growth and healing.

The Need for Reconnection

Meaningful relationships can help people heal. But, as we discussed in Chapter 1, women and girls are most likely to be hurt by someone they know. LGBTQI2S people—especially those who are transgender—are more likely than most to be rejected by their families and faith communities. It can be very hard for people who have survived intimate partner violence and other forms of relational trauma to form those essential connections. They may find it difficult to trust you or to trust that others are not out to hurt or betray them. When trauma has been a pervasive, ongoing part of their lives, people may feel at the mercy of others and that they have little opportunity to say what they want and to act on their own needs.

Women and LGBTQI2S people raised in families or communities where they are not respected because of their gender or sexuality may feel that they are inferior and may look to you for direction and to make important decisions. They may not understand that relationships are built on give and take and may feel that they have nothing to offer. Or a person may have developed styles of relating that further isolate them. For example, they may be overly aggressive or hostile, which can make connecting difficult.

Because many trauma survivors have spent time in programs, institutions, communities, or families where they were given few options and had little control over their lives, they may have learned to be dependent and helpless as a way to respond to threats. Or they may have learned that the only way to survive is to fight. In response to trauma, some people disengage or retreat from the present and create their own reality.

You may be familiar with the three responses to danger referred to as fight, flight, or freeze. These are natural responses to any perceived or real threat that allow optimal use of the body’s resources for self-protection. For example, in a fight or flee response, adrenalin courses through your body while oxygen rushes to your limbs, providing extra energy to run for your life or stand and fight. The freeze response allows both your mind and body to shut down, perhaps to lie still until danger passes, or to “zone out,” or “disappear.” These responses can be misinterpreted and labeled in ways that often lead to negative or punitive reactions to PLHIV who are simply struggling for control over their bodies, minds, and selves, regardless of gender. The chart below shows how natural responses to threat can lead to patterns of relating to others that can be—and often are—mislabeled in behavioral health settings or other systems.
CONSIDER THIS EXAMPLE:

The emergency room of a busy hospital has a policy requiring nursing staff to confiscate the clothes of people who are admitted for self-injury or suicidal feelings. The policy was developed to protect patients by ensuring that they do not have a concealed weapon. Brenda is a woman who experienced a rape some time ago but never reported it. She is admitted for self-injury and is asked to take off her clothes, but refuses. Brenda is held down by a male security guard while a nurse removes her clothes. This practice—intended to protect her—has instead re-traumatized her. The forced disrobing in the presence of a male staff and the experience of being held down against her will mirror her past assault experience. Brenda’s heart starts pumping, she can’t think clearly, her breathing gets shallow, and her fight, flight, or freeze response kicks in.

The ER staff may not recognize Brenda’s reaction as trauma-induced. If she is too disruptive, she may find herself in chemical or physical restraints or in a police car upon discharge, bewildered by what just happened. If she dissociates to protect herself (consciously or unconsciously) from the perceived assault, she may be labeled with an even more disabling diagnosis, without her trauma experience ever coming to light.

If Brenda is transgender or intersex, add an extra layer of humiliation and increased potential for verbal and physical harassment by the staff and other patients, even the possibility that she will be placed in isolation or with men (which escalates the likelihood of sexual assault).⁹⁸

Not only has she just re-experienced the rage, helplessness, and humiliation of the original assault, but now Brenda must also contend with the impact of the current event. Since re-traumatization erodes one’s natural coping resources and resilience, it is essential that supporters recognize where and when power imbalances occur.

---

In Chapter 10 we will discuss in more detail the challenges facing peer supporters who work in organizations that are not trauma-informed and some strategies that can be used to work toward resolving these issues.

RE-TRAUMATIZATION IN PEER SUPPORT RELATIONSHIPS

As we have seen, deliberate abuse of power is damaging, but what if peer supporters are not aware of the power they have and how those they support may experience these power differences? In Chapter 1, we saw that sources of interpersonal trauma include any situation in which a person misuses power over another. If you provide peer support as staff of a program, many practices that your organization considers “business as usual” may actually create power imbalances that can reinforce survivors’ feelings of powerlessness. These power differences challenge the peer support principle of mutuality.

Because there may be tasks required of you as peer support staff that have the potential to cause power imbalances, it is crucial to be sensitive to how these activities may affect people who have had little power in their lives, sometimes because of their gender, race, or economic status. Being trauma-informed means recognizing and then adjusting or modifying current practices in light of your understanding of trauma and its devastating consequences.

In the table below, the right column lists some activities that paid peer supporters working in mainstream programs might be assigned as part of their daily work. The column on the left lists some characteristics of traumatic events. Which activities in the right column might reinforce some of the trauma responses in the left column?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of Traumatic Relationships</th>
<th>Possible Activities Assigned to Peer Support Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Impose authority</td>
<td>• Tell them that they need to take their meds (This is especially true in the case of HIV care, where people may be told “if you do not take your medications, you will die.”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Invalidate personal reality</td>
<td>• Interrupt them to take a call or answer email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Take away voice</td>
<td>• Dismiss their distress because they have a diagnosis of borderline personality disorder, or assume their reactions are paranoid or delusional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Communicate worthlessness</td>
<td>• Write your opinions of their progress in daily notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Humiliate and shame</td>
<td>• Enter a “staff-only” area with a card key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Create mistrust and alienation</td>
<td>• Walk into the “staff” bathroom rather than the “client” bathroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Take away power and control over what is happening</td>
<td>• Tell them you are only there to help, and that they need to stop fighting you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use power to control or intimidate</td>
<td>• Discuss them when they are not present, especially if this includes “outing” them as LGBTIQ2S or HIV+ with staff or other patients who do not need to know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Include the experience of being dominated, controlled, or manipulated</td>
<td>• Lock a door, create program schedules without their input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Violate personal boundaries and sense of safety</td>
<td>• Wear keys to parts of the building attached to a belt loop or arm loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Involve coercion</td>
<td>• Decide who gets to talk next in a group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Press them for personal information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Grant privileges based on compliance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If you answered, “all of them,” you are right. It is important to recognize that you may not be able to change some requirements of your job, such as writing progress notes, but you can, for example, write the progress notes collaboratively with those you are supporting. It is important to recognize where potential power imbalances occur so that these can be addressed with those you support.

**PRINCIPLES OF PEER SUPPORT IN ACTION**

Being trauma-informed means recognizing some of the ways that “helping” may reinforce helplessness and shame, further eroding the sense of self of PLHIV and their ability to direct their own lives, especially people of color or women and LGBTQ+ people, who are often demeaned in gender-specific or race-specific ways. It means recognizing things you may be doing in your relationships that keep them in dependent roles, elicit anger and frustration, or bring on the survival responses of fight, flight, or freeze. “Helping” can also send the mistaken idea that one person—the helper—is more “together” or “healed” than the person who is being “helped.” The roles of helper/helpee can become fixed, especially for peer supporters who work as paid staff, causing both people to get stuck in roles that limit growth and exploration.  

Peer support relationships, with their emphasis on mutuality, provide an opportunity to shift the focus from problems and problem-solving to learning about the experiences that have shaped each other’s lives. In the process of learning rather than helping, peer supporters and those they engage will discover a larger, richer context for understanding and appreciating each other.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program that is NOT Trauma-informed Asks “What is wrong with you?”</th>
<th>Program that IS Trauma-informed Asks “What happened to you?”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Examples:**  
  - “I am hearing voices.”  
  - “I want to hurt myself.”  
  - “I’m depressed/can’t stop crying.”  
  - “I feel like dying.”  
  - “I feel like hurting someone.”  
  - “I can’t manage my anger. I’m in trouble with the law.”  
  - “I keep using even though I can’t pay my rent now.” | **Examples:**  
  - “I was raped, so now I’m scared and afraid to leave my house and go to work.”  
  - “I don’t think I’ve ever felt like someone cared.”  
  - “My partner of 30 years died suddenly. I’m all alone now.”  
  - “I was called crazy and locked up while I was a teenager, so I don’t know how to make friends.”  
  - “I was sentenced to prison and lost custody of my child, so now I can’t keep her safe.”  
  - “After I was diagnosed, all my dreams and hopes died.” |
| **What does “help” look like?**  
  - Focus is on their “needs” as defined by staff: “They need to stop hearing voices” or “They need to take their HIV medications.”  
  - The “helper” decides what “help” looks like.  
  - Relationships are based on problem-solving and resource coordination, not on creating meaningful connections.  
  - Safety is defined as risk management.  
  - Common experience between peer staff and clients may be assumed and defined by the setting (e.g., common experience in a clinic is based on “illness” and coping with “illness”). | **What does “help” look like?**  
  - Creating and sustaining a sense of trust and safety in relationships  
  - Safety is mutually defined by both people  
  - Collaboration and shared decision-making  
  - Understanding and acceptance of big feelings  
  - Crisis becomes an opportunity for growth  
  - Authentic relationships are emphasized rather than common experience; everyone recognizes that people rarely have the same experience or make the same meaning out of similar events |

---

Impact of Trauma

- Invalidates personal reality
- Creates mistrust and alienation
- Loss of power and control
- Feelings of helplessness and hopelessness
- Feelings of voicelessness
- Being dominated, controlled, or manipulated
- Violates personal boundaries and sense of safety

Principles of Peer Support

- Nonjudgmental
- Empathetic
- Respectful
- Honest and direct communication
- Mutual responsibility
- Shared power
- Reciprocal relationships

WHAT IS “COMMON EXPERIENCE” IN PEER SUPPORT?

We define peer support as “people who share similar experiences coming together to offer each other encouragement and hope.” But what if someone’s trauma does not describe what went on in your own life? What if you are a man trying to support a woman? What if your race or ethnicity is different from the person you are supporting? What if you are a cisgender and heterosexual person trying to support a trauma survivor who is LGBTQI2? What if you are trying to support a person who does not share your values, your heritage, or something that is essential to how you view yourself and your world? For example, what if the peer supporter is a trans person in a same-sex marriage trying to support a trauma survivor whose essential view of the world and themselves includes the fundamental belief that all LGBTQI2 people are sick, evil, and dangerous? If you feel like your experience is fundamentally different from the person you are trying to help, how can you find commonality in peer support?

It is easy to make the mistake of basing relationships with survivors on the trauma-uninformed question “What is wrong with you?” This is especially true...
MALE PEER SUPPORTERS WORKING WITH WOMEN SURVIVORS: AN INTERVIEW WITH MIKE SKINNER

Q: Tell me a little bit about your work.
A: I’m a musician and a public speaker, and I’ve done mental health advocacy and one-on-one support. I started a nonprofit, The Surviving Spirit, and I’m in touch with people every day through that connection and our newsletter. I started years ago as a volunteer with the New Hampshire Incest Survivors Center.

Q: What’s the most important thing for men working with women trauma survivors to remember?
A: I learned that when I was willing to be open about my experience, people would start to share their own. People need to remember that they can be triggers for each other—men or women. At the Incest Survivors Center, I noticed that one woman was shying away from me, keeping me at arm’s length. Then we did an art event, and she brought some of her artwork. She had painted the man who had assaulted her, and he looked a lot like me—tall, with a beard. That was a great learning experience.

Q: Have women ever gotten angry at you for being a man working with women?
A: Oh yes! I’m a tall man, and my size can intimidate people. I have had a lot of anger thrown at me. I try to be gentle in response, to say, “I understand that you are fearful. Please know that my own childhood was full of trauma by both males and females. I am trying really hard not to shut women out of my life.” Most of the time, that message gets through. I see their expression soften, and we can have a dialogue. I try never to say, “I feel what you are feeling.” People’s experiences are unique, and I can’t feel their feelings. But I point out that I still feel fear and shame, and that’s why I sometimes isolate myself.

Q: What other suggestions do you have for men working with women trauma survivors?
A: “Learn to listen and listen to learn.” We are all human; we all like to start yakking away. But we need to learn to be silent and not interject, especially when a trauma survivor starts to open up. Learn to stay with silence when it comes; be patient, even if it seems like forever. It is important to validate someone’s story. I have witnessed people who have been invalidated, not only by treatment providers, family members, and friends, but by their peers, even those trained as peer support specialists. We mustn’t shut people off with denial, avoidance, and silencing. Peers should make sure they have done their own healing work; too many have not. One of the most powerful healing tools we have is the ability to share our experience and have it heard. For many, this may be the first time that they open up to someone.

Q: Are there any final thoughts you’d like to leave us with?
A: I believe we need to be working together, men and women, to solve these issues. Many of us were young people when we were abused, and our abusers were adults. Now we have grown up and we will have much more power if we work together. Of course, there are men and women who are angry at each other, and there are times when men need to be with just men and women need to be with just women. But it’s unfortunate if we create gender silos. I hope we have a paradigm shift so that we can join forces.
EXPLORING THE IDEA OF “COMMON EXPERIENCE” IN PEER SUPPORT: AN EXERCISE

Tammy works as a peer supporter in an outpatient clinic. She believes that her sobriety began once she accepted that she was an alcoholic. In college, she had used alcohol to help deal with her shyness, but then she used it to cope with anything that made her anxious or uncomfortable. Her alcohol use led to her expulsion from school. She “hit bottom” and entered a residential treatment program. She is proud that she was able to get sober and stay sober one day at a time for the past 3 years. On her job, she feels that she has a lot to give to other women who are struggling with addiction.

Lila was referred to the clinic by her physician, who recognized her alcohol issues. She once worked with her husband at the Twin Towers in New York City. Due to a bad cold on September 11, she decided to stay home. Like many others, Lila’s life changed forever that day. She felt guilty that her husband had died and that she had not. Once a social drinker, she now found herself drinking every night just to go to sleep and stop the nightmares. Instead of helping, the alcohol made things worse. She no longer wanted to be around her friends or her husband’s family. She lost her job and sometimes thought about killing herself. Ten years later, she still feels numb and disconnected. Her only emotion is anger.

If Tammy is not aware of the impact of trauma and defines her connection to Lila on the basis of addiction, she might miss some important opportunities for connection. The conversation might go something like this:

Tammy: I understand you’ve gone through a lot, and I’m really sorry, but you’ve got to take control of your life. You need to start living one day at a time. You can’t change the past. It’s gone. I’ve been there. I can help you.

Lila: I don’t know why I even thought you people would have a clue. You have no idea what I’ve been through. You’re like everyone else, just telling me to move on, let go, get a life.

Instead, assume that Tammy is aware of the impact of trauma, even though she has not had that experience. She understands the principles of peer support: mutuality, respect, and shared power. She thinks about “common experience” from a broader perspective than their shared experience with alcohol abuse.

Tammy: I can’t even imagine what you’ve been through, Lila. I’m just so glad you made it here.

Lila: Thanks. You’re the first person who hasn’t told me to move on and forget the past, or pity me, or try to take care of me, or start talking non-stop about your own stuff.

Tammy: It takes a lot of strength for women like us to survive.

Lila: So you’ve been there, too?

Tammy: I don’t know what being there means for you but, for me, I was incredibly angry with myself. My addiction cost me college and stability and my family. I hated myself.

Lila: Me, too. I hate myself, Tammy. I get so mad at the universe. I stay alive out of spite.

Tammy: That anger sounds pretty powerful, and pretty helpful. When I think about it, my own anger kept me alive, too.

Lila: Maybe anger is something I can use….to make some changes, I don’t know....

Tammy: I wonder what other sources of power our anger might reveal. Can we keep talking?

Lila: Yes, OK.

How did Tammy create a connection with Lila, whose own experience is very different from hers?

• She expressed care and sadness rather than judging Lila and telling her what she should do.
• She created a two-way relationship by being open to learning from Lila.
• She found connection through their shared feelings of anger.
• Tammy and Lila got to look at their experiences with anger in very different ways.
• Tammy did not assume that what worked for her recovery would work for Lila.
THE LANGUAGE OF PEER SUPPORT

Every service system has its own way of talking about people who come into contact with it. For people with psychiatric diagnoses who have experienced violence, diagnostic and clinical language limits their ability to communicate who they are, what their lives have been about, and what they feel, think, and perceive as a result of their experiences. PLHIV, for example, may have been referred to as “infected” repeatedly by medical professionals, the media, and others—stigmatizing and defining all of who they are instead of recognizing the whole person.

#NotYourInfection is important to me because people living with HIV deserve respect. A word can be correct, but that doesn’t make it nice. I am living with HIV, not an HIV-infected person. I am NOT an infection! —Mina, a teen living with HIV

People who have been in various systems of care for a long time may come to view all their experiences through the lens of “illness.” If you have been in the system, you may understand how easily one can learn to refer to intense feelings as “relapse,” or talk about “being depressed” rather than sad or grieving. Relationships may revolve around “maintaining wellness” rather than taking risks and exploring new ways of living. Being constantly on the lookout for any feeling, perception, or thought that is out of the ordinary, too big, or too scary can set people up to be constantly on guard for signs of returning “symptoms.” Instead of being able to tolerate discomfort as a natural consequence of growth and change, peer relationships can get bogged down with things like “contracting” around “safety” or helping each other identify potential signs of returning “instability.”

The language used by systems has several purposes. One is to identify and categorize the “problem” to determine a strategy for dealing with it, often a “treatment plan” or a “risk management plan.” In contrast, everyday language is what people use to describe experiences that are part of the human condition. Using everyday language instead of “symptom-speak” lets people relate to and connect with someone’s situation, perspective, and feelings beyond the experience of “illness” or “problem behavior.” This is not to say that people do not experience what they may call “symptoms” but to suggest that peer support relationships help us reconsider how people have been taught to name their experience of distress.

The shared experience of peer support is often revealed when peer supporters move away from the language of service systems and begin to use the language of everyday life: “I am so bleeping mad!” instead of “I must be getting manic” or “I should take a PRN.” This creates opportunities for connection based on what our lives are about, not merely what our problems are. “Why are you mad?” rather than “I’ll let your doctor know you need a PRN” becomes the natural response to someone who is expressing anger.

Everyday language:

- Has a non-clinical focus
- Creates the type of relationships we have in the community rather than service relationships or “helping” relationships
- Provides a context for understanding what is going on for the person
- Supports individuals to move beyond the identity of “mental patient,” “addict,” or “inmate”
- Allows us to make meaning out of our experiences and to have that meaning understood by others

---


CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- Trauma is a disconnecting experience. Peer support offers survivors a way to reconnect.
- Survival responses are often misinterpreted in treatment settings and result in labels that may further incapacitate people who are trying to cope.
- It is critical to pay attention to power differences in peer support relationships because these differences can reinforce people’s sense of being “less than” or cause re-traumatization, especially when they may have frequent experiences of being treated as “less than” due to race, gender, being same gender-loving, or because of their HIV status.
- The principles of trauma-informed peer support contradict many of the negative messages women, LGBTQI2S people, and people living with HIV/AIDS have received about who they are and what they are capable of.
- Common experience in peer support can be understood as the formation of authentic relationships where shared experience is explored rather than assumed.
- The language of peer support is the language of human experience rather than clinical language. This allows people to explore the totality of their lives in the healing journey.

RESOURCES


Emotional CPR. http://www.emotional-cpr.org


CHAPTER 9. SELF-AWARENESS AND SELF-CARE

In peer support, self-awareness and self-care are essential to the development of mutually satisfying relationships. Self-awareness is defined as knowing enough about yourself—what nurtures you, what your vulnerabilities are, what upsets you—to be able to stay connected to yourself and to others. Self-care is defined as using that self-knowledge to create routines that keep you healthy, whether these are things you do alone or in groups, and understanding how this contributes to building communities of intentional healing.

**SELF-AWARENESS**

You may be wondering, “Don’t people already know themselves well enough to know what they need and want?” The truth is that people vary in their level of self-awareness. Some circumstances can interfere with people’s natural ability to know themselves. Being a good child, sibling, parent, partner, student, or a “good patient” may have meant listening to everyone else’s insights about you rather than listening to your own. When we rely on others to tell us what we need, we may not learn how to pay attention to our own inner wisdom. Developing self-awareness requires time and space for reflection.

**RECOGNIZE YOUR EMOTIONAL VULNERABILITIES**

Becoming aware of what evokes a strong emotional reaction for you can help you respond in a way that feels right for you. If you have ever wished you could take back something you said or did, it might be helpful to consider the questions in the box below. Many of those knee-jerk reactions helped you survive. However, being aware of the factors that cause those reactions, often referred to by clinicians as “triggers,” can put you in charge of how you relate to others. Those powerful emotional responses often relate to something from your own life story. They may include certain things others do or say. They might be specific smells, sights, sounds, or other people’s emotions or behaviors. For example, have you ever felt like someone “rubbed you the wrong way?” Perhaps you were picking up on traits in others that you were not fully aware of, characteristics you reject in yourself or associate with others in negative ways. Having your “buttons pushed”—igniting those “hot spots” or vulnerabilities—often prompts reactions that may have little to do with the other person but a lot to do with you. Knowing where you are vulnerable will help you make decisions about how you want to participate in the world and in your relationships.

Once you have identified specific environmental factors, personal traits, or characteristics that can cause you discomfort or alarm, it is possible to avoid them or to develop strategies to manage your emotional reactions. Being aware of how strong a grip these environmental and personal cues can have will help you empathize with the people you are supporting rather than reacting and causing disconnections that take time to heal.

**Self-care**

Anyone who offers support to others probably knows how easy it is to slip into caretaking or rescuing behavior. Rescuing others or taking care of them can

---

**WHAT PROVOKES POWERFUL EMOTIONAL RESPONSES IN YOU?**

- Are there certain smells that bother you: a whiff of alcohol, or certain perfumes or aftershave lotions?
- Does lighting affect you: fluorescent lighting or natural lighting at a certain time of day, month, or time of season?
- Are you sensitive to noise? Do certain sounds distract you, create anxiety, or make it hard to focus?
- How do you react when someone is angry at you?
- How do you react when you think someone is not being truthful or seems to make demands on you?
- At work, if someone feels controlling, do you back away, get angry, shut down, or avoid the person?
- Are there things that people say or do that cause you to react intensely, even if you don’t know why?
happen when the decisions others make feel risky and uncomfortable or if a peer supporter does not really believe in the ability of the person they’re helping to manage their own life. Rescuing and caretaking can be ways you deal with your own distress. Most peer supporters realize how necessary risk is to growth and change, so being able to sit with your own discomfort when you do not agree with the decisions of people you support might make is very important. Learning ways to manage your own stress and being able to respect your own limits and needs is important.

MESSAGES ABOUT TAKING CARE OF YOURSELF
What are some of the messages you have learned about taking care of yourself? For example, certain religious traditions view self-denial and suffering as a means of strength and purification or as a path to God. If you come from a military family, you probably are familiar with the phrase, “pull yourself up by your bootstraps.” Black women are often socially conditioned to adhere to the stereotype of the “strong black woman” and to suppress any outward signs of perceived weakness or distress. People of African descent, regardless of gender, may have been told they need to be “twice as good” to survive in a racist society. In many Latinx families, the phrase “no se habla de eso” (such things are not spoken of) can be used to shut down conversations about trauma or other difficult subjects.

Many girls grow up with the message that their primary role is caretaking as a mother, wife, or older sibling. If trans, intersex, gay, or lesbian, you may have been raised with family, cultural, or religious beliefs that the only way to express love and respect for family, community, and God is to be someone else: to hide who you are and never fall in love or marry who you love.

Perhaps you came from a family where taking care of yourself was equated with weakness. Or perhaps self-care was not something that was discussed in your family. These social/cultural messages reinforce the idea that taking care of oneself is not necessary or is even indulgent or selfish. Although you may have been respecting the teachings from your own traditions that have added value to your life, you may decide that there are other practices that are not meeting your needs.

CHOOSING SELF-CARE TECHNIQUES THAT WORK FOR YOU
The purpose of self-care is to strengthen your ability to be in charge of your own life. It is in many ways a practice of wellness. Its focus is health in mind, body, and spirit. Choose strategies that fit your lifestyle, needs, and interests. Many of us think of self-care as activities one does alone, but, for many people, self-care involves being with others and doing activities as a group.

Four Components of Self-care
There are four primary components of self-care: physical health, intellectual health, emotional health, and spiritual health. All four are equally important. You might think of self-care as the four legs of a chair. If any one leg is short, the whole chair rocks; if it is too short, the chair tips over.

Physical health includes playing sports, participating in exercise classes, dancing, walking, swimming, and stretching, as well as getting enough sleep and eating healthy, fresh food.

Intellectual health comes with reading, having stimulating conversations, learning a new skill or language, doing crossword puzzles, exploring new areas of interest by taking classes, going to museums and libraries, watching documentaries, or listening to lectures.

There are many ways to enrich our emotional lives, including journaling, writing poetry, listening to or playing music, or spending time with people or companion animals. Many people have found that animals are especially attuned to the emotional needs of their caretakers, and both the human and the animal find reward in their bond.

Interacting with animals and nature has often been a source of great healing for many survivors of trauma. One survivor talks about what she has learned from her commitment to rescuing abused and abandoned dogs. “I take the ones that no one wants—the ones that are too mean or too old or too sick, like I was. We heal together.” Other peers talk about finding new levels of emotional well-being through their bond with service animals who are specially trained to provide comfort and support. If you would like more information about support animals, check out the resources at the end of this chapter.

Connecting to nature and animals can also be a source of nurturing one’s spiritual dimension. Many people find that meditation, prayer, doing breathwork or yoga, as well as healing touch, and other mind–body practices support their holistic approach to health.
PHYSICAL SELF-CARE TECHNIQUES TO TRY

Cook’s Hook-up
If you are experiencing anxiety on a regular basis, practice this exercise twice a day for approximately 2 minutes. Also, do it if you feel as though you are about to be flooded with feelings.

• Sit on a chair.
• Place left ankle behind right ankle.
• Place right hand on left knee.
• Place left hand on right knee.
• Place tip of tongue where teeth and gum meet.
• Holding this position, do slow, deep breathing for 2 minutes.

Slow, Deep Breathing
Practice doing this daily and gradually work up to 15 minutes a day. This exercise, done on a daily basis, will lower levels of excessive adrenaline and cortisol in the body. After a couple of weeks, you will feel more centered, more in control, and more in touch with what you need. In addition, this exercise can help boost your immune system.

• Sit in a chair with your back straight and supported and your feet flat on the floor or in a traditional meditation position.
• *Begin to breathe slowly and deeply by doing the following:
• Inhale all the way down to your navel. Your ribs will expand.
• Hold your breath for a count of three.
• Exhale slowly by blowing through your mouth.
• When you think you’ve exhaled all the air, exhale a little more.
• Repeat from *

Anger Release #1

• Take bunches of old newspaper and forcefully rip them up.

Anger Release #2

• Kneel with a pillow under your knees at a bedside or a couch.
• Fold your hands, as if in prayer. Lay them on the mattress or couch with your arms straight.
• Inhale. At the same time, raise your clasped hands and straighten your arms over your head.
• As you exhale, quickly bring your clasped hands down forcefully onto the bed. Feel free to make noise as you do this.
• Repeat starting at #3.
• Keep doing this. It will pick up speed and assume a life of its own. Keep deep breathing as you do it. This is very important for your physical safety.
• After 3–5 minutes, you will feel the need to stop and catch your breath.
Notice your feelings. You may still feel angry, you may feel a need to cry, or you may feel incredibly light and relieved. If you still feel angry, repeat the exercise. If you feel like crying, allow your breathing to help you cry and release the pain. If you are feeling better, relax and do something self-nurturing.

Sleep
If you experience difficulty falling asleep, try this simple exercise: as you are lying in bed, use your finger to repeatedly trace an infinity sign (a figure eight on its side) in the air. After a few minutes, you will notice your eyelids are getting heavy....

—Adapted from Davis, H. Self-help Techniques for Managing PTSD. Mental Health Association in New York State, Inc. (n.d.).
COMMUNITY CARE: TAKING CARE OF RELATIONSHIPS TOGETHER

People tend to think about strategies and tools for self-care as something that is done on your own and separate from your relationships with others. But self-care can and should be about relationships, too, if both people take responsibility for the relationship. This is different from therapeutic support, where the role of helper and helpee remain relatively fixed. The practice of relational self-care, otherwise known as “community care,” involves important principles of peer support, such as mutuality and reciprocity. Consider the following example:

Dana, a peer supporter, is very worried and anxious about their relationships with the people they provide support to. They are trying very hard to be there for everyone, but fear they are failing. They tell themself:

- “I don’t think I can handle the pain these people are in. There’s just one of me!”
- “The more I listen, the more I am aware of my own stuff bubbling up. Who can I go to? I’m supposed to have it all together!”
- “I know Robin needs to get to that appointment. I can’t just say no, can I?”
- “I wonder what I should do. Felicia just got sober and now she’s late coming in! What if she is on her way to a bar?”

How does Dana see their role? As the “helper” in these relationships, they see it as their responsibility to be able to handle it all, to deal with their own stuff and make sure the needs of the people they support are met. They may also be doing some caretaking, which is a kind of helping that can hurt when it gets in the way of people taking control over their own lives.

So how do peer supporters create mutual relationships in which everyone’s needs are met and people are responsible for their relationships with each other? As Dana begins to focus more on building mutual, two-way relationships in which both people’s needs matter, they might engage in conversations with people that sound more like this:

“As we get to know one another, it’s likely that our stories will spark some pretty intense feelings in each other. I need you to know that I am still on my own journey of healing. So if something comes up, if I misunderstand you, or it seems like I am reacting to something you said, I hope you will stop me so we can talk about it. What I am learning is that real connection means that both people matter. What do you think?”

“Hey, I’m sorry you missed that appointment. But I’m curious about why you felt like you couldn’t call to reschedule it yourself. At one time in my life I felt like I didn’t have the right to make those kinds of decisions. I was scared I’d do the wrong thing and I’d get in trouble. Is it like that for you?”

“I have to tell you that I am feeling overprotective of you since you just got sober. I don’t want to get in the way of you taking the risks you need to take, so if you feel like I’m overreacting, I would like to talk about it. Would that be okay with you?”

Peer support is about building community. Keeping this in mind, what would you do if you felt overwhelmed by the story a man shares with you about his childhood rape? What would you do if you felt helpless in the presence of another’s anguish, or if your own fear, rage, and helplessness threatened your ability to stay connected? Hopefully, you will remember that you belong to a community of diverse talent and ability. You could say something like, “Would it be ok with you if we talk about involving someone else? Someone you trust or would like to get to know…. I know there are many others in our community who will want to help you and me walk this journey.”
USING YOUR OWN STORY TO CREATE HOPE AND CONNECTION

Sharing experiences in peer support is a powerful way to create connection. Stories can communicate to others that they are not alone and can serve as important tools in advocacy and education. Self-disclosure is an important way to dispel myths about what it means to be a trauma survivor; to carry a psychiatric, substance use, or co-occurring disorders diagnosis; or to have been through incarceration, homelessness, or other difficult experiences.

But sharing your personal story also raises issues about protecting and addressing your own needs. Because of the emphasis on sharing experiences, you may feel like you do not have the right to create boundaries around what you choose to share. Maybe you never realized that you can make decisions about what you are comfortable sharing and what you might want to keep private.

Everyone has unique strengths and vulnerabilities. You are probably aware that there are certain areas of your life that you feel comfortable thinking about, remembering, discussing with others, or drawing from to support people in pain. But it is also a good idea to be aware of those areas that you are still unsure of or feel particularly sensitive about. Sometimes you do not know what those areas are until you hit them.

Each person has the right to feel safe. One way to feel safe is by understanding your own personal limits and honoring them. You get to decide how much, to whom, how often, under what circumstances, and when you feel comfortable sharing your experiences. When you begin to feel uncomfortable talking about aspects of your story, feel free to acknowledge your discomfort and pull back. In this way, you will model self-care for the people with whom you are connecting. This may be their first experience with the idea that dignity and self-respect are ways of honoring who you are and where you have been.

CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

• Self-awareness builds self-care. Both are essential to personal and relational health.

• Building self-awareness begins with understanding your emotional hot spots as well as what nurtures and soothes you.

• There are four components of self-care, including intellectual, emotional, spiritual, and physical health.

• Peer support and its focus on mutuality allows both people to pay attention to what the relationship needs to stay healthy.
RESOURCES


Davis, H. *Self-help Techniques for Managing PTSD*. Mental Health Association in New York State, Inc. (n.d.)


Psychiatric Service Dog Society. [https://www.servicedogsociety.com/psychiatric-service-dog/](https://www.servicedogsociety.com/psychiatric-service-dog/)

Service Dog Central. [http://www.servicedogcentral.org](http://www.servicedogcentral.org)


Peer supporters who work in HIV care clinics, behavioral health settings, the judicial system, or other hierarchical settings alongside non-peer staff face unique challenges that can intensify when these organizations are not trauma-informed. This chapter looks at issues that can arise for peer supporters, helps you identify program elements that can cause re-traumatization, and explores some basic strategies for promoting trauma awareness. It also outlines communication strategies that can help you avoid conflict as you work with non-peer colleagues and coworkers to address trauma and healing with the people you support.

PROVIDING PEER SUPPORT WITHIN ORGANIZATIONS

Understanding Your Role
As a paid or volunteer peer supporter working within an organization, you represent a kind of relationship not typical of service systems, one in which you and the people you support share responsibility for your relationships and in which growth and learning are the goals. As we discussed in earlier chapters, peer support is not like the support you might get from a doctor, counselor, or case manager. Although there may be exceptions, most of the time non-peer supporters remain helpers throughout the life of the relationship, and the person they are helping remains in that role until they do not need help anymore.

The principles of peer support sometimes conflict with the established ideas about what “helping relationships” are in traditional human service systems. How well you are able to use the principles of peer support in your work depends to a large degree on organizational culture: what your organization believes about healing and resilience, whether it recognizes the prevalence and impact of trauma and the importance of being trauma-informed, what its values and usual ways of doing business are; and whether its policies, procedures, and practices support what peers bring to the organization.

Partnering with non-peer staff means navigating multiple viewpoints about recovery, peer support, and trauma. You are likely to be involved with multiple relationships, including relationships with people seeking support, with your coworkers, and with your supervisors and administrators. Each of these relationships will put different demands on you. As a peer supporter, you may have multiple (and sometimes conflicting) goals, including the following:

- Communicate a basic understanding about what you bring to your work
- Communicate the importance of trauma-informed organizations
- Engage in trauma-informed peer support even if the organization is not fully trauma-informed
- Help your organization begin to see people in a gender-responsive, culturally competent, and trauma-informed way

No matter what the challenges might be, it is important to keep in mind that your very presence as a peer support employee or volunteer is a statement that your organization is attempting to change its values and beliefs about healing.

UNDERSTANDING YOUR IMPACT

Your role at your agency is not just to perform the duties listed in your job description. You also represent a new (and possibly misunderstood) way of doing business. Your “job qualifications” include your personal insight into living well with HIV and your understanding of the challenges that can get in the way of healing. In some organizations and systems that have hired peer support staff, their perspectives have played a dramatic role in shifting attitudes and beliefs. But it is unrealistic to expect peer supporters to make significant organizational change without strong and consistent support from organizational leaders and administrators. Being clear about your role and your expectations about what you can accomplish will be important as you partner with non-peer colleagues and coworkers to support people healing from trauma.
Key questions you should consider:

- What impact do I want to have on the people I support?
- What impact do I hope to have on my coworkers and colleagues?
- What kind of change do I hope to help create in my organization or in larger systems?
- In my current role, where will I have the most impact?
- Who in the organization is most supportive of my work and my values? Can I cultivate them as allies and supporters?

When organizations hire peer support staff but do not create new policies and procedures that actively support these roles, difficult issues will inevitably emerge. For example, an agency might create a policy that prevents employees from sharing any personal information with clients. If the policy is not adapted when peer supporters are hired, there will likely be a lot of confusion for everyone because sharing personal information is essential to peer support.

Peer staff are often more isolated than their non-peer colleagues because there are usually fewer peer staff than non-peer employees. When you experience challenges, it is harder to compare notes with others, which could cause you to doubt your own perceptions. Conflicting expectations can become stressful if peer staff are expected to use their status to persuade their peers to comply with treatment plans that do not support individual voice and choice.

When working in organizations that are not trauma-informed, this conflict can become extreme if peer supporters are required to participate in interventions that their agencies think are helpful but which actually reinforce or re-create traumatic experiences. How you navigate such conflict is critical to your effectiveness at work and to the nature of your relationships with coworkers.

Organizations are complex collections of stated mandates and unspoken beliefs. Just as people have their own history and culture, so do organizations. The culture of an organization is the sum total of the beliefs, values, and usual ways of doing business created by the people working there, as well as its history of past successes and failures. The “job description” of the organization—the purpose for which it was created—places constraints on the activities and the decision-making ability of individual workers. Organizational cultures are also influenced by the values of the societies/cultures that surround them.

There may be times when your values are in conflict with the culture and prevailing practices of the organization. It is commonplace for people working in hierarchical institutions to feel caught in the middle. As a peer supporter, how can you be empowering to the people you support when your supervisor and her supervisor are taking a “zero tolerance” view of substance use rather than a harm reduction approach? There are no easy answers to this dilemma, but recognizing that the stress you are feeling is the result of the situation you are in—that it is not due to some failure on your part—is a good start. It is also helpful to have your own peer support group where you can discuss what is going on without fear of repercussions.

Most peer supporters can expect to encounter challenges to peer support practices, especially in creating mutual relationships based on authentic, personal experience where power is shared. For example, the funding needs of your organization may require you to write daily progress notes on the people you support. By doing so, you may create the perception that your voice, rather than theirs, is the only valid voice. Perhaps you are expected to talk about people at team meetings that they cannot attend. It is hard to avoid power differences and inequality if the organization’s practices create this kind of unequal power, and these practices can start to shape your relationships with people, rather than the values and principles of peer support.

Understanding Your Organization

Hopefully, you and your colleagues are working in an organization because you want to make a positive difference. However, it can be very difficult for one person to make meaningful change unless there is a fundamental shift in beliefs within the organization. Understanding how organizations operate may help you avoid some frustrations and help you think about what changes you can make, what impact you want to have, and who you need to involve in that process.
Where I worked, I had to administer an assessment at intake and again at 6 months to satisfy funding requirements. I hated having to do this assessment with the women. I knew they had been traumatized. I knew that my agency was re-traumatizing them. Fear of losing funding tends to desensitize agencies to the emotional needs of their clients. Among other things, the assessment asks people to disclose whether or not their parental rights were terminated. I will never forget the shame, the pain, the rage, and the humiliation on these women’s faces. There was no way to open that subject responsibly, especially in a first meeting, when I typically only had an hour to work with someone. Even now, I feel disgusted about what I was mandated to do.

—Kristin Simpson

These issues are magnified when you are aware of the link between power and trauma and how the loss of control affects women, PLHIV, people of color, and people who are same gender-loving, trans, or intersex. Many practices that an organization considers business as usual can re-traumatize people who routinely experience discrimination. This includes coercion such as seclusion and restraint, forcing people to accept unwanted services to receive vital resources like food and shelter, or monitoring people to ensure that they take prescribed medication or attend certain programs. For example, the majority of trans people in the U.S. are nonbinary, but most emergency shelters are sex-segregated. Although nonbinary and trans people are at a higher risk of intimate partner violence, there is little federal guidance on how to provide services to survivors who do not identify within binary gender structures. When people are not involved in collaborative decision-making about their treatment or what is happening to them, this can derail trust and safety in relationships.

But there is something you can do! Even if your organization does not yet see people in a trauma-informed way, you can. The change you hope to bring to organizations that are not aware of trauma can happen at the level of your relationships with the people you support. Here are some ways to address these issues.

- **Be transparent in your relationships.** Let the people you work with know about any limits to confidentiality of the information they share with you. Be clear about the reporting requirements that you are subject to—for example, the duty to report child abuse and elder abuse or risk of imminent harm to oneself or others. This will help them decide what information to share with you. At the same time, help them create connections to others who can support them while keeping their information confidential, such as linkage with their faith tradition, healers in their community, or independent self-help and peer support groups that are not subject to these requirements.

- **Let people know up front the limits of your relationship.** Your agency may have policies about contact with clients outside of work hours. Try to maintain an authentic connection by talking openly about these limits and exploring what your peer relationship can accomplish. Provide links to others with whom people can develop meaningful connections in their communities. Support their explorations of intimacy and friendship beyond the limits of the program or service.

- **Don’t assume the people you are working with know what peer support is.** You have an opportunity to explore any assumptions that you and the people you support may have about the nature of peer support. When people can identify the intent of their peer support relationships, they begin to establish some of the ways in which peer support is different from friendship. It is the **intention** that makes peer support what it is about, building relationships that are respectful, mutually responsible, and mutually transforming.¹⁰²

---

CHALLENGES

Boundaries and Peer Support

Some of your organization’s policies about outside contact may differ from the type of relationships common in the peer community. You may want to discuss this issue with a supervisor. For example, what do you do if your agency has a policy that does not allow you to associate with people receiving services outside of your work hours, but someone you have been supporting shows up at a Narcotics Anonymous (NA) group that you attend, which is also the only NA group in your area? Or maybe you have been hired as a peer supporter at the local HIV care clinic, where several people from your social circle also use the services. Peer supporters should not have to choose between a job and participation in their community, especially community activities that are part of their enrichment and self-care routines. You may want to explore ways that you and your organization can negotiate boundaries rather than try to adopt a one-size-fits-all policy that forces unhelpful choices on you and the people you support. Keep in mind that “professional boundaries” reinforce unequal relationships that separate people. Discuss with your supervisor ways that you can lessen potential sources of inequality.

Does this mean that there should never be boundaries between peer supporters and those they support? Not at all. If a friend or intimate partner receives services from the agency where you work, you may not provide peer support to them in your paid role. Think about what it would mean to a friend if you had access to confidential information about her that she may not want you to have. It would also be unfair and potentially traumatic if you were to facilitate a peer support group in which a former intimate partner is seeking support. Figuring out what boundaries exist and how useful they are is not a clear-cut process for peer staff. New policies and creative ideas are needed to support peer relationships in traditional organizations.

Traumatized Organizations and Staff

Organizations themselves can be traumatized. Events like layoffs, reorganizations, the death of a coworker or someone served by the organization, lawsuits, or negative media attention can be intensely traumatic. Most organizations deal with such stressors by trying to move on. But, just like with individuals, trauma can affect every aspect of organizational behavior.

For example, tragic circumstances, such as a worker being assaulted on the job, can radically change organizational culture, redefining what safety, support, and help mean for everyone. Traumatized organizations may legitimize force and control as a way to deal with distress rather than addressing the complex factors that contribute to violence. In extreme situations, assault and injury of workers by clients may result in controlling or even abusive practices that become embedded in the culture of the organization for years.

We know from the statistics presented in Chapter 1 that many workers in human service systems have experienced trauma in their own lives, making them susceptible to re-traumatization, particularly if they have not done their own healing. Addressing trauma may be difficult for them, since it raises uncomfortable personal issues. As a trauma-informed peer supporter, what can you do if you think a coworker or your supervisor is displaying a traumatic response?

Recognizing the behavior as a trauma response and applying what you know about trauma is the first step. For example, we know that trauma survivors need to feel that they are in control. If a coworker or supervisor is acting particularly controlling, it may be unwise to challenge or confront their behavior immediately. It is better to wait until the situation has calmed down to talk things through. We also know that when people’s trauma histories have been activated, they are likely to respond emotionally rather than logically. If possible, always take a second person with you to act as an emotional buffer, to witness the interaction, and to help you process your own reaction afterward. You might also want to keep a record of your interactions.
Co-optation

In the context of peer support, co-optation happens when peer supporters lose connection with peer values and begin to take on the perspectives and beliefs of non-peer staff. This may lead them to engage the people they’re trying to support in ways that are more typical of professional or therapeutic relationships than peer support relationships.

It can be hard to stay true to the principles of peer support when agency policies are written for non-peer staff and the agency has not developed new policies that support the unique role of peer support. If coworkers think of peer supporters as less valuable than other employees, resentments can build, and peer supporters can feel alienated. The pressure to conform and fit in can lead to self-doubt and confusion about your role. Becoming more like non-peer staff can happen simply because there are few other peer supporters to compare notes with or learn from.

Your own experience with powerlessness and disenfranchisement may make you especially vulnerable to group pressure to conform to organizational attitudes that do not support healing. Co-optation can be accidental; perhaps you are trying to model the kind of relationship you may have had with a clinician that was helpful to you. You may be the only peer support worker at your organization and may not know how to navigate critical issues that compromise your ability to maintain shared power and mutuality with trauma survivors. Being aware of this is especially important when the person you are trying to support experiences being dismissed or disbelief because of their HIV status or their gender, for example. Even past experience with victimization can cause peer supporters to identify with staff in a position of power, equating recovery with authority and control. If your agency does not value its peer support workers, you may be uncomfortable or even ashamed of your role.

Although there are no easy answers to the pressure to conform to expectations that do not reflect the principles of trauma-informed peer support, there are resources available for networking and support.

- Educate yourself about the history of advocacy led by PLHIV and the evolution of peer support.103
- Reach out to local, state, and national organizations led by or meaningfully involving PLHIV. There are resources at the end of this chapter for you to explore. If you do not have access to the internet, contact local networks in your region. See if there is a statewide advocacy coalition. You may also want to become familiar with your local independent living center and other resources for people with disabilities.
- If you are considering a position as a peer supporter, it might be helpful to sit down with peers that work for the company to find out more. In your interview, you might ask how the organization views the role of peers and the value it places on peer staff. Ask about the policies that will support your ability to use your recovery story in your work and your ability to offer alternative perspectives about services and supports based on your own experience.

Peer support is a way of relating that maintains equality in your relationships with your peers while sharing responsibility for these relationships. The frame of reference from which peer supporters operate has to do with an empathic understanding of powerlessness and the disabling aspects of alienation and discrimination that are often the result of living with a psychiatric diagnosis or other labels of disability. Explore with your supervisor or administrators, coworkers, and the people you are trying to support the kinds of policies and practices that can best support trauma-informed practices in your engagement.

---

103 The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration’s (SAMHSA) Resource Center to Promote Acceptance, Dignity and Social Inclusion Associated with Mental Health (ADS Center). The History of the Mental Health Consumer/Survivor Movement by Gayle Bluebird, Su Budd, and Sally Zinman; archived presentation December 17, 2009.
SMALL STEPS TO BIG CHANGE: SUPPORTING THE SHIFT TO TRAUMA-INFORMED ORGANIZATIONS

Awareness about the impact of trauma has resulted in a re-examination of what were once considered good practices in health care, including the use of seclusion and restraint in psychiatric facilities, as well as the recognition that their use constitutes “treatment failure.” The issue of violence in our communities—including even higher rates of hate crimes against people who are transgender or gay—has made the need for trauma-aware social services and supports essential. The justice system needs to become trauma-informed so that it recognizes and can respond to the criminalization of survivors and the role of violence and unaddressed abuse in recidivism rates.

You can take part in building trauma-informed organizations through your relationships with other survivors and your coworkers; through activism at the local, state, or national level; or by participating in government advisory boards and committees. There are resources at the end of this chapter about trauma-informed philosophy and practices and what a trauma-informed organization looks like. There is no change too small; the following sections may offer ideas that you can try.

Help Educate Staff

Use your own recovery story to help others understand what coercive practices feel like for those receiving services. But make sure that you do so in a way that builds cooperation by offering alternative ideas. Use any part of your story that illustrates your point. Many providers have been taught that what they are doing is good treatment. Bringing your perspective to the discussion can be a helpful first step in identifying practices that do not support healing. One of the most important tools you have for helping staff understand a new perspective is not to use clinical language.

Make Changes in the Physical Environment

Help your organization understand that people may be responding negatively to stressors in the program environment. Overhead fluorescent lighting, noise, and the lack of personal space can be problems. Coercive or controlling environments are disrespectful and may feel unsafe. Irrelevant groups and a lack of meaningful relationships with the staff can result in coping strategies that are misidentified as symptoms. All of these factors can elicit fight, flight, or freeze responses. Helping staff connect people’s behavior, thoughts, or feelings to the context in which they emerge is central to making an environment trauma-informed. The resource list at the end of the chapter has information on creating trauma-informed cultures.

Using Collaborative Conversations

Effective communication is vital to creating change in your organization. Here are some ways you can keep your relationships with staff open and avoid arguments that shut down opportunities for collaboration.

Create Understanding by Exploring Worldviews

A person’s worldview is the theory of the world that they use (consciously or not)—their mental model of reality. Each of us has a unique worldview, shaped by everything that has taken place in our lives: what we have been taught and what we have come to believe based on our experiences. Understanding another person’s worldview is important to effective communication and builds new opportunities for partnerships that better meet the needs of the people you support. Let’s consider an example:

You may be aware that how you think about healing and recovery and how your non-peer colleagues think about it are very different. Although you may both use the same language, what you mean can be worlds apart. Workers on a crisis unit who come in contact with people only when they are in profound distress may think of recovery as getting individuals stable enough to leave the hospital or increasing the length of time between hospitalizations. For you, based on your own experience with hospitalization, incarceration, homelessness, or drug addiction, recovery probably means a whole lot more; you may see it as a profound

---

transformation that can occur for anyone. In the following dialogue, listen for how the peer supporter explores their supervisor’s worldview:

“I was wondering if we could talk about something that has come up for me around one of my job duties. It feels like there is a contradiction between helping people become independent and what I am supposed to do in my first meeting: sign them up for SSDI. Can you help me understand how our agency sees SSDI helping people get back on their feet?”

“Well, we feel that some of our guests have gone through so much that trying to go to work right away is setting them up for failure. We prefer to help them transition slowly to self-support.”

The peer supporter now understands the agency’s perspective and how they define support and help. Exploring the supervisor’s worldview has allowed this peer supporter to maintain a partnership without alienating team members and to find common ground in a desire to be of assistance to people trying to rebuild their lives. Understanding another person’s worldview also sets the stage for ongoing dialogue and using your recovery story.

Creating Collaboration by Using Your Story
Let’s keep working with the scenario above. The peer supporter has done a good job finding out where their supervisor is coming from but now needs to offer a different perspective based on their own experience. The peer supporter might say:

I understand that our agency wants to help people who are struggling. After a long-term hospitalization, I asked a social worker at the hospital how I was going to keep a roof over my head. I didn’t have a clue about how to find a job, but I figured she could help. Instead, she told me it would be to my advantage not to work and to go on SSDI. But when I was on SSDI, the message was that I would be sick and dependent for the rest of my life.

The peer supporter is letting the supervisor know what it feels like on the receiving end of a certain conversation. This may lead to more discussion, and it allows the peer supporter to offer a new way of understanding help.

Create Collaboration by Offering New Ideas
Working on a team means working in collaboration with multiple points of view and beliefs, all based on the experience of the people involved. It would be a mistake to think that the peer supporter’s experience is the only truth about the consequences of SSDI. The peer supporter’s story is simply another way of seeing the situation. Trauma-informed principles—voice, choice, safety, trust, empowerment, and collaboration—can offer new ways of doing business. The peer supporter might say:

What would you think about offering people choices around SSDI or employment instead of automatically signing them up? No matter what they choose to do, it would send the message that we believe in their ability to make their own decisions and that we are here to support them to do that. I’d be happy to look at what we may need to do to create better supports for people who want to start working right away.

Although the challenges of working as a peer supporter in traditional systems may seem daunting, it is easier to figure out how to deal with them once you understand the boundaries set by organizational culture and have some tools for addressing these issues. Most importantly, remember that you are not alone in facing these issues. Actively cultivate peer support for yourself and resources to help educate coworkers and administrators.
CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- Peer supporters represent a different type of relationship than that found in many organizations that work with trauma survivors and that are not peer-led or peer-operated.
- Organizational structure can challenge the principles of peer support.
- Trauma-informed change can occur at the level of one’s relationships with survivors and at the level of the organization, as well as at the level of the system.
- There are many resources that can aid peer supporters in maintaining the integrity of their role even when working in organizations that are not trauma-informed.

SELECTED HIV ADVOCACY AND SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS

This is a just small selection of national and regional organizations providing services and advocacy by and for PLHIV, as well as directories to help you connect with organizations in your area.

Greater than AIDS: https://www.greaterthan.org


Positive Women’s Network – USA: https://www.pwn-usa.org

POZ directory of HIV advocacy and service organizations (searchable by state/zip code): https://www.poz.com/directory

Reunion Project: https://www.tpan.com/reunion-project

WORLD’s National Resource List of Organizations for Women Living with HIV: http://www.womenhiv.org/resources/resources-for-women-living-with-hiv/

RESOURCES


The Center for Non-Violent Communication website: http://www.cnvc.org


National Center for Transgender Equality — Know Your Rights: https://transequality.org/know-your-rights
CHAPTER 11. TRAUMA-INFORMED STORYTELLING AND OTHER HEALING PRACTICES

When peer supporters shift the context of their relationships with trauma survivors from the question “What is wrong with you?” to “What happened to you?” they emphasize storytelling. Telling another human being what has taken place in one’s life can be an important part of healing from trauma and can lay the foundation for new stories about what the future holds. Although some will want to reveal actual events, others may choose not to. Peer support can be an opportunity for people to explore multiple forms of communicating through performing and visual arts, such as dance, music, or painting. This chapter describes the function of story, the role of the listener, and some of the common challenges that can occur when trauma narratives are shared. This chapter also examines the role of mutual responsibility in the storytelling process.

WHY ARE OUR STORIES SO IMPORTANT?

Stories are the basis for history, art, religion, politics, philosophy, and more, and they reflect the ways in which we are uniquely separate while revealing our interconnectedness—what we believe about the universe and our place in it. As Vanessa Jackson writes, “The telling of stories has been an integral part of the history of people of African descent. From the griots (singers/storytellers who carry the oral history of a local culture) of ancient Africa to the sometimes-painful lyrics of hip-hop artists, people of African descent have known that our lives and our stories must be spoken, over and over again, so that the people will know our truth.”

Our personal accounts—what we survived and how, what these experiences mean to us, and what we know now that we did not know before—are what we mean by “stories.” Personal narratives organize experience and help us make sense of what has taken place. Stories can be true or not true, entertaining or horrifying. Stories can be communicated with or without words. They can be literal or metaphorical, using the language of symbols to convey deeper truths. No two stories are the same, yet every story contains some aspect of the universal.

In trauma-informed peer support, the story can be the gateway to peer support relationships. When listening to another person’s story, you may catch glimpses of yourself. Knowing what it feels like to be in pain allows people to act when a stranger is suffering. This awareness can cause you to shut down emotionally at times, especially when the magnitude and constancy of suffering feels unbearable. But stories can also create unity and inspire action, as when many individuals come together to find the strength to confront social problems. Sharing personal stories can communicate that it is possible to move beyond the circumstances of one’s life. It sends a message of hope: If you can, I can.

As you talk with people about what happened in their lives and what those events mean to them, remember that your own experiences, including your needs and feelings, are an important part of your connection. Hopefully you are part of a peer community that will determine what your culture of mutual healing and growth looks like. Grow and expand what works. Use the principles of peer support as your guide.

---

When violence leads to physical and mental injury, it also engenders a healing response. One aspect of this is the trauma story, whose function is not only to heal the survivor, but also to teach and guide the listener—and by extension, society—in healing and survival.

—Richard F. Mollica M.D., Healing Invisible Wounds

---

While in jail I was put in isolation and all my clothes were taken... Saying that I was depressed got me punished worse. I learned that day not to tell the system your real feelings.

—M.E., a Peer Specialist

Keep in mind that creating new stories—about who one is, what one is capable of, and what the future holds—can also be a part of storytelling and can lead to a sense of possibility and hope. Peer support can create space for people to craft stories about the future—to try out, revise, and build on what they hope to create in their lives. Through trauma-informed peer support, people can use the strength of their relationships to challenge negative beliefs, re-evaluate their strengths, and redefine their capabilities.

SUPPORTING PEOPLE IN TELLING THEIR STORIES

Perhaps you ask a survivor “What happened to you?” and she remains silent. Simply asking the question is not enough. Creating safe space, communicating respect, and building trust take time. Ignoring what people say or trying to close down their attempts to communicate what has happened in their lives can create profound disconnection in relationships. As a peer supporter, you may have been taught to redirect the conversation if intense parts of someone’s story emerge in your work together—but, tragically, this is likely to reinforce old messages: no one will believe you; what happened to you wasn’t so bad; if you don’t think about it, will go away; you shouldn’t talk about those kinds of things. For LGBTQI2S people, such messages can come across in the same way as reparative or “conversion” therapies that are known to be harmful and ineffective, leading to increased risk of HIV and other STIs: Who you are and who you love is wrong. Hide it; don’t talk about it. People of color may have had conversations about racial trauma shut down by comments such as “we are all one human family” or “all lives matter,” which discounts the very real harm that continues to be perpetuated by the legacy of slavery. Their feelings of being different may grow if they get the message that who they are and what they have experienced are somehow beyond the scope of their relationships with others and require specialized care.

Telling one’s story is not always a literal event and, even when it is, the story may not flow from beginning to middle to end. They may share pieces and parts of their story over the life of their relationship with you. You may know parts of them that others do not and vice versa. As people open up and share traumatic life events, the most important things you can do may be the simplest. Bearing witness to another person’s grief, rage, or anguish is a powerful way to stand with someone in the immensity of their pain. Listening from a place of deep attentiveness and caring and asking questions can demonstrate that you honor what they are giving of themselves and that you want to know more about their life.

There are three characteristics of trauma that may be expressed through one’s story: the event (what happened, where, when, and how), the meaning of the event (including its cultural meaning and the person’s personal interpretation of what happened), and the impact of the trauma on their present life.

106 American Psychiatric Association. (2000). Position statement on therapies focused on attempts to change sexual orientation (reparative or conversion therapies). See also:
You may be more comfortable with certain parts of the process than others. The hardest part for a listener is often the factual accounting of events. It is easy to get caught up in the details. But you might find that you are frustrated with the meaning a survivor makes of traumatic events: “It happened to me because I am bad and deserved it.” Or you may witness people trapped by the impact trauma has on their lives, repeatedly returning to jail, losing hard-won jobs, returning to abusive relationships, or dropping out of sight. These issues are not indicators of irreparable damage. What may look like “relapse” or perceived “failure to recover” may be a person who is still trying to figure things out or trying to explain what has happened to them or how it feels. Looking at the meaning and the impact of trauma—not just the event itself—is often where the real work of healing takes place.

Common Challenges and Solutions
Telling one’s story is often difficult. Hearing a painful narrative can be a reminder of one’s own painful experiences or can push supporters beyond their ability to listen empathetically to horrifying details. Knowing how to respond respectfully in these circumstances is crucial to effective peer support.

Stories That Are Difficult to Hear
One of the dimensions of a trauma story is the factual accounting of events. The powerful details of what someone has survived may include images and experiences that evoke strong feelings or memories in the listener. It is very hard emotional work to stay with another person in pain and to listen to their story without shutting down.

Not everyone wants or needs to go into detail, but for some, this is a vital part of the healing process. Why are the actual events important? Richard F. Mollica of the Harvard Program in Refugee Trauma writes that, “when survivors begin to tell their stories, they are struggling to create something whole from the physical and psychological destruction that has happened to them.”

Some aspects of the story may shock or numb you, creating a sense of distance between you and the person telling their story, despite your best intentions. Stories may be chaotic. They may lack order or be anxiety-producing. They may not sound like stories but may instead be a series of images that may be literal or symbolic. Literal interpretation may not be as important as relating and responding to the emotional content.

Mollica suggests that there is a vital, reciprocal relationship between the storyteller and listener when the survivor is viewed as a teacher, as someone who knows about coping with human violence or surviving the impact of natural disaster. This idea of the survivor as the teacher lends itself to the role of the listener/peer supporter who benefits from the survivor’s knowledge about how to cope and live beyond the extremes of human suffering. The peer supporter is also a co-learner, exploring other dimensions of a trauma narrative with the survivor, such as its meaning and its impact on their current situation. This can become an incredible mutual exploration because both people use their relationship to examine who they are, think through how they make sense out of their lives and the events that have affected them, and explore new possibilities based on what they discover together.

It is likely that you will find yourself in some difficult places when doing this work. It is okay to communicate what you need in respectful ways. You can suggest a short break or take a silent walk together, whatever feels necessary at the time. You can cultivate a community of healing by opening up your relationship to include others who can support the person in areas that may be too difficult for you. Healers, other peers, friends, and supporters can all be part of one’s healing. This is how trauma-informed relationships can grow into trauma-informed communities.

Competing Trauma Stories: Outdoing Each Other
One of the most bewildering challenges in telling trauma narratives in a group has to do with competing stories or what appears to be an effort to “outdo” one another by describing the extremity of one’s traumatic experiences. Peer support groups can easily be derailed if the focus shifts to “who had it worse.” The tragedy of competing stories is that some people may readily agree that they did not have it as bad as someone else, thereby invalidating their own experience of pain, grief, and outrage.

Though on the surface this kind of behavior may seem self-serving or “attention-getting,” it often has a deeper meaning. Trauma often annihilates personal boundaries, rupturing the survivor’s sense of themselves and what makes them unique. For many people who have
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experienced violence, their personal identity becomes defined by what happened to them. The statement: “You think that was bad, let me tell you what happened to me!” may be their way of asserting their unique place in the world, an attempt to show how they are different from all others and, therefore, uniquely themselves.

Peer supporters can help groups that get bogged down in “who had it worse” scenarios by engaging the group in an exploration of the meaning behind the traumatic events. The exploration of meaning will likely reveal how each individual is unique. This can include examining the impact of trauma so that participants see how past events may be informing their current experiences. The exploration of meaning and impact validates whatever experience a survivor brings to the group or names as traumatic. The sidebar Making Meaning of Traumatic Events offers some possible questions for group discussion.

Telling the Same Story Over and Over
Sometimes professionals have asked people living with HIV and other trauma survivors to tell their stories over and over again. Sometimes it gets difficult to move beyond these endless repetitions. Recounting the details of what happened can be re-traumatizing, especially if the person relives the feelings they experienced during the traumatic events. This has led some people in the trauma field to question whether telling trauma stories is even a good idea or whether it reinforces destructive and traumatic memories.

For some, repeating their story may help them get a handle on the impact of trauma in their life. An often-repeated story may act as a kind of exclamation point on experience, delivering the message “I know what happened to me!” A story that is frequently repeated may also be a rehearsed event—a version of the story that the survivor feels they have the best control over, even if it incites the same intensity of emotion.

In peer support, being curious is a wonderful way to connect with people to encourage them to think about what they can teach others about healing. Beginning a new conversation might be as simple as asking: “What does this particular story mean about you?” or “How does this story explain who you are?” It may be helpful to ask, “How were you able to survive?” In some cases, people may have overlooked their own heroism, the smallest act that preserved them. People who have seen themselves as victims may welcome a chance to reconstruct their narrative.

MAKING MEANING OF TRAUMATIC EVENTS

What makes you unique? Is it a talent, a perspective on living, a strength, your family, or how or where you were raised? Or is it something you’ve experienced, something that happened to you?

If someone else has experienced the exact same thing, how would you define your uniqueness?
Do you know something now that you did not know before? What?

How have you dealt with loss/grief/rage? How have others responded to you as a result of what you’ve gone through? What has that been like for you?

Do you have a personal philosophy of life based on past trauma? How has this philosophy helped you survive?

What got you from your darkest hour to where you are now? What did you do? Did someone do something that helped you?

What is the cultural meaning of the event for you? How does your culture see you due to this traumatic experience?

If you were able to reject cultural (family, neighborhood, job, community) evaluation of yourself, how were you able to do this?

Based on what you learned as a result of your experiences, what would you want to teach others about survival and suffering?

How does what happened to you play out today? How do you know if the events still affect you? Is this something you would like to change? If so, what would you like from others to support you in taking risks around change?

In other cases, asking people what’s right with them or pushing them to focus on positive aspects of their behavior or future goals may miss the point they are trying to make: that healing is about being seen. Healing is about validation. Healing is about being recognized for who and what they are and what they survived to tell.
Stories Told Through the Language of Behavior

You are probably familiar with people who try to communicate their pain and distress through the language of behavior. For example, someone runs out of your peer support group after getting close to painful or distressing feelings. Even though they are no longer present, they are still communicating powerful messages. What is going on, and how do we support a different way of telling the story?

Instead of dismissing what this person is doing as “acting out,” keep in mind that, although this behavior may be disruptive, it is an attempt to say something important. Language is not just what one says but also what one does. What a person does may be their best attempt to explain who they are and what they know. For many people, trauma is literally unspeakable. This failure of words can create a need for communication based on behavior.

Often, the system sends the message that trauma survivors are fragile and that it is important to avoid “triggering” them. Maybe you expect that people will not be able to handle difficult material or their own sudden, intense, and distressing feelings. These expectations teach people to put a lid on their own narratives, preventing them from tapping into their own sources of resilience. If people continue to get messages about their inability to handle difficult encounters, behavioral reactions can take the place of words in communicating just how painful the past is.

When the language of behavior keeps the group from moving forward, peer supporters may want to engage the group in a discussion about the failure of words to communicate distress. The group may be able to help individual members begin to try to reconnect events, feelings, thoughts, and perceptions to words as survivors’ attempts to articulate their unique truth.

Talking About the Taboo

Stories are about one’s internal experiences, including the feelings, ideas, and perceptions about events, stigma, and discrimination. In the case of violence and abuse, the internal experience can be devastating, creating a sense of shame, humiliation, embarrassment, and dread. These feelings are hard to talk about and hard to listen to. It is not just the abuse that can separate a survivor from others but also the tragic meaning they have made out of the experience; I am damaged. I must not let anyone know. Similarly, trauma survivors who have been violent to others, including their own children, are often extremely isolated and face great internal shame.

Peer supporters should take special, sensitive care to create a safe space for people to explore taboo areas of their lives, especially for people who have perpetrated violence in the past and now seek help through peer support. Creating a healing community requires preparation, such as letting prospective group members know what kinds of topics will be explored. This will allow them to make decisions about whether they can support each other and helps ensure that when survivors reveal certain facts about their experiences, they are with a group that can accept them.

Group and Individual Identity

Some cultures strongly value group identity and, in some situations, there may be a complex relationship between one’s individual story and the story of the group. For example, by law, refugees must establish that they are fleeing a situation because of a “well-founded fear of persecution.” The individual’s story must therefore be consistent with the overall narrative of the refugee group or both the individual’s and the group’s future may be in jeopardy. In situations like this, a person may feel more comfortable talking about their group’s historical journey than about their individual experience. They may find it possible to heal completely without ever revisiting what happened to them as individuals if they can focus on the survival narrative of their people. Survivors of the first and second waves of the AIDS epidemic, Holocaust survivors who vow “never again,” and survivors of the bombing of Sarajevo who tell stories of their city’s heroism are examples of collective historical healing.

Supporting People in Not Telling Their Stories

It is a choice, not a problem, if someone chooses not to divulge their story. We all participate in healing in different ways, and telling one’s story is not a requirement of healing. People who experienced abuse very early in their lives, before they were able to talk, may not have words to describe their experience. Not everyone will want to tell their trauma story. Many survivors have faced negative consequences in their attempts to get help, especially LGBTQI2S people, who may even have experienced attempts to change who they are or be taught to hide who they are and what they’ve experienced, even by medical professionals, therapists, and counselors. It’s important to remember the particular
challenges people of color encounter when their distress or coping skills are criminalized and punished. They have learned not to divulge their truths. Perhaps the story is too painful to revisit or there are cultural constraints on self-disclosure. People may remain silent because they feel unsafe in their current environment, especially people in states or communities where their immigration status or HIV status is criminalized or discriminating against them on the basis of gender or sexual orientation is legally protected. Some people may relate to their stories internally without sharing them, needing to maintain some space around personal events that might feel too big for words. Some people may feel that their traumatic experiences were so extreme that remembering has worse consequences than forgetting. Others may simply prefer to keep their stories private.

**ART AND HEALING**

Art is another source of healing that may not use words. Creative expression allows people to take painful experiences and reconstitute those events into visual and performing arts. When an audience participates in this event, it bears witness to a survivor’s transformation. Whether alone or in a group, with an audience or without, the power of creativity defies the destructive force of trauma. Creative projects can also bring people together to reinterpret their abuse experiences through art. For others, creative self-expression such as writing or journaling provides personal time and space to reflect on their experience. No matter what form it takes, art is a way to make a world that the artist controls entirely, a world infused with the meaning they give to it. Involving traditional healers and cultural modes of expression such as drumming or traditional dance can also be a way for people to reclaim cultural experiences that they may have lost or never had the chance to experience.

The Medea Project for Incarcerated Women/HIV Circle is a peer empowerment San Francisco theater performance project founded more than two decades ago that draws on the lived experiences of jailed women living with the AIDS virus. Women with histories of trauma—addiction, childhood sexual abuse, incarceration—write about and share their experiences, first with each other as a small group, as a kind of expressive therapy. Some go on to talk openly about their experiences onstage as live theater. The experience of sisterhood and getting over the shame of HIV stigma transforms lives: No longer depressed and isolated, many become activists and community leaders. Writer and performer Cassandra Steptoe, a custodial grandmother living with HIV, describes her experiences with the Medea Project: “Someone told me a long time ago, if you are looking for a reason to feel shame, you can always find it.” Her HIV diagnosis during a routine check-up in 1987 felt like a “death sentence…. I had to relive that again by writing because I needed to heal. Now I can tell the world that HIV is just a health condition, not a crime.”

At New York City’s Ali Forney Center, LGBTQ youth experiencing homelessness write and perform works like *Da Struggle Is Real: A Forum Play About Systemic Transphobia*, regarding personal struggles with discrimination, domestic abuse, sexual assault, and police brutality. They use a model called “Theater of the Oppressed”: after the actors perform, audience members brainstorm and test out strategies for combatting the injustices they’ve seen on stage in a kind of communal problem-solving. For trans youth, who are frequently “criminalized just by being themselves,” audience participation encourages the community to see things from their perspective. Although these performances are cathartic for trauma recovery, the trans youth and their supporters are also trying to change city laws and public policies through this work. The theater-making process turns shared experiences of trauma (especially family rejection for being LGBTQ) into “the catalyst for camaraderie.” James, a young trans man whose religious grandfather suggested he should just kill himself, describes the feeling of connection as the youth share their stories: “OK, this is happening. It’s not just me.”

---


CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

• Storytelling has always been a part of human interaction and is the foundation on which religion, history, philosophy, law, and the arts are built.
• People heal in many different ways. Self-disclosure is essential to healing for some, and others wish to keep their stories private. Either approach is fine.
• The listener–storyteller relationship between peer supporters and survivors is a mutual, reciprocal process in which both people benefit and both explore how they have come to know what they know based on what they have lived.
• Listening to someone else’s pain can be difficult. Peer supporters can develop trauma-informed communities by cultivating other supporters in the survivor’s healing journey.
• Peer supporters can navigate the challenges of addressing trauma in a group by helping members explore the meaning and impact of events rather than the events alone.
• Art is an important healing tool for many people.

ARTS RESOURCES BY AND FOR PLHIV

Poetry
• HIV Here and Now Project – https://www.hivhereandnow.com
• Power Poetry – HIV and AIDS: https://www.powerpoetry.org/cause/hiv-and-aids

Visual Arts
• Visual AIDS – http://visualaids.org
• Visual Arts and the AIDS Epidemic: An Oral History Project (Smithsonian Institution) - https://www.aaa.si.edu/inside-the-archives/visual-arts-and-the-aids-epidemic-oral-history-project

Creative Writing
• Mighty Real: An Anthology of African American Same Gender Loving Writing
  Edited by R. Bryant Smith and Darius Omar Williams
• Sistah’s Speak: Anthology of writing and poetry by women living with HIV
  Edited by Khafre Kujichagulia Abif: http://www.khafrekujichaguliaabif.com
• Trans Women’s Writers’ Collective (not HIV-specific): http://www.transwomenwriters.org

RESOURCES
Theater of the Oppressed New York City, https://www.tonyc.nyc/
SELF-INFLICTED VIOLENCE AND PEER SUPPORT

Traumatic events create extreme and overwhelming feelings with which survivors must find ways to cope. Self-inflicted violence is a coping strategy that includes cutting, hitting, burning, punching, or engaging in other acts intended to harm the body. The primary difference between self-inflicted violence and other coping strategies such as dissociation or addiction is how other people respond to it. The link between self-inflicted violence and trauma is not always recognized. Education and understanding are the best tools peer supporters have in responding to people who use self-inflicted violence to form nonjudgmental relationships where healing can begin. This chapter will help you understand what self-inflicted violence is and why it may continue long after the traumatic events occur. We will explore ways in which the principles of peer support can guide mutual and reciprocal relationships so that the focus remains on the most essential aspect of healing: peer support relationships. We hope this will provide a context for understanding and relating to trauma survivors who use self-inflicted violence, even if you do not.

WHAT IS SELF-INFLICTED VIOLENCE?

Most of us have engaged in self-injurious behavior at some time in our lives. Have you ever had too much to drink? Have you ever over-exercised or eaten too much? Have you ever worked so hard that you had little time for yourself? Are you a current or former smoker? Do you ever over-spend? These behaviors can be seen as self-hurtful and people often use them to help deal with life stressors.

“Cigarette smoking among LGB individuals in the U.S. is higher than among heterosexual/straight individuals. Nearly one in four LGB adults smoke cigarettes compared with about one in six heterosexual/straight adults. More than 30,000 LGBT persons die each year of tobacco-related diseases. LGBT individuals are less likely to have health insurance than straight individuals, which may negatively affect health as well as access to cessation treatments, including counseling and medication.”

These behaviors can be destructive when used in the extreme, but society is generally more accepting of addiction, for example, than it is of someone who deliberately inflicts damage to their body. The term “self-inflicted violence” is used to designate specific forms of self-injury used as a coping strategy. Self-inflicted violence is distinguished from practices that have meaning in the cultural or social contexts in which they occur; for example, tattooing, body piercings, or body modifications. Self-inflicted violence is also different from being clumsy or accident-prone, since these behaviors typically happen without real awareness or intention of doing harm to oneself.

---

 Most of my adolescence was spent in and out of institutions: I am a survivor of trauma and multiple suicide attempts. I was forced to take a series of harmful psychiatric drugs that made me feel like a shadow of myself. At 18 years old, coming out of my final institution, I hazily remembered that I once loved to write. When I was 14, Mrs. McAuliffe wanted to groom me as editor of the high school paper, but I never got to realize that dream because I ended up in a long-term “treatment facility.” In the psych ward, words had been used against me—to label me, define me, and to silence my spirit. As a young woman, I never felt safe keeping a journal because there was no secure place to hide it—I was always under surveillance in the psych ward. In the end, it was more painful for me not to write than to write. I started with small scribbles that might have been poems. I began to journal again. I laughed as I put my thoughts on pages smeared with tear-stained ink. After several years, I joined workshops and nervously started sharing my work with others. Eventually, I hesitantly admitted that I might just be an artist! Words were slowly working their healing magic on my spirit. The first time I read a poem in front of a live audience, my whole body trembled. Then the applause came. I was heard! I knew that I was home. In spoken-word poetry, I found a healthy outlet for all the years of pent-up rage and pain. I could finally use my anger and hurt constructively instead of turning them against myself or others or suppressing them.

Today, I look down at the crisscross of pale, jagged scars on my wrists, inflicted by a mentally tortured, traumatized young woman, and I wonder how I will explain them to my young son if and when he asks about them someday. When he is old enough to understand, I will read him my poetry and hope it tells him everything he needs to know: his mother was once without hope, but words gave her new life.

—Leah Harris

Self-inflicted violence is sometimes also called self-harm or self-injury. Clinicians sometimes refer to it as para-suicidality, self-abuse, and self-mutilation, terms which many survivors do not find useful. People who use self-inflicted violence may refer to it in different ways, and it is important that you allow them to name the actions for themselves. One survivor refers to it as “self-mute” rather than “self-mutilation,” articulating the pain she cannot put into words. Another person calls the behavior “self-healing.” For some survivors, self-inflicted violence has allowed them to take control over their bodies; it has allowed others to name their pain or it serves as proof of their strength and determination to survive. For others still, the marks of self-inflicted violence are proof of life.

This chapter will use some of these terms interchangeably, but keep in mind that most people have harmed their bodies in some way. This knowledge will help you better understand self-inflicted violence and respond in ways that allow you to find common ground, even if you have never used this form of coping.

**MYTHS AND MISINTERPRETATIONS OF SELF-INFLICTED VIOLENCE**

There is an extensive literature on self-injury: what it is, who self-injures, why it happens, and how to treat it. Sadly, much of this work perpetuates negative stereotypes about women, LGBT people (especially trans people), and self-harm. For example, trans people have a higher rate of non-suicidal self-harm compared to the non-trans population, with trans men at a greater risk. Some non-trans people misinterpret this as evidence that coming out as trans leads to mental illness. However, research shows that the rates of self-injury among trans people are highest before transition and in early transition—when dealing with the most trauma and discrimination (like family rejection, job loss, and overt bullying and violence). Many do not understand that self-inflicted violence used as a coping strategy is not a suicide attempt. In the popular press, little is written about the cultural ramifications of self-injury, leading to a common myth that this behavior occurs primarily among young white women. The belief that women of color, older adults, and men do not self-injure may reflect the fact that providers are not looking for this behavior among these people and that the scarcity of trauma-sensitive services may make seeking help very difficult.


Practitioners may not understand the relationship between trauma and self-inflicted violence. Even if trauma is acknowledged, the focus is often on trying to stop the behavior, rather than understanding what drives it. People who self-injure are often described negatively and may be seen as “attention-seeking” rather than as needing the attention that comes from healing relationships. They are often given negative psychiatric labels such as borderline personality disorder. Self-inflicted violence is often described as “manipulative,” a term that is often used to justify punitive responses or neglect.

WHY DO PEOPLE USE SELF-INFLICTED VIOLENCE?
Self-inflicted violence is an expression of a survivor’s attempt to cope with emotional anguish that results from something traumatic that happened to them. Self-inflicted violence may be a survivor’s best attempt to cope with overwhelming feelings of shame, powerlessness, humiliation, and despair. People who self-injure may or may not experience it as shameful; they may want to stop, or not. They may hate doing it, or they may see it as useful and even life-sustaining. Many people who self-injure never reveal their histories and never come to the attention of service providers because they keep their pain hidden.

Culture and Self-injury
People of color who self-harm may experience difficulty in accessing services. These race-based disparities in behavioral health services are well documented. Self-harm may have cultural meaning and it is important to understand the unique cultural connection for each person you support. Asking questions with sensitivity about the way a person’s culture, community, family, or social network view self-harm may help them begin to think about these issues in a different way. They may not view health care in the same way that you do. Healers in their community may not be defined in the same way you might define them. You might explore what healing in the context of trauma or self-injury means to them and whom in their community they view as trustworthy or helpful.

The best approach is to assume nothing but to continuously make culture part of your discussion. For example, since self-inflicted violence is frequently an attempt to cope and to articulate one’s personal experience of trauma, historical trauma (trauma that a cultural group experienced as a result of issues like forced immigration, genocide, or slavery) may influence how a trauma survivor views their personal experience. Their experience may be shaped by the cultural expectations and gender roles (including what kinds of relationships or families are accepted) in their social class or community. To understand the source and depth of pain related to self-injury requires a willingness to step out of your own world to engage each person in a conversation about their world.

How Does Self-inflicted Violence Develop?
For example, a young child molested by an uncle may not have the vocabulary nor the developmental capacity to make sense of what is happening to them. Fear of retaliation and punishment often keeps survivors isolated from adults who might help. But what if a child does find the courage to tell and is met with disbelief or is told they are lying? (Because of gender socialization, boys—one in six of whom are sexually abused by the age of 18—are especially unlikely to report this or to be believed as not having consented.)

Pain, confusion, fear, and other extreme feelings may overwhelm their capacity to deal with the experience, making it impossible for the survivor to live in their body and shattering their sense of self and safety. Self-inflicted violence may serve to regulate some of the physiological consequences of trauma, or it may validate the experience that no one else will acknowledge. They may use self-injury to punish themselves, taking on the blame for the abuse perpetrated against them. As a teen or young adult, they may use self-injury to help ground them when memories of the past threaten to overwhelm them. In some ways, who they are and how they understand themselves may become inseparable from their traumatic experiences and their self-injury.

This is just one scenario about the development of self-inflicted violence in a survivor’s life. Self-inflicted violence has as many meanings and uses as there are individuals who use it. People report using self-injury in many different ways:
- To stop feeling pain
- To calm myself
- To make sure I am actually alive
- To stop flashbacks or drown out voices
- To go away, numb out, disappear
- To ground myself, bring me back to reality
- To punish myself

• To talk to myself, get in touch with myself
• To enter my own world

When the impact of trauma in a person’s life goes unrecognized, why they self-harm seems to make little sense. Without understanding the centrality of trauma in their development, we may focus on the question “What’s wrong with them?” instead of understanding how this coping strategy is helping them today. The focus can shift to trying to get them to stop the behavior, rather than on understanding their relationship with self-injury. There may be an assumption that if they stop hurting themselves, everything else in their life will be better, too.

Some people describe learning to use self-injury from others. Some discover it by accident or feel that it has seemingly always been a part of their lives. One survivor shared, “When I was little, I would bang a rock on my hand to prove to myself how strong I was, that I could take the pain—that I was bigger than it. It was just something that made sense to me. Anybody else seeing me would have said I was crazy. I never associated it with what was happening to me at home until I was in my twenties.”

Trauma violates survivors’ personal boundaries and they may use self-injury to reassert those boundaries by creating a private, internal world into which no one can trespass. For some survivors, keeping self-inflicted violence hidden is vital to their ability to find an emotional connection to themselves that was disrupted by abuse and betrayal. People who self-injure and attempt to get help are often met with intense, negative responses that further isolate them. Survivors may hide self-injury to avoid the judgment and criticism of others. Tending to their own wounds may become an expression of self-care and the only healing response they see as possible.

SELF-INFLICTED VIOLENCE AND THE LANGUAGE OF CRISIS

In situations where people respond to statements like “I’m sad” or “I’m lonely” with compassion and attentiveness, language serves to connect people. In institutional settings like jails, prisons, and psychiatric units, people—especially women and LGBTQI2S people—rarely experience such responses to their statements of distress. Instead, their ongoing pain is ignored. Unresolved trauma may produce a reality for survivors where anguish and grief become the dominant experience. Without understanding its source, others may become hardened to the constancy of suffering.

Although the language of crisis creates a connection with others, it often does so in a manner in which the focus of the relationship is to control or contain the behavior rather than understand it and what drives it. The diagram below suggests how repeated crises and subsequent interventions to address crises may create a connection but perpetuates a relationship that does not lead to healing. Over time, crisis may become the way that service providers and people in pain understand their relationship to each other.

In the illustration, the focus of the relationship is on the helper controlling the other person’s behavior.

• What are the characteristics of the relationship?
• If power was shared by both people, how would their relationship be different?
TRAUMA-INFORMED PEER SUPPORT AND SELF-INFLICTED VIOLENCE

When people see others hurting themselves, they often react out of fear and attempt to stop the behavior. This is understandable; it is very difficult to know that someone is in so much pain that they harm themselves. The issue of risk and liability can exert a huge pressure on others to act swiftly and immediately to get the person “under control,” placing human connection and relationships on the back burner.

In trauma-informed peer support, the focus is on creating healing relationships rather than trying to make people stop using self-injury. Keep in mind that people self-injure for their own reasons. Trauma-informed peer support is about creating mutual relationships and groups in which the focus is not on controlling each other but on discovering together what is possible for the future. This exploration can provide new meaning for people. Challenges offer new information about what relationships need, what people want out of them, and how to move forward individually and collectively.

Mutuality and Self-injury

When people decide to disclose their use of self-inflicted violence, it is an opportunity for connection and communication. Disclosing a history of violence can be dangerous, especially for a woman or transgender person because they have a higher risk of being targeted for assault. Past experiences of not being believed, of living in a situation in which revealing their abuse may threaten their survival, can create enormous hurdles to finding help. Revealing that one self-injures may be a huge leap in overcoming the barrier of silence and secrecy.

Trauma-informed responses to self-injury require a shift in thinking. Rather than seeing a person who self-injures as engaging in meaningless, frustrating, or dangerous behavior, it is important to understand that self-harm is an expression of profound pain that has meaning for them, even if you do not understand the meaning.

Instead of Assuming | Try Asking
---|---
They do it to get attention. | What is driving their pain?
That’s just what borderlines do. | Who is this person as an individual? What is their view of the world? What does self-injury mean for them? How has it allowed them to endure?
This person is so manipulative. | How can we create space in our relationship that will let both of us learn and grow?
This person always sabotages their own success. | What is the unfinished work here?

GUIDELINES FOR PEER SUPPORTERS

There are many different ways to bring mutuality into peer support relationships with people who self-injure. In the table below are statements that a peer supporter may use in speaking to the people they support. Read each statement and think about how the principles of peer support operate in the relationship. How do you hear common experience defined? How does the peer supporter make their own needs known? What does the peer supporter do to maintain shared power in the relationship? How does mutuality shift the focus from “What do you need, and what I should do to meet that need?” to “What do we need and what will we do together to build this relationship?”

GUIDELINES FOR PEER SUPPORTERS

In the examples on the next page, the peer supporters did not just jump into the conversation. They thought about the relationship with the person they were supporting and did some preparatory work. Even if you are not currently working with someone who self-harms, it is important to consider ways of responding should the situation arise. The following guidelines are offered to help you navigate some of your own concerns and needs.
**Statements** | **What is Being Communicated?**
---|---
“I hurt to see you in this much pain. There must be an awful lot going on for you…. Would it be helpful to talk about it, or would you like to just sit together for a little while?” | Peer supporters are sensitive to the discrimination and sense of disenfranchisement inherent in the shared experience of being labeled or experiencing loss or extreme distress. Relationships are nonjudgmental. Empathy and validation are essential.

“I want to be there for you, but I have to admit that I’m scared. I’m not sure what to do. I am not sure what you are asking me to understand.” | In a mutual relationship, peer support is a two-way relationship.

“I know other people have responded to you with alarm, sometimes forcing you into the hospital. I don’t want to have that kind of relationship with you. There was a time in my life when I felt pretty powerless. Other people made decisions about what was best for me, and I saw myself as fragile and incapable of connecting to others. Is this at all what you’re experiencing? Would it be helpful to talk about your experiences with power or powerlessness?” | In peer support relationships, both people take responsibility for their relationship and power is shared. This may begin with exploration and evolve and strengthen over time.

“I remember when I was in a really bad place and didn’t have words for what was happening. I had huge, terrible feelings all the time. It really took a toll on my life. I wonder what is going on for you. I’d like to know more about what self-injury means for you, what it helps you deal with.” | Common experience in peer support is explored rather than assumed. Each person is unique in how they make sense of their experiences. The focus is on learning about one another rather than “helping.”

“I started my healing journey when someone helped me put words to what I was feeling. That was hard. Words never meant what they were supposed to mean growing up. I discovered how much I had to say! If your wounds could talk, what would they say?” | Peer support is a way to try out new ways of being in the world. It is not a stagnant relationship where both people stay in their comfort zones. It provides opportunities to explore what they want their lives to be about.

---


1. **Examine your own feelings and beliefs about self-inflicted violence.**
   
   Understand your own limits around supporting people who self-harm. Is this a hot-button issue for you? What are some of your knee-jerk reactions? Are there some self-harming behaviors that you know are too difficult for you? How does self-harm affect you when you are not directly supporting a person who self-injures? Knowing your own areas of discomfort and your own limits allows you to honestly bring your needs, feelings, and concerns into your conversations so that you can authentically engage in a mutually responsible relationship.

2. **Educate yourself and the people you support, if that feels right for both of you.**
   
   You may want to share and discuss the resources at the end of this chapter with the people you support as a way to educate yourselves and explore the possibility of a community of healing. A benefit of exploring self-injury together is that it can be a focal point for connection, a hunt for meaning in which people who self-injure become your teachers, revealing what they know because of what has happened to them and how they have learned to survive.

3. **Don’t do anything differently with people who self-injure and people who do not.**
   
   The principles and practice of peer support do not change because you know someone is self-harming.
4. Understand the cultural ramifications of self-harm for people of color, including gender-specific ramifications for women and LGBTQI2S people.

Support their access to culturally sensitive services and or find out what healing looks like for them and what their culture’s view of illness and healing are.

5. Use your own experience as a guide.

Have you have ever tried to quit smoking, drinking, overeating, or any other behavior that you felt was detrimental? If so, you have also experienced what it is like to try to stop harming yourself. Use your own struggles as a way to understand the difficult reality of self-harm.

6. You are not required to fix anyone.

Bearing witness to someone’s pain, grief, loneliness, and other extreme feelings is the foundation for healing. It says, “I see you. I hear you. You are not alone anymore.”

CHAPTER SUMMARY: KEY POINTS

- The link between trauma and self-injury is often not recognized or is minimized or ignored. This has hurtful ramifications when people attempt to find help and support. These may include gender-specific ramifications for women and LGBTQI2S people.
- There are many different reasons why people self-injure and many different ways that people relate to self-injury. Exploring what it means for each survivor is an important opportunity in peer support.
- Trying to get people to stop self-injury can disregard ways in which this practice is helping them cope in the present.
- Trauma-informed peer support provides a context in which self-injury can be explored and both people can learn and grow.

The following is a Bill of Rights for people who self-harm. You might want to post this in your office or use it as a handout for educating people who self-injure about their right to dignity and validation. You may also want to use it to educate providers, family members, and others about what they can do to support people in their healing.

A BILL OF RIGHTS FOR THOSE WHO SELF-HARM

1. The right to caring, humane medical treatment.

Self-injurers should receive the same level and quality of care that a person presenting with an identical but accidental injury would receive. Procedures should be done as gently as they would be for others. If stitches are required, local anesthesia should be used. Treatment of accidental injury and self-inflicted injury should be identical.

2. The right to participate fully in decisions about emergency psychiatric treatment (so long as no one’s life is in immediate danger).

When a person presents at the emergency room with a self-inflicted injury, their opinion about the need for a psychological assessment should be considered. If the person is not in obvious distress and is not suicidal, they should not be subjected to an arduous psych evaluation. Doctors should be trained to assess suicidality/homicidality and should realize that, although referral for outpatient follow-up may be advisable, hospitalization for self-injurious behavior alone is rarely warranted.

3. The right to body privacy.

Visual examinations to determine the extent and frequency of self-inflicted injury should be performed only when absolutely necessary and done in a way that maintains the patient’s dignity. Many who self-injure have been abused; the humiliation of a strip-search is likely to increase the amount and intensity of future self-injury while making the person subject to the searches to look for better ways to hide the marks.

continued on next page
4. The right to have the feelings behind the self-injury validated.
Self-injury does not occur in a vacuum. The person who self-injures usually does so in response to distressing feelings and those feelings should be recognized and validated. Although the care provider might not understand why a particular situation is extremely upsetting, they can at least understand that it “is” distressing and respect the self-injurer’s right to be upset about it.

5. The right to disclose to whom they choose only what they choose.
No care provider should disclose to others that injuries are self-inflicted without obtaining the permission of the person involved. Exceptions can be made in the case of team-based hospital treatment or other medical care providers when the information that the injuries were self-inflicted is essential knowledge for proper medical care. Patients should be notified when others are told about their self-injury and, as always, gossiping about any patient is unprofessional.

6. The right to choose what coping mechanisms they will use.
No person should be forced to choose between self-injury and treatment. Outpatient therapists should never demand that clients sign a no-harm contract; instead, the client and provider should develop a plan for dealing with self-injurious impulses and acts during the treatment. No client should feel they must lie about self-injury or be kicked out of outpatient therapy. Exceptions to this may be made in hospital or ER treatment when a contract may be required by hospital legal policies.

7. The right to have providers who do not allow their feelings about self-injury to distort the therapy.
Those who work with clients who self-injure should keep their own fear, revulsion, anger, and anxiety out of the therapeutic setting. This is crucial for basic medical care of self-inflicted wounds but holds for therapists as well. A person who is struggling with self-injury has enough baggage without taking on the prejudices and biases of their care providers.

8. The right to have the role self-injury has played as a coping mechanism validated.
No one should be shamed, admonished, or chastised for having self-injured. Self-injury works as a coping mechanism, sometimes for people who have no other way to cope. They may use self-injury as a last-ditch effort to avoid suicide. The self-injurer should be taught to honor the positive things that self-injury has done for them as well as to recognize that the negatives of self-injury far outweigh those positives and that it is possible to learn methods of coping that are not as destructive and life-interfering.

9. The right not to be automatically considered dangerous simply because of self-inflicted injury.
No one should be put in restraints or locked in a treatment room in an emergency room solely because their injuries are self-inflicted. No one should ever be involuntarily committed simply because of self-injury; physicians should make the decision to commit based on the presence of psychosis, suicidality, or homicidality.

10. The right to have self-injury regarded as an attempt to communicate, not manipulate.
Most people who hurt themselves are trying to express things they can say in no other way. Although sometimes these attempts to communicate seem manipulative, treating them as manipulation only makes the situation worse. Providers should respect the communicative function of self-injury and assume it is not manipulative behavior until there is clear evidence to the contrary.

RESOURCES

*Handbook on Sensitive Practice for Health Care Practitioners: Lessons from Adult Survivors of Childhood Sexual Abuse.*


Palace: a website by and for people who self-harm.


Survivors of Childhood Sexual Abuse. Website dedicated to helping healthcare practitioners work with survivors of sex abuse

To Write Love on Her Arms, a website geared to younger women with resources including blogs, a calendar of events, music, and links to YouTube and Flicker, [http://www.twloha.com](http://www.twloha.com)

The Sirius Project, a website on harm reduction, what to expect in the ER, and other resources.

“Tips from former smokers—for specific groups: Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT)” Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved from [https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/campaign/tips/groups/lgbt.html](https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/campaign/tips/groups/lgbt.html)

Trauma-informed self-injury compendium, [www.trauma-informed-california.org](http://www.trauma-informed-california.org)

One of the hurtful effects of trauma is that it often leaves survivors feeling powerless and voiceless. In a culture where women generally still have less power than men, where people of color have experienced systemic violence and discrimination in all of our institutions, and where people living with HIV and LGBTQI2S people may have less power discrimination against them on the basis of gender is legal in many parts of the U.S.), this can leave these trauma survivors feeling especially hopeless or immobilized. In this chapter, we will examine how taking social action, individually or as part of a group, can be a positive act of healing for trauma survivors, helping them reclaim their own power in the world.

### WHAT IS HEALING JUSTICE—A VIEW FROM BLACK LIVES MATTER

“In many ways, at its essence, [Black Lives Matter] (BLM) is a response to the persistent and historical trauma Black people have endured at the hands of the State. This trauma and pain, unresolved and unhealed, lives on in our bodies, in our relationships, and in what we create together. Since the inception of BLM, organizers and healers have taken this understanding of historical and generational trauma and made it the foundation of our healing circles, of creative and liberatory space held amidst actions, of our attempts to resolve conflict and division in ways that do not replicate harm or rely on carceral ways of being with one another. It is not an easy road—healing individual and community trauma while organizing to make real change in Black lives—but it is what we know has to be done.

#### 10 Reasons Why Healing Justice

- Trauma, violence, and oppression live on and through our bodies limiting our experience, our connection, and our choice.
- Freedom for Black people must include healing that addresses the individual and collective, the current, and the generational pain.
- Our healing brings us into new kinds of relationships with one another.
- Healing justice and transformative justice remind us that conflict can be generative and a way to care for each other and learn more about our needs and boundaries.
- Healing allows us to move away from scarcity and fear and into connection and choice.
- The trauma Black people feel is compounded, often constant and complex. Building a world that creates space and time for Black people to heal and limits the trauma they experience requires a deep reworking and reimagining of relationships and institutions.
- Healing, culture, and spirit have always sustained us and informed our struggles for liberation.
- Healing justice allows us a place to practice the care with each other that we each deserve.
- Healing justice makes care political in a world that harms and dehumanizes Black bodies.
- Healing justice makes it possible to transform and heal a legacy of trauma for future generations of Black people.”

---

Website includes a toolkit for those wishing to incorporate a healing justice approach into their social change work.
SOCIAL ACTION AS A TOOL FOR HEALING

As we move through the healing process and begin to emerge from feelings of powerlessness, we may become aware of a sense of rage about what was done to us. We may also become outraged when we see others harmed or treated unjustly. This understandable anger is a potent force. Unexamined and unchecked, it can be hurtful to ourselves and others.

But if we recognize our rage as a force that can be channeled for our own benefit and for the good of others, it can be a powerful force for positive change. Taking social action—working to change harmful policies and practices and to overcome injustice—can be a healing and productive way to explore and express our newfound power.

Organizing for Social Action

TRANSFORMATION THROUGH SOCIAL ACTION

Whether we talk about the sexual and physical abuse of women and children, the abuse of the inmates of asylums and prisons, the imprisonment and torture of people of conscience, or the abuses of the totalitarian state, all violence focuses on the unfair distribution of power and the abuse of this power by the powerful against the helpless. The solutions to these problems are not individual solutions; they require political solutions. It is not surprising, therefore, that many traumatized individuals turn to political action as a way of transforming their own individual and group pain.

—Sandra Bloom

There are many issues around which a group can organize that are relevant to trauma survivors. For example, women, LGBTQI2S people, and PLHIV may wish to advocate for their local behavioral health and other systems to provide trauma-informed services and supports. Maybe there is not enough funding for domestic violence shelters or rape crisis services in your city, or maybe the existing ones are not inclusive of LGBTQI2S people. Perhaps state law makes it difficult for parents with behavioral health issues to keep custody of their children. Every person and every community will have their own priorities. It is likely that there are existing groups in your community interested in the same issues who would be happy to collaborate with you and may have existing campaigns with which you can become involved.

Organizing for collective social action requires many different skills, which means that each person in the group has the opportunity to use their strengths in the process. For example, some people are good at sizing up a situation and planning strategy. Others excel at gathering information. One person may be good at writing letters, whereas another has the ability to remain calm in stressful situations and is a good negotiator.

Since social action in a group uses many kinds of skills and personal strengths, everyone has the chance to shine!

No matter what issues you choose to tackle, organizing for social action requires planning, preparation, and coordination to be effective. An important first step is to express the issue from the group’s point of view.

To break down the problem, ask questions like:

- What is it that we want to change?
- What outcomes or solutions would satisfy us? What are we willing to trade, compromise on, or just let go of? What are we not willing to trade, compromise on, or give up?
- Research the issues. Is there a written statement of rights? Is a rule, policy, or law being violated?
- What additional information or resources do you need? How can you get these?
- Who has the power to change the situation or fix the problem? If you are not sure who is ultimately in charge, how can you find out?
- What are some possible barriers to reaching an outcome that everyone can live with? Think about how you might find a solution for each of these problems.
- When there is conflict, is there a point of shared interest on which there is some agreement? This may be a good place to begin conversations and build an understanding of the concerns from both points of view.
SOCIAL ACTION PRINCIPLES FOR TRAUMA SURVIVORS

• We express our rage nonviolently and humanely.
• We are focused and strategic; we are aware of the effects of our actions on others.
• Our means are consistent with our ends. We are committed to not acting abusively, regardless of—and in resistance against—how we have been abused.
• We maintain compassion for ourselves and compassion for others.
• Our actions are linked to positive visions. We react against our own mistreatment and broader conditions of social injustice. We also take responsibility for translating that reaction into ideas and possibilities for a more just society.
• We know that we are not powerless in the present, despite the ways that we have been overpowered by abuse and trauma in the past.
• We act from a commitment to equal power relations. Our goal is to share power to the greatest extent possible—to step outside of the oppression paradigm, which places people in subordinate and dominant roles.

—Adapted from Steven Wineman, Power-Under: Trauma and Non-violent Social Change

Develop a clear and concise understanding of the problem and the group’s desired solution in about five spoken sentences or no more than one written page. Once you have developed your position statement, you need to frame it in a way that is likely to get results. Think about what the other side has to gain by agreeing to resolve your problem and how to express this in a positive way.

Next, decide who to approach and how to approach them. Here are some tips:

• Focus on facts, not on feelings. Although you may be angry about the problem, present your information in a calm, matter-of-fact way. This will have a stronger impact on the decision-maker.
• Usually, it is best to start with the most direct approach, such as meeting with management or with the government officials who are most clearly responsible for the issue.
• You can move on to methods that are more public and bring more pressure if the first steps are not effective. The techniques you choose will depend on the nature of the problem and your desired solution, as well as who the target of the advocacy is and what approaches might be most effective with them.
• Start by asserting the lowest-pressure technique and apply only as much as is necessary to succeed.

The activities below are arranged in order of increasing pressure, from lowest to highest:

• Meet with management or policymakers
• Meet with the responsible government officials
• Letter-writing, fax, phone, email campaigns
• Develop and distribute position papers and fact sheets
• Join relevant committees and task forces
• Testify at public hearings
• Media campaigns
• Rallies and demonstrations
• Lawsuits
Organizing for social change is a tool for reclaiming our own power as individuals. It can also help rebuild the trust and sense of community that is often shattered by traumatic events. Even when we face barriers or our short-term social action goals are not met, we can still feel a sense of satisfaction and camaraderie in the work itself.

BUILDING & MAINTAINING GRASSROOTS PROJECTS

Not all social action involves organizing to change policy, practices, or funding priorities. In many instances, people come together to create projects or structures that meet a local need that is not being well-addressed by existing systems. Often largely based on volunteer labor and donations of goods and services, such grassroots efforts can give disempowered people a feeling of ownership as they work to solve the problems that affect them and their neighbors. People can come together to decide what is needed in their communities.

Examples include:
- Food pantries and soup kitchens
- Ride-sharing services
- Self-defense classes
- Community gardens
- Safe houses for domestic violence survivors
- Child care cooperatives

WITNESS TESTIMONY: SEEKING JUSTICE AND HEALING THROUGH TELLING ONE’S STORY

There is a long history of survivors of violence and injustice seeking justice by giving testimony about what happened to them. Survivors of the Holocaust, families of the “disappeared” in Latin America, and survivors of torture and political violence across the world have all used this technique. This serves to document the truth about the violence and injustice that has been done. People often find that telling their story is a cathartic and healing activity.

Psychotherapists in Chile pioneered a therapeutic approach based on giving testimony that was later adapted by therapists in Europe. As Dick Blackwell explains it, “The client would tell her/his story to the therapist who could interrupt, question, and explore the emotional experience of the events recounted. The testimony was recorded, typed up, given to the client to review with the therapist and revised and developed as necessary, again including ‘therapeutic’ attention to the emotional experience. The final document constituted an indictment of the regime under which the client had suffered, which (s)he could use as (s)he chose in the pursuit of justice. The testimony locates the victimization in the context of the rest of the client’s life and in the contemporary political context in which it has occurred, and it provides the client with a form of positive action within that context. It also locates the therapist within that context as a participant in the struggle for social justice.”

As peer supporters, we can adapt this technique as a way for trauma survivors to tell their truths and consider what kind of justice would be necessary for healing. We can interview each other, write our individual stories as narratives, or record our testimony on video. These records could be kept private, could form the basis for discussion in peer support groups, or could be used as raw materials for arts and cultural projects.
RESOURCES


Center for Community Change, [http://www.communitychange.org/](http://www.communitychange.org/)

Community Problem-Solving Project@ MIT, [http://web.mit.edu/cpsproject/home.html](http://web.mit.edu/cpsproject/home.html)

Highlander Research and Education Center, [https://www.highlandercenter.org/](https://www.highlandercenter.org/)

Midwest Academy, [http://www.midwestacademy.com/](http://www.midwestacademy.com/)

Organizing for Social Change: Tips for Group Organizing for Everyone


V-Day: A Global Movement to End Violence Against Women and Girls, [https://www.vday.org/](https://www.vday.org/)


Young Women’s Empowerment Project, [http://www.youarepriceless.org/](http://www.youarepriceless.org/)
ENGAGING PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV IN
TRAUMA-INFORMED PEER SUPPORT:
A GUIDEBOOK

We don’t see things as they are,
we see things as we are.
– Anais Nin

SAMHSA
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

National Center for Trauma-Informed Care
and Alternatives to Restraint and Seclusion (NCTIC)